

**To:** jeevacation@gmail.com[jeevacation@gmail.com];

jeevacation@gmail.com[jeevacation@gmail.com]; [REDACTED]

**From:** The Duke

**Sent:** Fri 3/4/2011 5:37:50 PM

**Subject:** Fw: RESPONSE draft 2

This is what is suggested. Make any changes that suit you both that make it relevant to your particular case.

There are two competing issues to deal with.

1. Is to clearly state that this is fabrication.

And 2 to distance me from any of this if you can.

As is said at the bottom we are now getting questions from the MoS as well.

---

**From:** Amanda Thirsk [REDACTED]

**To:** The Duke

**Sent:** Fri Mar 04 17:32:39 2011

**Subject:** RESPONSE draft 2

Sir

I would go with something along the following lines ...

The allegations that are made by [REDACTED] in paragraph 6 in relation to The Duke of York are completely untrue and without foundation. The only time I recall them meeting was at my home in 2001 in London – you have published a photo.

They did not have sex. They may have met again in New York but I categorically state that Prince Andrew did not grope Ms [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. Nor did they have sex. There was no orgy in Little St James.

More questions coming directly to us from Mail via Ed.

Amanda