

Platform: Skype: jeevacation

Timezone: UTC+0000



Lawrence Krauss



jeevacation

2017/01/18

Lawrence Krauss

I really do love you deeply as a friend Jeffrey. I don't think I know anyone else who so honestly cares about me, and I don't think I can ever truly express how wonderful that feels.. Thank you. The cruise was a great reset, and I plan to be gone a lot over the next month or two, and if things don't improve, to extend that.. But we

04:35:04 am

revisited our relationship in many ways on the cruise (star trek cruise) in the caribbean, and also the happy pills she is taking are having an effect. I am going to try to be scientific about this, and not religious.. Thanks for this, and everything else. I frankly don't know what I have would have done numerous times without you as a friend. I only hope what I give you in return is some small recompense.

04:37:10 am

p.s. I just had a tequila and a scotch here in Phoenix before preparing class, and am sleep deprived, so if my note seems maudlin, there is a reason. :)

04:37:43 am

LK

2017/01/27

jeevacation

want sure if it was the nuclear clock or your marriage

01:15:45 am

wasn't

01:15:52 am

::0

01:15:58 am

;;;0)

01:16:07 am

U ok

08:46:31 pm

2017/01/28

Lawrence Krauss

ha ha.. the clock on my marriage keeps ticking.. that is the difference... been thinking about you.. maybe we can talk later today.

08:09:59 pm

jeevacation

Yes

08:10:16 pm

krauss, I checked and your emotional ,, and non rational attack on the immigration order was 100 percent WRONG. he banned countries not religions. so putting up false arguments re illegality is tantamount to bad science .

11:40:06 pm

Lawrence Krauss

It was on countries, and it provided preferential immigration for christians over muslims.. check again.. Thanks for looking into this.. and by the way, banning countries isn't allowed either.. So, do you want to waste \$15K helping my boys film me and Richard in London and Amsterdam and maybe me in NYC in case we want to do another film. I have \$15K already, and can get another \$10K, and total would be \$40K according to the film boys... IT is just to put film in the can.. for some kind of Unbelievers2.. involving science and public policy as well.. from your point of view a complete waste of money.

11:45:17 pm

The order also gave the Department of Homeland Security leeway to prioritize refugee claims made by people "on the basis of religious based persecution" as long as the person applying for refugee status is "a minority religion in the individual's country of nationality."

This would make it easier for Christians and other religious minorities in majority Muslim countries from entering the United States than it would for Muslims in general.

LK

11:48:45 pm

jeevacation

<http://www.cnn.com/2017/01/28/politics/text-of-trump-executive-order-nation-ban-refugees/index.html>

11:49:04 pm

Lawrence Krauss

it is the same site I am quoting from above

11:49:36 pm

jeevacation

where did you see it was illegal. ? you normally are very careful with pronouncements.

11:50:20 pm

To resolve this case, Congress amended the law in 1996 to state that "procedures" and "locations" for processing immigration applications cannot count as discrimination. While there is plenty of room for executive mischief there, the amendment made clear that Congress still wanted the discrimination ban to hold some force. A blanket immigration prohibition on a nationality by the president would still be illegal.

Even if courts do find wiggle room here, discretion can be taken too far. If Mr. Trump can legally ban an entire region of the world, he would render Congress's vision of unbiased legal immigration a dead letter. An appeals court stopped President Barack Obama's executive actions to spare millions of undocumented immigrants from deportations for the similar reason that he was circumventing Congress. Some discretion? Sure. Discretion to rewrite the law? Not in America's constitutional system.

11:52:31 pm

Lawrence Krauss

LK

exactly.. I will find you the 1965 legislation.

11:53:35 pm

jeevacation

oo

I've spoken to ash, it is a temp ban not covered.

11:54:06 pm

Lawrence Krauss

LK

That will be for the courts to decide I think.. unless he can demonstrate a national emergency.

11:56:31 pm

jeevacation

oo

never reach the court before the ban expires.

11:56:51 pm

Lawrence Krauss

judges can provide injunctions until it does.

11:57:08 pm

LK

happens all the time.

11:57:13 pm

jeevacation

oo

if he refuses to do anything about climate change. what is the worst that happens in the next four or 8 years. worst case

11:57:40 pm

Lawrence Krauss

first:

11:58:01 pm

The Hart–Celler Act of 1965 marked a radical break from the immigration policies of the past. Previous laws restricted immigration from Asia and Africa, and gave preference to northern and western Europeans over southern and eastern Europeans. [2] In the 1960s, the United States faced both foreign and domestic pressures to change its nation-based formula, which was regarded as a system that discriminated based on an individual's place of birth. Abroad, former military allies and new independent nations aimed to delegitimize discriminatory immigration, naturalization and regulations through international organizations like the United Nations.[3] In the United States, the national-based formula had been under scrutiny for a number of years. In 1952, President Truman had directed the Commission on Immigration and Naturalization to conduct an investigation and produce a report on the current immigration regulations. The report, *Whom We Shall Welcome*, served as the blueprint for the Hart–Celler Act.[4] At the height of the Civil Rights Movement of the 1960s, the restrictive immigration laws were seen as an embarrassment by, among others, President John F. Kennedy, who called the then-quota-system "nearly intolerable".[5] After Kennedy's assassination, President Lyndon Johnson signed the bill at the foot of the Statue of Liberty.

LK

11:58:02 pm

2017/01/29

Lawrence Krauss

Because we are emitting approx 30 Gigatons (use about 6 gigaton) of CO2 annually, and that remains in the atmosphere for approx 600 years, every year we add this amount makes it exponentially harder to cut back in future years before we get far in excess of 2 degrees celsius of heating.. that is why acting in 8 years will require 20% more cutbacks than if we act now.

12:03:15 am

I accept that... re dawkins.. perfectly understandable.. I support you on that one.

12:15:41 am

Hey.. I am glad you didn't take my bet.. I would have felt a little awkward taking a million dollars from you... that way. :)

05:37:37 am

and good news.. Noam is in arizona.

05:37:45 am

LK

Nancy and I had a kind of breakthrough last night, and tonight when she came home I completely blew it.. lost my temper... sigh..

05:39:24 am

jeevacation

maybe you need meds

09:55:51 am

again, you obfuscate , the judes order was only in regard to people already here. not relevant as most were let in

09:57:59 am

Im very sorry to hear about nancy and your stress. . she is what she is. it will not change. hoping to put humpty dumpty back together does not make i possible. I m really truly sorry. I know how much you have emotionally invested. idealized - probably to root issue. . mathematics is the only ideal. everything else sucks

10:53:44 am

for you and I . accepting less than a elegant formula is vexing. we know there are too many terms in the equation and it can be simplified. we can't rest until we find the shortest description. . with women . it is dynamic hormone driven mess with divisions by zero. transcendental numbers and np hard. however you and i don't exist in polynomaial time. at least i don't

10:58:37 am

no

The judges made it clear you can't stop green card holders, and most importantly you can't send them back...and that is just the beginning.. wait.. as for meds, it was just silly reaction by me..., which I am known to do. In the meantime I am thinking of creating the following.. Wanna support it? It is just what you might hate.: Center for Science and Evidence (CSE)

The purpose of the center will be to cover political statements and decisions and analyze these and confront them with science and evidence. To call out lies, to erase misconceptions, to give the background issues substance, to describe science and policy issues in a way that properly represents science. It will not be abstract, it will respond to government policy and statements. It will exist as a voice for reality, not alternative reality.

I envisage hiring a small staff initially, and also using knowledge of a panel of experts. We will also get advertising to support, as well as outside support.

I would be president of it. We will need a operations manager, web developer, social media developer, chief of research.

We will have a board of trustees. Potential candidates:
Chomsky, Wilczek, Rush Holt (president of AAAS), Tyson, Greene, Harold Varmus, David Baltimore (nobelists in medicine, Steven Weinberg, Michael Crow?

11:00:48 pm

ahh. just saw rest of your message from 5 min ago.. It is messy.. but life is messy.. maybe not for you, but for the rest of us mortals.. I have managed to survive the messes, and sometimes even thrive through them. You help, of course.

11:02:31 pm

LK

2017/01/30

jeevacation

green cards specifically say , on their face does not give you a right to enter. . only citizens have that right. green card holders are residents . no more than that. . the center sounds like good idea that some left wing person interested in climate change might fund. :)

11:07:52 am

oo

2017/02/01

Lawrence Krauss

ha.. ok.. anyway.. more soon.

10:24:28 pm

jeevacation

The constitution only applies to citizens .

11:31:23 pm

2017/02/07

jeevacation

<http://www.nationalreview.com/article/444668/whistle-blower-scientist-exposes-shoddy-climate-science-noaa>

07:04:30 pm

2017/02/11

Lawrence Krauss

thanks.. will look at this.

09:01:25 am

I am dubious about this allegation.. I have heard it before.. and science magazine is quite rigorous about its decisions and if there was any doubt about the data they would now be the first to say they are withdrawing the article. Given its source, particularly Lamar Smith, who is a well known climate denier, and science denier in general, I remain skeptical.. let's see what happens.

09:06:23 am