

Subject: Jul 27, 2017 3:16:10 PM Conversation #28478339

From: [REDACTED]
Date: Thu, 27 Jul 2017 15:16:10 -0400
To: [REDACTED]

Interaction information

interID: 28478339
startTime: 2017-07-27T15:16:10-0400
endTime: 2017-07-27T15:40:14-0400
employeeID: [REDACTED]
buddyName: [REDACTED]
networkID: MicrosoftUC
employeeIPAddr: 10.98.158.11
serverName: Zantaz
dbID: VantageUSP01.us.db.com:1433?database=VantageUSP01
deploymentID: 0
outgoingFlag: false
supervisedFlag: true
internalFlag: true
roomName:

Start of interaction

Participant MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] entered on 2017-07-27T15:16:10-0400

Participant information

buddyName: [REDACTED]
networkID: MicrosoftUC
employeeID: [REDACTED]

End of participant information

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:16:10-0400): alrighty, so I have saved the checklis t in the folder. Made some minor changes. I think the risk calc uploaded in the KYC by ABR is fine.

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:16:25-0400): ok so you will be the second approver f or that case

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:16:43-0400): make sure you change it to approved wit h temp exception with date to expire in a month

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:16:54-0400): then change the owner to approved queue

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:17:24-0400): got it. I will wait until Wayne sends back an approval email. Should I upload that approval email as well?

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:17:32-0400): yes

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:17:38-0400): was he ok with you asking?

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:17:42-0400): yup

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:18:10-0400): jennifer Karlin is next

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:18:11-0400): He is in a meeting now so it will be a bit. Which case is next?

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:18:15-0400): lol got it

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:18:52-0400): i checked. I think all the rejection p oints are addressed

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:19:01-0400): awesome. possible downgrade?

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:19:36-0400): hmmm

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:19:56-0400): a thought..... but not sure

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:20:07-0400): what if she is still getting something from him

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:20:54-0400): yeah that's a tough one. I don't think we will ever know. I think it will be safer to keep them as high

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:21:41-0400): yeah for now at least

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:23:53-0400): hey I have not eaten yet

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:24:11-0400): So fro 3:30, I will be gone a few min.

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:24:14-0400): from

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:24:21-0400): Sure thing...go eat!

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:24:26-0400): lol

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:24:34-0400): my son's been sleeping all day

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:24:37-0400): jet leg

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:24:39-0400): I will work on the docs and the memo f or this account

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:24:44-0400): yup

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:25:04-0400): haha thats the reason I would rather g o to work. Being home will inevitably put me to sleep

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:29:44-0400): hey so quick question - We recently ap proved Darren K Undyke PLLC, who is an in house lawyer for Epstein, and we downgraded that account t o low risk

MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] (2017-07-27T15:30:14-0400): So to maintain consistency, should we also downgrade this one?

Participant MicrosoftUC: [REDACTED] left on 2017-07-27T15:40:14-0400

End of interaction