
From: jeffrey epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2011 11:16 PM
To: Warwick Wicksman
Subject: Re: LSJ design sketches

You could lower pool 2 ft bit if we low=r living below 59 . How and where do we make the transition ?

Sorry f=r all the typos .Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 21, 2011, at 6:10=PM, Warwick Wicksman [REDACTED] wrote:

Ok. We can raise the ce=ling height in the living room. A couple of questions:

* Do you have a preferred elevati=n from what we sent?

&n=sp; =nbsp;
Warwick Wicksman, AIA
Principal
[REDACTED]

=nbsp; &nbs=; &n=sp;
Gensler
[REDACTED]

<<http://www.gensler.com/>> <http://www.Gensler.com/>:o:p>

Click here to view Gensler's 2=10 Annual Report.

<http://www.gensler.com/uploads/documents/AR_2010_10_22_2010.pdf>

From: jeffrey eps=ein [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 21, 2011 3:01 PM
To: Warwick Wicksman
Subject: Re: LSJ design sketches

Warwick , Tom has all the dimensions of the living ro=m . These are not those. Please copy Santa Fe . 20 ft ceilings in living . H=ight more important there than pool . Doors on east end match west end. Gal=ery height no more than 12 . Stairs to mezz cannot be in the center of pool

Sorry for all the typos .Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 21, 2011, at 5:39 PM, Warwick Wicksman [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] wrote:

Jeffrey,

Attached please find some sketches based on what you and Tom reviewed at the Island last week.

Plans ("G5 main level", "G5 lower level", and "G5 mezzanine level", "G5 roof"):

Please confirm if we are approved to proceed with this building layout. We need to get the main building going ASAP, and want to release the structural engineer and pool engineer to move ahead. Tom is still studying the stairs and the exterior elevations; that can continue to develop. But we need to know if the main "boxes" are set.

Exterior Elevations ("elevation study A..." and "elevation study B..."):

These sketches are based on what was discussed last week.

- * "A" includes sloped-roof and flat-roof version that matches the design of the existing buildings.
- * "B" includes a flat-roof mission-style concept for the living room, with the two options for the entry building (one mission, one matching existing).
- * Our initial preference is leaning towards the sloped-roof version of A, but we want to evaluate each scheme with you in more detail.

Sections:

These show the basic heights, based on the sloped-roof version of elevation "A".

Please review and comment, and let us know if the floor plans are approved!

Thanks,

Warwick

[REDACTED]
Warwick Wicksman, AIA
Principal

[REDACTED]
Gensler
[REDACTED]



<http://www.Gensler.co>= <<http://www.gensler.com/>>

Click here to view Gensler's 2010 Annual Report.

<http://www.gensler.com/uploads/documents/AR_2010_10_22_20=0.pdf>

<Elevation Study A 1-21-11.PDF>

<Elevation Study B 1-21-11.PDF>

<Option G5 -lower plan.pdf>

<Option G5 -mezzanine level.pdf>

=

<Option G5 main level.pdf>

<Option G5 -roof plan.pdf>

<Option G5 -Section A and B.PDF>

=

=