

To: Jeffrey Epstein[jeevacation@gmail.com]
From: President
Sent: Mon 3/25/2013 3:45:23 AM
Subject: Re: Thanks

I agree that something not acknowledged as a treasure needs to be evaluated. But the auction house metaphor does not quite work--for reasons of actual as well as perceived value, speculation, collection madness, exclusive ownership. What is relevant is the re-emergence of music in new contexts, so that the evaluation reveals itself in part in influence (e.g. forms of neo-classicism). Also I am interested in the evolution of musical meaning, and treasures are not the only purpose of the endeavor. Remember your own enjoyment at listening to Herzogenberg.
Leon

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jeffrey Epstein" <jeevacation@gmail.com>
To: "President" [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, March 24, 2013 9:33:03 PM
Subject: Re: Thanks

when treasures are "re discovered" . (not sure the re is appropriate). it needs to be evaluated (here you can use "re"). it requires close examination to ascertain its new value. the auction house , is a nice way of determining it. and a long way on its path to recognition.

On Mon, Mar 25, 2013 at 1:45 AM, President [REDACTED] wrote:

I am finally home.

First, let me wish you a happy passover; second, thanks for the candor and the friendship. So you won't mind if I push back.

There are, as I can see it, three issues and if each can be addressed, with a third round of checking, you might think differently. I have had Lynne send on names.

1) The repertoire. The works we do are worth doing, sometimes because a masterpiece is unearthed, and sometimes, something good but influential and worth hearing has been revived, and and sometimes as a foil from history against which we measure our so called greatest hits. In all cases, the works have to be performed and experienced and therefore performed. And we have a loyal following in the hall and on the internet. So something is working.

2) The format of the concerts is a curated one that links music with literature, politics, history--a necessary part of what music is. In that sense the ASO is totally unique.

3) Botstein and the ASO. The truth is that I have been such a thorn of the side of critics, conductors and managers that I am not surprised at what you found. But you will find support and real support within the profession. Pardon the expression but I have not gotten medals and awards for anything but my work in music. I just got the Bruckner Medal this month (the other recipients have been Toscanini and Walter, among others). And I got the same Austrian Cross for contributions to music as Sir Simon Rattle--the same year. I hate that stuff, but there it is.

On 1--again think of Nabokov, whose favorite Russian poets were often obscure

figures derided by all the other critics. He stood alone. The Marschner is beautiful opera and a crucial link between Beethoven and Wagner. So I stand in the Quixotic defense of works that are worth it--even if they are not as good as others. Music does not follow Darwinian patterns (a longer discussion). It is not science. That is, if i may say so, a commonplace; the idea that history is a judge that seems right but is not. One of the greatest plays was forgotten after the writer died and rediscovered in the 1920s, 100 years later--Woyzeck. That is just one example.

As to 2, that is one way to build an audience, by linking music to other forms of life--to pretentiously paraphrase Wittgenstein.

And to No 3) I am still haunted by early criticisms by angry competitors and idiot ignorant critics who hated my ideas and the fact that I was an outsider with another career in scholarship and education.

That being said, why not give me one last shot at proving the majority wrong. I have been at it for nearly 25 years, and in the next five, if there can be no measured improvement on the execution front--then that will be that. But 120,000 sales and a Grammy nomination for a rare work--a Popov symphony from the 1930s,--and more than 20 years of some real success (we have generated a body of new scholarship in music history--is cause enough to inspire you to give us help.

This is my plea. But I am not Moses, and if there were a God, he would not be on my side. (Another reason to help).

I greatly cherish this new friendship and I have real admiration for how you go about doing things----tough as it is often I truly enjoy the argument. But this time I and not your preliminary findings and researchers--am right. Given the firestorm I created 20 years ago I am even surprised I did as well in your research, whatever grade you put on the result. It is not the final exam, only a badly constructed mid-term, I am a bit proud not to have gotten a top grade. True controversy rarely leads to praise in this business. Nabokov became famous and admired only at the end.

Leon

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com , and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved