

To: J Jep[jeevacation@gmail.com]
From: GMAX
Sent: Mon 6/13/2011 8:40:46 PM
Subject: FW:

Title: FW:

----- Forwarded Message

From: G G <gmax1@ellmax.com>
Date: Mon, 13 Jun 2011 09:53:48 -0400
To: Dana B <[REDACTED]>
Subject: FW:

----- Forwarded Message

From: G G <gmax1@ellmax.com>
Date: Sun, 12 Jun 2011 16:04:39 -0400
To: J Jep <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Subject: <no subject>

MY PR peePs want me to write a letter rather than ans the question directLy. They say I will loose all credibility and all voice if one single thing is wrong or exaggerated...please read it v carefully to check that what I say is 100% true and provable.. they think I still have a voice and can speak..use it once and get it wrong it will be gone for ever – AM IN MY OFFICE CALL

Please get back to me with any changes today as it has to go they say tomorrow

Dear Mr Klein

Ross Gow of Acuity Reputation, who I have retained to deal with press enquiries, tells me that you have sent him a list of questions.

I've answered in a narrative form, which I hope will make sense. I've tried to keep it succinct.

Driving straight to the heart of the matter, I believe the continuing press on Mr Epstein is in large measure part of a blatant attempt to game the legal system by lawyers that are involved in civil action against Mr Epstein and by newspapers who love and need a sensational story that sells papers regardless of balance or truth. Trying to tie Prince Andrew to Mr Epstein's story, is part of that larger plan.

You must be aware that Mr Edwards,' one of the lawyers who continues to promote this story is in, JE NEED YOU TO WRITE LAWYERS NAMES HERE AND WHAT EVER THE AGREEMENT IS OF THE REALTIONSHOIP EDWARDS HAS WITH THEM SO IT TIES THEM TO THE SCHEM EVEN IF REMOVED ONE BY EDWARDS was working for and a Partner in a now defunct law firm with Scott Rothstein. Scott Rothstein very recently was sent to jail for 50 years for creating false allegations against Mr Epstein and then using those allegations to obtain money by deception.

You know that Mr Rothstein destroyed people's lives with his fantastic and untrue suits and that in pursuit of his evil, greedy plans he corrupted ex policemen to create fake cases and forged the signatures of judges to sign off on those charges. Rothstein's plea related to, AMONGST OTHER THINGS, CREATING AND PROMOTING fake legal settlements AND SELLING interests in those settlements TO UNSUSPECTING INVESTORS. Rothstein engaged in these CORRUPT ILLEGAL AND SHAMELESS SCHEMES UNDERNEATH THE UMBRELLA OF A LEGAL PRACTICE TO STEAL MONEY. HE, AND OTHERS IN HIS FIRM, DEMONSTRATED AN EXPLOITATION OF THE U.S. LEGAL SYSTEM THAT USED AND CREATED FAKE 'VICTIMS' TO PROMOTE HIS ILLEGAL AND LIFE DESTROYING , FAKE SUITS. FBI Investigations continue into the now defunct firm, those who worked for the firm, and many of the ex partners of Rothstein's firm are subject to legal and civil proceedings. You will be aware that Mr. Edwards himself, faces a professional investigation and serious potential charges brought by Mr Epstein.

[REDACTED] civil suit against Mr Epstein, along with her allegations in it, emerged IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN at the time that Scott Rothstein was creating HIS false claims. If you write a balanced article, you will be bound to record that Ms Roberts has made years pReviously sexual harrasment claims against people other than Mr Epstein and that they were thrown out of court for her lack of credibility. You will also, presumably, draw attention to the fact that, prior to filing her civil suit against Mr Epstein, and long after she allegedly ceased to be employed by him as a masseuse, Ms Roberts fled the US to avoid being arrested and presumably be sent to jail for grand theft. Also I think it is probably fair to mention that she has been paid a large sum of money by at least one British national newspaper, aside from any other monies that she has received, which represents a further incentive to make any claims that would help keep her name in the public domain by attempting to link her to Prince Andrew and have what she has to say be worth any money to those seeking to use her for their own means. All in all, given her record, which includes a number of other highly colourful events, (of which I have no doubt you will be aware and will reflect) I assume you will treat what she says with great scepticism.

TO this point Ms Roberts was I believe actually 17 and living with her fiance when Mr Epstein first employed her as a Masseuse. When it comes to Ms Roberts allegations about her time in London, I can't say I remember being in London with her at all and neither can I remember flying with her. I fly thousands of miles a year all over the world and would be hard pushed to say what plane and with whom I was flying even with in the last year. Of course, I've seen the photograph of the Duke of York with [REDACTED] but I have absolutely no recollection at all of the photograph being taken.

I've met the Duke of York on a number of occasions but whenever I've seen him he has been fully and properly attired and I have no knowledge of naked pool parties, unless one considers the odd person sunbathing without a swimming costume a party, at Mr Epstein's home.

As to my relationship with Mr Epstein, I can tell you that I do not share any homes anywhere with Mr Epstein; he does not support me in any way at all. For the record I had in fact, until very recently, been in a committed and happy relationship for the last seven years with someone else. Mr Epstein's imprisonment and the circumstances to which that related occurred many years after our relationship had ceased.

Regards

Ghislaine Maxwell

----- End of Forwarded Message

----- End of Forwarded Message