

---

**From:** Stewart Oldfield  
**Sent:** Friday, November 16, 2018 11:56 AM  
**To:** Yoonsun Chung <[REDACTED]>; Bradley Gillin <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** RE: Epstein Insurance Trust [I]

Got it. I didn't read it that way the first time, but do now. Thanks for the clarification. Now I remember why I decided not to become a lawyer . . .

So, what info do we need on the brother?

Thanks for staying with this one.

---

**From:** Yoonsun Chung  
**Sent:** Friday, November 16, 2018 11:39 AM  
**To:** Stewart Oldfield <[REDACTED]>; Bradley Gillin <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** RE: Epstein Insurance Trust [I]

Classification: **Public**

Hello,

Yes, that is the part you sent me – amendment of the benes AFTER his death. We are not interested (for the KYC purposes) the contingent beneficiaries. The amendment only amends the contingent beneficiaries.

The amendment document specifically states that it is deleting all beneficiaries named under **sections (b) (1) through (b) (6)** of Article First of the Insurance Trust and added certain beneficiaries, who, upon the death of the grantor of the Insurance Trust, would be entitled to the principal and all accumulated income from the Insurance Trust. Mark was listed in (b) (6), which deals with AFTER DEATH.

However, the amendment did NOT modify **section (a)** of the First article, which identifies him as the person that could benefit from the trust until his death.

I'm attaching the trust documents. It contains the amendment (page 4 -5 of the attachment). The original trust agreement follows the amendment.