

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 08-80736-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON

JANE DOE #1 and JANE DOE #2,

Petitioners,

vs.

UNITED STATES,

Respondent.

---

RESPONDENT'S SECOND MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILE  
REPLIES AND RESPONSES TO PETITIONERS' RESPONSES AND  
MOTIONS FILED ON DECEMBER 5, 2011

Respondent, by and through its undersigned counsel, files its Second Motion for Enlargement of Time to File Replies and Responses to Petitioners' Responses and Motions filed on December 5, 2011, and state:

1. On December 5, 2011, petitioners filed their Response to Government's Sealed Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction (D.E. 127); Response to Government's Sealed Motion to Stay (D.E. 129); Protective Motion for Remedies (D.E. 128); and Protective Motion to Compel (D.E. 130). Respondent's replies to petitioners' two responses were due on December 15, 2011, while responses to petitioners' two motions were due on December 22, 2011.

2. On December 15, 2011, respondents moved for an enlargement of time, up to and including January 6, 2012, to file the two responses and two replies. The Court granted respondent's motion on December 15, 2011. D.E. 137.

3. Respondent has begun preparing its responses and replies. However, Assistant U.S. Attorney [REDACTED] has been occupied for the past several weeks in preparing for an evidentiary hearing scheduled for January 24, 2012, for a motion filed by an incarcerated individual under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Assistant U.S. Attorney [REDACTED] will be starting a civil trial on Tuesday, January 17, 2012, at 10:00 a.m. M.C. v. United States, Case No. 11-20216-CIV-UNGARO (S.D.Fla.). The responses and replies will be prepared by Assistant U.S. Attorney [REDACTED] with limited assistance from AUSAs [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

4. On January 5, 2012, the undersigned contacted petitioners' counsel to determine their position on the instant motion. Petitioners' counsel graciously did not oppose the instant motion.

WHEREFORE, respondent respectfully requests a second enlargement of time, up to and including January 24, 2012, to file its reply to petitioners' response to respondent's sealed motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction (D.E. 127), and respondent's sealed motion to stay (D.E. 129); and to file its response to petitioners' protective motion for remedies (D.E. 128), and protective motion to compel (D.E. 130).

DATED: January 6, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

WIFREDO A. FERRER  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

By: s/ [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]  
99 N.E. 4<sup>th</sup> Street  
Miami, Florida 33132  
[REDACTED]  
Fax: [REDACTED]

E-mail: [REDACTED]  
Attorney for Respondent

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on January 6, 2012, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF.

s/ [REDACTED]  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

SERVICE LIST

Jane Does 1 and 2 v. United States,  
Case No. 08-80736-CIV-MARRA/JOHNSON  
United States District Court, Southern District of Florida

Bradley J. Edwards, Esq.,  
Farmer, Jaffe, Weissing, Edwards, Fistos & Lehrman, P.L.  
425 North Andrews Avenue, Suite 2  
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301  
[REDACTED]  
Fax: [REDACTED]  
E-mail: [REDACTED]

Paul G. Cassell  
S.J. Quinney College of Law at the  
University of Utah  
332 S. 1400 E.  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112  
[REDACTED]  
Fax: [REDACTED]  
E-mail: [REDACTED]

Attorneys for Jane Doe # 1 and Jane Doe # 2