

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JANE DOE NO. 2,

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

JANE DOE NO. 3,

CASE NO: 08-CV-80232-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

JANE DOE NO. 4,

CASE NO: 08-CV-80380-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

SDNY_GM_00328555

EFTA_00201307

EFTA01308033

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

JANE DOE NO. 5,

CASE NO: 08-CV-80381-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

JANE DOE NO. 6,

CASE NO: 08-CV-80994-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

JANE DOE NO. 7,

CASE NO: 08-CV-80993-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

CASE NO: 08-CV-80811-MARRA/JOHNSON

C.M.A.,

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

JANE DOE,

CASE NO. 08-CV-80893-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

Vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN, et al.

Defendant.

DOE II

CASE NO: 09-CV-80469-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN, et al.

Defendants.

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

JANE DOE NO. 101, CASE NO: 09-CV-80591-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

JANE DOE NO. 102, CASE NO: 09-CV-80656-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

**PLAINTIFF JANE DOE'S MOTION TO COMPEL ANSWERS TO PLAINTIFF'S
FIRST REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS TO DEFENDANT**

Plaintiff Jane Doe, hereby moves this Court for an order compelling defendant, Jeffrey Epstein, to answer her first requests for admissions or, in the alternative, to prove that his invocation of his Fifth Amendment privilege is proper.

Jane Doe has propounded 23 requests for admission to Epstein, including such straightforward requests as:

- Your net worth is greater than \$1 billion.

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

- You own or control, directly or indirectly, real estate property in foreign countries.
- You are moving significant financial assets overseas, outside of the direct territorial reach of the U.S. and Florida Courts.
- You currently have the ability to post a bond of \$15 million to satisfy a judgment in this case without financial or other difficulty.

In response to each and every one of these questions, Epstein has given the following response:

In response, Defendant asserts his U.S. constitutional privileges as specified herein. I intend to respond to all relevant discovery regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that I cannot provide answers to any discovery relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution.

This Court should order Epstein to answer all of the requests for admission or, in the alternative, prove that his Fifth Amendment invocations are valid. It is for the court, not the claimant, to determine whether the hazard of incrimination is justified. *United States v. Argomaniz*, 925 F.2d 1349, 1355 (11th Cir. 1991). "A court must make a particularized inquiry, deciding, in connection with each specific area that the questioning party wishes to explore, whether or not the privilege is well-founded." *Id.* Typically this is done in an *in camera* proceeding wherein the person asserting the privilege is given the opportunity "to substantiate his claims of the privilege and the

CASE NO: 09-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

district court is able to consider the questions asked and the documents requested by the summons." *Id.*

Here Epstein has made boilerplate invocation of the Fifth Amendment to each and every question propounded by Jane Doe, including for example a request that he admit that his net worth is more than \$500 million. It is not apparent how admitting his net worth is incriminating in the circumstances of this case. In any event, the Fifth Amendment does not operate in this fashion. It is Epstein's obligation to explain his claims on a "question-by-question basis." *Id.*

The only issue before the Court is Epstein's Fifth Amendment privilege. Epstein has only asserted a Fifth Amendment objection to production. As a result, any other objections to production are deemed waived. See Local Rule 26.1G.3.(a) ("Any ground [for an objection] not stated in an objection within the time provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or any extensions thereof, shall be waived.").

SPECIFIC REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

For the convenience of the court – and in compliance with Local Rule 26.1 H (party filing motion to compel shall list specific requests in succession) – Jane Doe's requests for admission are as follows:

1. Your net worth is greater than \$10 million.
2. Your net worth is greater than \$50 million.
3. Your net worth is greater than \$100 million.
4. Your net worth is greater than \$500 million.
5. Your net worth is greater than \$1 billion.

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

6. Since being incarcerated you have, directly or indirectly (through the services or assistance of other persons), conveyed money or assets in an attempt to insulate or protect your money or assets from being captured in any civil lawsuits filed against you.
7. You own or control, directly or indirectly, real estate property in the Caribbean.
8. You own or control, directly or indirectly, real estate property in foreign countries.
9. In the last 2 years you have transferred assets and/or money and/or financial instruments to countries outside the United States.
10. You have provided financial support to the modeling agency MC2.
11. You have committed sexual assault against Plaintiff, a minor.
12. You committed battery against Plaintiff.
13. You digitally penetrated Plaintiff when she was a minor.
14. You offered Plaintiff more money contingent upon her having sex with you or giving you oral sex.
15. You intended to harm Plaintiff when you committed these sexual acts against her.
16. You knew Plaintiff was under the age of 16 when you sexually touched and fondled her.
17. You intend to hire investigators to intimidate and harass Plaintiff during this litigation.
18. You were engaged in the act of trafficking minors across state or country borders for the purposes of sex or prostitution between 2000 and the present.

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

19. You coerced Plaintiff into being a prostitute and remaining in prostitution.
20. You are guilty of the following offenses against Jane Doe:
 - A. Procuring a minor for the purpose of prostitution as defined in F.S. 796.03;
 - B. Battery as defined by Florida Statutes.
 - C. Sexual Battery.
21. You are moving significant financial assets overseas, outside of the direct territorial reach of the U.S. and Florida Courts.
22. You are making asset transfers with the intent to defeat any judgment that might be entered against you in this or similar cases.
23. You currently have the ability to post a bond of \$15 million to satisfy a judgment in this case without financial or other difficulty.

CONCLUSION

For all these reasons, the Court should compel Epstein to answer the requests for admission or provide a particularized justification for his Fifth Amendment invocation with regard to each request. Counsel for Jane Doe have conferred with opposing counsel on the issues raised in this motion, and no resolution was possible.

DATED July 10, 2009

Respectfully Submitted,

s/ Bradley J. Edwards
Bradley J. Edwards
ROTHSTEIN ROSENFELDT ADLER
Las Olas City Centre
401 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1650
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301
Telephone (954) 522-3456
Facsimile (954) 527-8663
Florida Bar No.: 542075
E-mail: bedwards@rra-law.com

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

and

Paul G. Cassell
Pro Hac Vice
332 S. 1400 E.
Salt Lake City, UT 84112
Telephone: 801-585-5202
Facsimile: 801-585-6833
E-Mail: cassel@law.utah.edu

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on July 10, 2009, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all parties on the attached Service List in the manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in some other authorized manner for those parties who are not authorized to receive electronically filed Notices of Electronic Filing.

s/ Bradley J. Edwards
Bradley J. Edwards

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

SERVICE LIST
Jane Doe v. Jeffrey Epstein
United States District Court, Southern District of Florida

Jack Alan Goldberg, Esq.
Jgoldberger@acwpa.com

Robert D. Critton, Esq.
rcritton@hckclaw.com

Isidro Manuel Garcia
isidrogarcia@bellsouth.net

Jack Patrick Hill
jph@searcy.com

Katherine Warthen Ezell
KEzell@condhurst.com

Michael James Pike
MPike@bclclaw.com

Paul G. Cassell
casselpr@hckclaw.com

Richard Horace Willis
lawyerwillis@aol.com

Robert C. Josefsberg
rcjosef@condhurst.com

Adam D. Horowitz
ahorowitz@sexabuseattorney.com

Stuart S. Mermelstein
ssm@sexabuseattorney.com

William J. Berger
wberger@mcclow.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JANE DOE NO. 2,

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

JANE DOE NO. 3,

CASE NO: 08-CV-80232-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

JANE DOE NO. 4,

CASE NO: 08-CV-80380-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

SDNY_GM_00328565

EFTA_00201317

EFTA01308043

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

JANE DOE NO. 5,

CASE NO: 08-CV-80381-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

JANE DOE NO. 6

CASE NO: 08-CV-80994-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

JANE DOE NO. 7,

CASE NO: 08-CV-80993-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

CASE NO: 08-CV-80811-MARRA/JOHNSON

C.M.A.,

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

JANE DOE,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN, et al.

Defendant.

CASE NO. 08-CV-80893-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

DOE II

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN, et al.

Defendants.

CASE NO: 09-CV-80469-MARRA/JOHNSON

CASE NO: 09-CV-80118-MARRA/JOHNSON

JANE DOE NO. 101,

CASE NO: 09-CV-80591-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

JANE DOE NO. 102,

CASE NO: 09-CV-80656-MARRA/JOHNSON

Plaintiff

vs.

JEFFREY EPSTEIN,

Defendant

**PLAINTIFF JANE DOE'S MOTION TO COMPEL ANSWERS TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST
REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION**

Plaintiff Jane Doe, hereby moves this Court for an order compelling defendant, Jeffrey Epstein, to answer her first request for production or, in the alternative, to prove that his invocation of his Fifth Amendment privilege is proper. Jane Doe also requests production of a privilege log.

Jane Doe has propounded 16 requests for production, including such straightforward requests as requests for production of:

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

Request No. 1: Copies of all telephone records;

Request No. 2: Photos of the inside of your home located at 358 El Brillo Way, Palm Beach, Florida, that depict the room(s) where massages took place (including massage table).

Request No. 10: Correspondence between Epstein and federal prosecutors;

Request No. 12: Personal tax returns;

Request No. 13: Photocopies of Epstein's passport;

Request No. 14: A statement of net worth; and

Request No. 16: Medical records.

In response to each and every one of these requests, Epstein has given the following response (with only minor variations here and there):

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select, authenticate, and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution.

This Court should order Epstein to provide all of the requested information or, in the alternative, prove that his Fifth Amendment invocations are valid. It is for the court, not the claimant, to determine whether the hazard of incrimination is justified. *United States v. Argomaniz*, 925 F.2d 1349, 1355 (11th Cir. 1991). "A court must make a particularized inquiry, deciding, in connection with each specific area that the

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

questioning party wishes to explore, whether or not the privilege is well-founded." *Id.* Typically this is done in an *in camera* proceeding wherein the person asserting the privilege is given the opportunity "to substantiate his claims of the privilege and the district court is able to consider the questions asked and the documents requested by the summons." *Id.*

Here Epstein has made boilerplate invocation of the Fifth Amendment to each and every request propounded by Jane Doe, including for example the request for correspondence with federal prosecutors and for production of federal tax returns. This obviously is not a request with Fifth Amendment implications, as the information has already been fully disclosed to the Government.

For all these reasons, the Court should compel Epstein to answer the requests or provide a particularized justification for his Fifth Amendment invocation with regard to each request.

Epstein's "cut and paste" response to the request for production also blatantly disregards the requirements for invoking privilege under the Court's local rules. Local rule 26.1.G very specifically requires the preparation of a privilege log with respect to all documents and oral communications (among other things) that are withheld on the basis of privilege. Epstein has failed to prepare such a log, making it impossible for Jane Doe to effectively challenge his generic assertions. Indeed, with respect to a few requests, Epstein has stated: "Further, the request may include information subject to work product or an attorney-client privilege." Of course, the whole purpose of forcing a defendant to prepare a privilege log is to force the defendant to decide whether or not

CASE NO: 08-CV-89119-MARRA/JOHNSON

information is privileged. An assertion that something "may" be privileged is obviously woefully deficient. The Local Rules do not permit this tactic, and Epstein should be (at a minimum) promptly required to produce a privilege log.

For all these reasons, the Court should compel Epstein to provide a privilege log and to answer the interrogatories or provide a particularized justification for his Fifth Amendment invocation with regard to each request.

It should be noted that (with minor exceptions) the only grounds on which Epstein can refuse to answer the request for production is proof of a valid Fifth Amendment privilege. This the only objection Epstein has asserted (with minor exceptions). As a result, any other objections to production are deemed waived. See Local Rule 26.1G.3 (a) ("Any ground [for an objection] not stated in an objection within the time provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, or any extensions thereof, shall be waived.").

SPECIFIC REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

For the convenience of the court – and in compliance with Local Rule 26.1 H (party filing motion to compel shall list specific requests in succession) – Jane Doe's requests for production and Epstein's objections are as follows:

Request No. 1: Copies of all telephone records in your or your attorney's possession from 2002 through 2005 that in any way relate to you (including all phone lines owned by you or that were used to contact girls for the purposes of scheduling massages for you.)

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select, authenticate, and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective

CASE NO: 08-CV-80118-MARRA/JOHNSON

representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution.

Request No. 2: All massage appointment books, diaries, computer calendars or scheduling entities, scheduling books or any other writing or correspondence that contains the names of any of the girls that were called, contacted, scheduled or who otherwise went to your home located at 358 El Brillo Way, Palm Beach, Florida, for the purpose of giving you a massage.

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select authenticate, and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution.

Request No. 3: Any and all documentation in your possession that contains Plaintiff's name or that refers to Plaintiff, directly or indirectly, (includes e-mails, letters, message pads, diaries appointment books, computer print outs).

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select authenticate, and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution.

Request No. 4: Any and all photos, videos, downloaded digital prints or any other visual depiction of Plaintiff, or of any other known or suspected minor females introduced to you, directly or indirectly, by Plaintiff.

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select, authenticate, and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution.

Request No. 5: Photos of the inside of your home located at 358 El Brillo Way, Palm Beach, Florida, that depict the room(s) where the massages took place (including massage table).

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select, authenticate, and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution.

Request No. 6: Any and all documentation of cancelled checks or evidence of payment to Plaintiff of any kind and for any reason whatsoever.

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select, authenticate, and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution.

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

Request No. 7: All discovery information obtained by you or your attorneys as a result of the exchange of discovery in the State criminal case against you or the Federal investigation against you.

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select authenticals, and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution. In addition to and without waiving his constitutional privileges the information sought is privileged and confidential, and inadmissible to the terms of the deferred prosecution agreement, Federal Rule of Evidence 410 and 408, and § 90.410, Fla. Stat.¹ Further, the request may include information subject to work product or an attorney-client privilege.

Request No. 8: All financial documents evidencing asset transfers from 2005 to present for you personally or any company or corporation owned by you.

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select authenticals, and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution.

¹ Jane Doe does not intend to use these materials to draw a forbidden inference of guilt from the mere fact that information was provided to law enforcement officials as part of plea discussions, but rather for other purposes. These materials are also quite clearly likely to lead to the discovery of other admissible evidence, as they relate to the same subject matter as this lawsuit. To the extent that Epstein relies on the non-prosecutor agreement, nothing in that agreement bars discovery of information relevant to this lawsuit.

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

Request No. 9: Any documents or other evidentiary materials provided to local, state, or federal law enforcement investigators or local, state or federal prosecutors investigating your sexual activities with minors.

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select, authenticate, and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution. In addition to and without waiving his constitutional privileges, the information sought is privileged and confidential, and inadmissible pursuant to the terms of the deferred prosecution agreement, Fed. Rule of Evidence 410 and 408, and § 90.410, Fla. Stat.² Further, the request may include information subject to work product or an attorney-client privilege.

Request No. 10: All correspondence between you and your attorneys and state or federal law enforcement or prosecutors (includes, but not limited to, letters to and from the State's Attorney's office or any agents thereof).

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select, authenticate, and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution. In addition to and without waiving his constitutional privileges, the information sought is privileged and confidential, and inadmissible pursuant to the terms of the deferred prosecution agreement.

² Jane Doe does not intend to use these materials to draw a forbidden inference of guilt from the mere fact of per se inclusions, but rather for other purposes. These materials are also likely to lead to the discovery of other discoverable evidence. To the extent that Epstein relies on the non-prosecution agreement, nothing in that agreement bars discovery of information relevant to this lawsuit.

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

Fed. Rule of Evidence 410 and 408, and § 90.410, Fla. Stat.³ Further, the request may include information subject to work product or an attorney-client privilege.

Request No. 11: Any and all documents reflecting your current net worth.

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select, authenticate, and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution.

Request No. 12: Personal tax returns for all years from 2002 through the present.

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select, authenticate, and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution, overly broad.

Request No. 13: A photocopy of your passport, including any supplemental pages reflecting travel to locations outside the 50 United States between 2002 and 2008, including any documents or records regarding plane tickets, hotel receipts, or transportation arrangements.

³ Jane Doe does not intend to use these materials to draw a forbidden inference of guilt from the mere fact of non-disclosure in connection with plea discussions, but rather for other purposes. These materials are also likely to lead to the discovery of other admissible evidence. To the extent that Epstein relies on the non-prosecution agreement, nothing in that agreement bars discovery of information relevant to this lawsuit.

CASE NO: 08-CV-80119-MARRA/JOHNSON

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select authentic and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution. In addition to and without waiving his constitutional protections and privileges, the scope of information is so overbroad that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence; compiling such information over a six year period would be unduly burdensome and time consuming.⁴

Request No. 14: A sworn statement of your net worth (including a detailed financial statement depicting all current assets and liabilities).

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select authentic and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution. Drawing an adverse inference under these circumstances would unconstitutionally burden my exercise of my constitutional rights, would be unreasonable, and would therefore violate the Constitution.

Request No. 15: All financial statements or affidavits produced by you for any reason, to any person, company, entity or corporation since 2005.

Defendant is asserting his U.S. constitutional privileges. I intend to respond to all relevant questions regarding this lawsuit, however, my attorneys have counseled me that at the present time I cannot select authentic and produce documents relevant to this lawsuit and I must accept this advice or risk losing my Sixth Amendment right to effective representation. Accordingly, I assert my federal constitutional rights under the Fifth, Sixth, and Fourteenth Amendments as guaranteed by the United States Constitution.

⁴ Jane Doe alleges that Epstein used overseas travel as a means of obtaining underage girls for sexual purposes and avoiding criminal prosecution for such activities. Also, providing a copy of a passport is hardly "burdensome." Also, given the fact that Epstein is likely to have used the services of a travel agent or another intermediary, it should not be difficult for him to provide evidence of his overseas travels from such information.