

To: Erdoes, Mary E [REDACTED]
Cc: Staley, Jes [REDACTED] Shenker, Nina O [REDACTED]
From: Jeffrey Epstein
Sent: Mon 5/30/2011 11:46:38 AM
Subject: Re: fyi

info needed, -- managed acct NAV at 10 /05 10 /06. realized value,? .. redemption schedule , we have documnets that schulte had a meeting to disclose wrongdoing sept 06 BEFORE my withdrawal request and the discussions why I should not redeem my entire 140 million. but reduce it to 80million. Managed acct should have had virtually the same assets as the Fund.

On Mon, May 30, 2011 at 12:53 PM, Erdoes, Mary E <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Jeffrey-
Yes, we are going to have Condren step in here on this issue as well as the Bear issues. We will have everyone get together this week, if possible, and then we will see what to do from there.
Mary

From: Jeffrey Epstein <jeevacation@gmail.com>
To: Erdoes, Mary E; Staley, Jes
Sent: Mon May 30 03:36:28 2011
Subject: fyi

I think you should ask Wendall to recall the meeting he had with Sussman and Glenn. Glenn was unequivocal., adamant ,and loud in front of them both - that had he known about ziwrn taking money for the airplane (form the Highbridge acct no less , in dec of 04).. he would have immediatley told me to take all my money out . He said he would have taken all his money out .. We only receintly received the doc re garding the schulte meeting,, (shulte was called in in investigate the problem), where though my oral redemption request was in oct, and the written reduced demand on NOV. 13,schulte had a meeting in sept in which glenn attended, that informed Zwiwr and Glenn of the problem in detail. (wendall knows this!)/ I am aware of the issue being legal vs moral duty. However , the legal is abundantly clear once all the documents are reviewed, as opposed to only what wendall has. I expressed in no uncertain terms in talking with Condren that I view this as a dispute (Me vs JPM , in the Bear STearn case) as a family disagreement,. hopefully not discounted , by my well stated desire , never to file suit. that should not be a factor in a fair resolution of both issues. Im not sure if Condren can say Jeffreys claim is worth x- million if he were to file a claim , and only x minus, , now that we know he is unlikely to file

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this

communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

This email is confidential and subject to important disclaimers and conditions including on offers for the purchase or sale of securities, accuracy and completeness of information, viruses, confidentiality, legal privilege, and legal entity disclaimers, available at <http://www.jpmorgan.com/pages/disclosures/email>.

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved