

[1803-13] All ER Rep 178; Also reported 14 Ves 341; 33 ER 552

account of what is due to him for his legacy of 1,000 pounds. I direct an account as to that upon his releasing all demands upon Elizabeth or John Simpson and declare, that he is not entitled to the estate.

LOAD-DATE: May 9, 2012

Copyright (c) 2011 Case Western Reserve University
Health Matrix: Journal of Law-Medicine

2011

Health Matrix: Journal of Law Medicine

21 Health Matrix 189

LENGTH: 14002 words

**SYMPOSIUM ON COMMERCIAL SPEECH AND PUBLIC HEALTH: DISCOURSE
NORMS AS DEFAULT RULES: STRUCTURING CORPORATE SPEECH TO MULTIPLE
STAKEHOLDERS**

NAME: David G. Yosifon+

BIO: + Assistant Professor, Santa Clara University School of Law. My thanks to Alex Frischer, [REDACTED], and Corey Wallace for excellent research assistance. A summer research stipend from Santa Clara University School of Law helped support this project. Thanks to Mary Sexton for her expert assistance in obtaining research materials. I would also like to thank participants in the Santa Clara University School of Law Faculty Workshop series for helpful comments on an earlier draft. All errors of course are mine. I am grateful to the editors of this journal for their outstanding assistance through all stages of this project. I dedicate this article to my two nephews, Evan Yosifon and Oliver Kim.

LEXISNEXIS SUMMARY:

... The Utility of Multi-Fiduciary Discourse Progressive corporate law scholars have suggested that an alternative to shareholder primacy might be a corporate governance regime that requires directors to actively attend to the interests of multiple stakeholders at the level of firm governance. ... What is of particular interest to the present inquiry is Jensen's conclusion that the "integrity" of corporate agents can be improved through the adoption of a different kind of discourse norm than presently prevails in corporate speech. ... Deploying his discourse norm to advance multi-fiduciary corporate governance would involve requiring organizational integrity towards shareholders, creditors, workers, and consumers. ... Future work in this area may reveal other discourse norms that could improve the social utility of corporate speech by getting corporations to speak about and for the interests of all of their stakeholders, rather than for shareholders alone.

For internal use only