

To: [REDACTED]
From: Jeffrey Epstein
Sent: Wed 3/31/2010 1:25:35 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Summers/CX readout

where will the bill;s language be changed to "may" from shall.. - in Dodds markup by amanagers amendment by Chris Dodd himself? or an amendment on floor.? are you still seeing LS for breakfast.

On Wed, Mar 31, 2010 at 9:11 AM, <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Jamie saw CX, friendly. No time for me but he did autograph the book I am reading about him!

Sent from my BlackBerry® wireless device

From: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, 31 Mar 2010 09:09:17 -0400
To: <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Fw: Summers/CX readout

Ownership of hedge funds seems of little risk as compared to proprietary trading. if they were to limit prop trading wouldnt that be enough, why limit the asset classes to mutual funds in a complex environment? clients feel more comfortable knowing that the banks are giving their blessing to certain alternative investments. they will merely go off shore with no oversight , right in the uks lap. On another note did tony see larry or jamie . ? i know you asked to see jamie. is it important to you?

On Wed, Mar 31, 2010 at 9:03 AM, <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Welcome any other fine tuned questions to him

Sent from my BlackBerry® wireless device

From: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, 31 Mar 2010 08:48:43 -0400
To: <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Fw: Summers/CX readout

the current language is after review the regulators SHALL, it needs to be MAY decide to limit...

On Wed, Mar 31, 2010 at 8:43 AM, <[REDACTED]> wrote:

PI protect

Sent from my BlackBerry® wireless device

From: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>
Date: Wed, 31 Mar 2010 13:38:43 +0100
To: <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Summers/CX readout

Summers/CX readout

CX was grateful for your intelligence ahead of the meeting.

Financial Services reform

- LS gave similar position on substance of **Volcker** as at Davos. Focus of concern is more on prop trading than size of banks or breaking them up. Dodd Bill requires the regulatory authorities to consider Volcker's proposals and bring forward options for delivering them. So more room for regulatory discretion than one might imagine. The Dodd Bill is the template for reform. US competition law already limits any one bank to having no more than 10% of retail deposits. Some discussion on whether to introduce a similar cap on the level of liabilities held by any one bank

- On **derivatives**, US continues, like us, to think that in principle they should be traded through a clearing house but also recognise there are circumstances where direct contracts are appropriate. Drawing the dividing line is difficult.

- On bank **living wills**, US position similar to ours

- On **systemic levy**, US position not far apart from us. CX reminded LS that at Finance Ministers meeting in Canada there was a move away from a global insurance fund to a global levy. LS did not dispute. CX noted that because US Govt taxes American banks on worldwide basis there was a real risk of double taxation of UK banks e.g. Barclays. This an issue of design of a

global levy, not of principle, but very important for the UK nonetheless

- There is a hot debate going on domestically in US on whether the Fed should continue to **regulate consumer finance** or not - Obama has proposed setting up an FSA-style agency taking it out of the Fed (obvious UK domestic debate resonances)

- LS asked CX on whether and how US should **engage with European allies** (mutual blaming of Fr and Ge stances). LS didn't want to make the position worse by provoking further hostility. CX said US did need to engage actively with EU and European capitals. But they should try to be more consensual, and start from a common position with European countries as far as possible.

The original of this email was scanned for viruses by the Government Secure Intranet virus scanning service supplied by Cable&Wireless in partnership with MessageLabs. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) On leaving the GSi this email was certified virus free. Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.