

From: Janice Franklin [REDACTED]
Sent: 3/3/2014 2:05:34 PM
To: Amanda Kirby [REDACTED]
CC: Jacqueline Lightbody [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: J. Epstein KYC Updates: Additional signer [1]
Attachments: pic12228.gif; pic09623.gif; pic17309.gif; pic01336.gif; pic24272.gif; pic25217.gif; pic08943.gif; pic18516.gif; pic02186.gif; pic18794.gif; pic02613.gif; pic26047.gif; pic16591.gif; pic20548.gif; pic17128.gif; pic16161.gif; pic26746.gif; pic00785.gif; pic23893.gif; pic18080.gif

Classification: For internal use only

That was my guess. The point I wanted to make is given how the letter is written Mr. Indyke should be added to [REDACTED] account. Why? Because the letter states ". . . to give Mr. Indyke signing authority . . . on all accounts associated with the Southern Financial Relationship. [REDACTED] account is associated with the Southern Financial Relationship.

I recommend that Mr. Epstein sends you another letter listing the specific accounts he wants to update or he could broadly write he would like Mr. Indyke added to the accounts in his (Mr. Epstein's) name and where he is the ultimate beneficial owner. If the instructions are written in this manner, [REDACTED] account would not be an issue.

Regards,
Janice P. Franklin

(Embedded image moved to file: pic12228.gif)

Janice Franklin, CAMS, CFSA, CFIRS
Vice President | AML Compliance Officer

Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas
Compliance
60 Wall Street, 10005-2836 New York, NY, USA
Tel. [REDACTED]
Fax [REDACTED]
Email [REDACTED]

(Embedded image moved to file: pic09623.gif)

```
|----->
| From:      |
|----->
|>-----|
| Amanda Kirby, [REDACTED] |
|>-----|
|----->
| To:        |
|----->
|>-----|
| Janice Franklin, [REDACTED], |
|>-----|
|----->
| Date:      |
|----->
|>-----|
```