

1/24/20 - [redacted] atty presentation

- with JE [redacted]
- beginning on assumption of sufficient evidence to proceed on sex trafficking, though I like
witness @ a trial

- recruited by JE + GM near 2 decades ago

- [redacted]

- [redacted]

- [redacted] stock background w/ [redacted] is then connected to GM + JE

- [redacted] met JE

- [redacted] in CA [redacted]
- met @ VE residence w/ [redacted] GM, JE

- message JE w/ message not [redacted]

- 2nd w/ JE/GM, [redacted] in NY

- GM + JE ask re background

- JE offers to pay off debt

- 3rd to PB w/ GM + JE [redacted], 22 years old

- [redacted] GM + [redacted]

- become totally controlling

- looks are proxy, clothes, hair

- offers to adopt

- become like parents to her

- eventually able to have boyfriends + get husband

[says the Connet took place only in PB]

- [redacted] says some that [redacted] like strategically, seriousness of Connet,

- [redacted] reports that [redacted] may be misremembering [redacted] from messages
as [redacted] like [redacted] trapped w/ [redacted], [redacted] would call her + ask her
to schedule work

- Around 2006/07, GM left picture

As is such a [redacted]
[redacted] is going to make as
clear on my part. This
is [redacted] [redacted] [redacted]
paper a [redacted] plan?

officer thinks [redacted] chargeable on sea trafficking, but open to leaving
about acceptance & responsibility

