

To: jeevacation@gmail.com[jeevacation@gmail.com]; J Jep[jeevacation@gmail.com]
From: GMAX
Sent: Sun 6/12/2011 8:36:29 PM
Subject: FW: Vanity Fair

Title: FW: Vanity Fair More from the pr and my answer to them – going to work out

----- Forwarded Message

From: G G [REDACTED]

Date: Sun, 12 Jun 2011 16:35:23 -0400

To: Brian Basham [REDACTED] Philip Barden [REDACTED]

Cc: Jane Hincksman [REDACTED]

Conversation: Vanity Fair

Subject: Re: Vanity Fair

Got it..I actually am only checking that I have all the supporting docs before it goes..i know all is accurate - supporting it with legal papers and police files just makes it more so. There are some things that I don't want public yet so those will be redacted

Thx

On 6/12/11 16:31 PM, "Brian Basham" [REDACTED] wrote:

As ever, when in doubt leave out.

From: GMAX [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 June 2011 9:12 PM
To: Philip Barden; Brian Basham
Cc: Jane Hincksman
Subject: Re: Vanity Fair

Thank you _ I have it now and am working the letter a little – I will send the final version tomorrow and what ever is in it will be factually accurate

Best
G

On 6/12/11 16:00 PM, "Philip Barden" [REDACTED] > wrote:
See below as amended by me only for factual accuracy and some notes where I am uncertain of the accuracy.

Dear Mr Klein

Ross Gow of Acuity Reputation, who I have retained to deal with press enquiries, tells me that you have sent him the following list of questions.

My condition of answering those questions is that you print this reply in full. I've

answered in a narrative form, which I hope will make sense both to you and to your readers. I've tried to keep it succinct.

We don't know each other but I am familiar with the world of journalism and there is an obvious temptation for journalists to sensationalise their stories, so, as a precaution, I've sent a copy of this letter to Vanity Fair's Editor in Chief, Graydon Carter.

Driving straight to the heart of the matter, the allegations that have been made against me are part of an attempt by [REDACTED] and her lawyer, Brad Edwards, to extort money from me. This follows an unsuccessful attempt by them at blackmailing me. To spice up the story they have made allegations about HRH Prince Andrew. They are untrue.

You must be aware that Mr Edwards' former partner [check this], Scott Rothstein, is now serving a 50 year prison term for creating false allegations against Mr Epstein and then using those allegations to obtain money by deception in a Ponzi scheme. You know that Mr Rothstein destroyed people's lives with his fantastic and untrue suits and that in pursuit of his evil plans he corrupted ex policemen to create faked cases. He even forged the signatures of judges to sign off on those charges [I am not aware of this level of detail – is it correct?]. You will be aware that Mr. Edwards now, himself, faces a professional investigation and serious potential charges.

[REDACTED] allegations only emerged at the time that Scott Rothstein was creating false claims and years after the alleged misconduct. The releasing of the allegations to the national press only occurred after I refused to make any payments to silence [REDACTED] or her attorneys because the allegations were utterly false. As you will be aware, it is also believed to be the case that she has been paid a large sum of money by at least one British national newspaper. That represents a further incentive to make false claims.

If you write a balanced article, you will be bound to record that [REDACTED] has made a previous claim of sexual harassment totally unrelated to Mr Epstein and which was not proceed with because the police took the view she had no credibility.

You will also, presumably, draw attention to the fact that, prior to filing her civil suit against Mr Epstein, [REDACTED] fled the US to avoid being arrested for grand theft. [is this correct. My recollection is that we knew she was indicted but did not know the outcome. Perhaps you have better information now]

All in all, given her record, which includes a number of other highly colourful events (of which I have no doubt you will be aware and will reflect) I assume you will treat what she says with great scepticism.

All the untrue events are alleged to have happened ten years ago. Until those allegations emerged I had no recollection of [REDACTED] at all. I couldn't have picked her out in a crowd or, perhaps more relevantly in her case, in an identity parade. After some thought, I recalled that I first met [REDACTED] when she was working at a Premier Health Resort, claiming to be 18 years old and a professional masseuse. As part of his health programme, Mr Epstein had regular massages and employed both female and male masseuses. [REDACTED] always came to Mr Epstein's property with either [REDACTED] in attendance, sometimes both. I was aware of no improper conduct and none was brought to my attention.

When it comes to [REDACTED] allegations about her time in London, I can't say I remember her at all and neither can I remember flying with her. I fly many thousands of miles a year all over the world in many different aircraft.

Of course, I've seen the photograph of the Duke of York with [REDACTED] but I have absolutely no recollection at all of the photograph being taken and cannot say who took it.

I've met the Duke of York on a number of occasions but whenever I've seen him he has been properly attired and I have no knowledge of naked pool parties at Mr Epstein's Palm Beach estate or elsewhere.

I do not share any homes with Mr Epstein and he does not support me in any way. To put this in context my relationship with Mr Epstein ended over a decade ago and since then I have had a long term relationship. Mr Epstein's imprisonment and the circumstances to which that related occurred over 5 years after our relationship had ceased.

If I've missed anything do let Mr. Gow know.

Regards

Ghislaine Maxwell

Attach Klein's questions.

Brian Basham

Mobile: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

This email is intended for the addressee named within only. It may contain legally privileged or confidential information. If you are not the named individual you should not read this email and if you do so, you must not under any circumstances make use of the information therein. If you have read this email and it is not addressed to you, please notify IT@devonshires.co.uk and confirm that it has been deleted from your system and no copies made.

This Firm is regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority under registration number 0049857. This Firm does not accept service by electronic mail or facsimile. A list of partners, together with further legal statements, is available upon request or at www.devonshires.co.uk/terms-and-conditions/legal-notices.html

Devonshires Solicitors, 30 Finsbury Circus, London EC2M 7DT tel +44 (0)20 7628 7576 fax +44 (0)20 7256 7318

We have a complaints procedure, a copy of which is available on request. If you are not satisfied with our handling of your complaint, you can ask the Legal Ombudsman to consider the complaint. The Legal Ombudsman can be contacted on 0300 555 0333 or can be contacted by email: enquiries@legalombudsman.org.uk. Normally, you will need to bring a complaint to the Legal Ombudsman within 6 months of receiving a final written response from us about your complaint. You also have a right to object to a bill by applying to the court for an assessment of the bill under Part 3 of the Solicitors Act 1974. If all or part of the bill remains unpaid, we may be entitled to charge interest.

The Devonshires Foundation is proud to support Clic Sargent (reg. charity 1107328) and The National Autistic Society (reg. charity 269425) during 2010/2011.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

----- End of Forwarded Message