

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Jane Doe v. Jeffrey Epstein
Date: Thu, 01 Jul 2010 18:37:35 +0000
Importance: Normal

That is correct. We are still investigating and the investigation is currently active.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
500 S. Australian Ave, Suite 400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, July 01, 2010 2:36 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Jane Doe v. Jeffrey Epstein
Importance: High

Dexter,

The FD515 is an accomplishment report. I spoke with SA Richards and the FBI does not have an objection to releasing the two FD302's pertaining to Jane Doe's interviews. However, the subpoena is also asking for all items obtained during any criminal investigation of Jeffrey Epstein, his co-conspirators or his former employee. If I'm not mistaken on the prior subpoena from Mermelstein & Horowitz, we did not want to release any of Epstein's information. Please discuss with AUSA [REDACTED] and let me know if we are still in objection to releasing information regarding the criminal investigation of Jeffrey Epstein. Also please let me know if you want me to forward you a copy of the FD302's for Jane Doe.

Thanks.
[REDACTED]
Paralegal, FBI
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, July 01, 2010 10:02 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Jane Doe v. Jeffrey Epstein

Deya,

Attached please find [REDACTED] response on the new subpoena. What is the FD515? Thanks.

Dexter

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2010 1:44 PM

To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Jane Doe v. Jeffrey Epstein

Hi Dexter – When it rains, it pours. I am dealing with another Epstein issue now. The investigation is sort of re-opened, we are trying to track down new victims. But, in light of [REDACTED] waiver, if the items she requests relate only to her, if FBI has no objection, then it seems alright to me.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
500 S. Australian Ave, Suite 400
West Palm Beach, FL 33401

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 28, 2010 5:28 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Jane Doe v. Jeffrey Epstein

Marie,

The FBI has received a subpoena seeking documents relating to Jane Doe. There is a Privacy Act waiver attached which was signed by [REDACTED]. The return date for the subpoena is July 8, 2010. I understand from the FBI that there are two FBI 302's responsive to the request. There is also an FD515, which the FBI believes is not responsive.

Since [REDACTED] has executed a Privacy Act waiver, permitting disclosure to Brad Edwards, there's no Privacy Act issue. Does the government have any privilege to assert regarding the responsive documents, e.g. law enforcement investigatory records, etc.? Thanks.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 28, 2010 9:59 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Jane Doe v. Jeffrey Epstein

Good morning [REDACTED]

I faxed over a new subpoena that we received on another Jane Doe v. Jeffrey Epstein case. I faxed it to [REDACTED] and asked her if she could assign it to you, since you were the one assigned this matter in the past.

I spoke with SA [REDACTED], he advised me that we do have two FD302's of Jane Doe's interviews, and an FD515. The FD515 would not be pertinent to their request. However, he suggested to have you contact the criminal AUSA [REDACTED] and see what her position is on releasing the information requested.

[REDACTED] can be reached at [REDACTED] if you need additional information. Please call me if you need any assistance.

Thank you.

[REDACTED]
Paralegal, FBI
[REDACTED]