

**From:** "[REDACTED]. (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

**To:** "Sloman, Jeff (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** RE: JE

**Date:** Tue, 09 Oct 2007 18:39:17 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

---

Hi Jeff -- That sounds good to me. There are so many of them over there, I am afraid we are getting triple-teamed. The New York Post is reporting that Gerry Lefcourt is writing a letter to Alex asking him to reconsider sex offender registration. It appears they don't understand that a signed contract is binding.

Can I tell Lilly that Judge Davis is willing to do the lawyer selection pro bono? That avoids the issue of the office steering "business"-- meaning money -- to any particular person.

Just let me know and I will send her a letter this afternoon.

Thanks.

---

**From:** Sloman, Jeff (USAFLS)

**Sent:** Tue 10/9/2007 10:05 AM

**To:** [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)

**Subject:** RE: JE

[REDACTED]

I suggest that you communicate your proposal back to Lilly. The more "voices" they hear the more wedges they try to drive between us. If you agree, then I will email Lilly and tell her that you are handling the negotiations.

Jeff

-----Original Message-----

**From:** [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 09, 2007 9:10 AM

**To:** Sloman, Jeff (USAFLS)

**Subject:** RE: JE

Hi Jeff -- No. This is not what the agreement says -- according to Lilly, the waiver ONLY applies if the girls agree to be bound by the settlement procedure. That cannot be true if the victims are allowed to "file suit pursuant to 2255." I also think it is inappropriate to have the special master be both the "fact finder" (Lilly's reference to having him interview the girls to determine their standing), and purportedly serve as the girls' representative. I also have strong concerns regarding Judge Davis' health. Personally contacting and meeting with 40 girls who are scattered all over would be physically challenging. I also think that the same concerns that you have regarding the office selecting an attorney apply to selecting Judge Davis to do all of this work. In light of Guy's reappearance in this case, Judge Davis may no longer be the best choice.

I would recommend the following: Judge Davis selects one or more attorneys who will represent the girls on a contingency fee basis. If you feel uncomfortable providing a list of attorneys to Judge Davis, then he can choose from the full universe of attorneys, so long as we are still able to provide him with a list of criteria for his selection. The lawyer(s) can interview the girls and determine how they want to proceed. If any one or more of the victims, in consultation with their independent counsel, wants to mediate the case, and agrees that Judge Davis should serve as the mediator/special master, they can proceed accordingly, and if Epstein wants to pay Judge Davis's fees in that capacity, it makes much more sense.

Jay was supposed to e-mail me to let me know if we would be having a conference call today or not, but I have not heard back from him.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

EFTA00067246

██████████  
Fax 561 820-8777

-----Original Message-----

From: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tuesday, October 09, 2007 8:51 AM  
To: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Subject: FW: JE

Is this palatable?

Sent from my GoodLink synchronized handheld (www.good.com)

-----Original Message-----

From: Lilly Ann Sanchez [mailto:██████████]  
Sent: Monday, October 08, 2007 08:29 PM Eastern Standard Time  
To: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Cc: Jay Lefkowitz  
Subject: JE

██████████  
please see attached letter. we would like to meet with you this week to finalize this matter.  
call me once you review and let me know when you would be available.  
thanks again for your assistance.  
regards

Lilly Ann Sanchez, Esq.  
FOWLER WHITE BURNETT P.A.  
Espirito Santo Plaza, 14th Floor  
1395 Brickell Avenue  
Miami, Florida 33131-3302  
Telephone: (305) 789-9200  
Direct Dial: ██████████  
Facsimile: (305) 728-7579  
██████████

**\*\*TAX MATTERS- IRS Circular 230 Disclosure:** To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication (including attachments) was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein. If you would like such advice, please contact us.**\*\*\***

**\*\*\*Attention:** The information contained in this E-mail message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual(s) named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please contact the sender by reply E-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you.