

To: jeevacation@gmail.com[jeevacation@gmail.com]
From: Matthew I. Menchel
Sent: Thur 12/13/2012 9:28:25 PM
Subject: Re:

Jeffrey,

As always, I enjoyed our get together. It dawned on me, after you mentioned your meeting with Tim Zagat, that sending you - a person who never goes out to eat - a Zagats is a totally useless gift. Kind of like bringing a nice bottle of wine to dinner for a guy who never drinks. Oh wait, I did that too.

Thanks for the info on Trivers. I'll check it out. Not sure I agree about the parent/teacher distinction in terms of difference between biological vs adopted child. I can't imagine feeling any different about my son just cause he came from my same genetic pool and I doubt he feels any differently towards me. I guess time will tell. I will say, I wouldn't have it any other way.

Keep in touch,
Matt

Matthew I. Menchel
+ [REDACTED]

KOBRE & KIM LLP
www.kobrekim.com

New York | London | Hong Kong | Washington, DC | Miami | Cayman Islands | BVI

From: Jeffrey Epstein [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2012 12:11 AM
To: Matthew I. Menchel
Subject:

robert trivers , genes in conflict . ----- blog found today----- . I also entertain the possibility that Sandusky is innocent. Why? Because I took the initiative to read the trial transcript and formed my own conclusions.

I found nothing in the transcript that proved that Sandusky was innocent. I found nothing in the transcript that proved to me, beyond a reasonable doubt, that Sandusky is guilty either. The testimony of the victims is absolutely very sad. It's also inconsistent, and involves allegations that were brought to light often under very dubious circumstances (previously estranged family members hiring civil lawyers for them, psychologists uncovering repressed memories, questionable investigative and interview techniques, etc).

I encourage you all to read the transcript yourselves, then compare it with the Grand Jury

testimony. Is Sandusky innocent? Not necessarily. But, it certainly raises questions of his guilt. To me, the most disturbing thing about this situation is the speed and conviction at which Sandusky was tried and found guilty by the media and public - long before the case went to trial. No physical evidence. Mainly testimony by a handful of people who are now filing civil suits for millions, and a former football coach who has told numerous different versions of his story (and is now filing a civil suit of his own). Kind of reminiscent of the community hysteria surrounding the Salem witchcraft trials. Scary.

--

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Jeffrey Epstein

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved