

From: "Noam Chomsky" <[REDACTED]>
To: "jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: gromov
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2015 4:50:49 PM

Message is clear, as I think is its significance. It makes sense to formulate meaningful scientific ideas within Gromov's language if by doing so general results obtained within his system have some consequences for the ideas in question. Otherwise not. Rather like category theory in this respect.

It's rarely done by scientists, or by mathematicians for that matter, because the condition is so difficult to satisfy. If it is satisfied, everyone applauds. In the language case there isn't even a hint as to how formulation in these terms would achieve more than obfuscation.

From: jeffrey E. [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 10, 2015 6:11 AM
To: Noam Chomsky
Subject: Fwd: gromov

----- Forwarded message -----

From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Jun 9, 2015 at 6:42 PM
Subject: gromov
To: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>
gromov quote

Yet, I hope that I managed to convey the message: the mathematical language developed by the end of the 20th century by far exceeds in its expressive power anything even imaginable, say, before 1960. Any *meaningful* idea coming from science can be fully developed in this language.

-