

**From:** "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>  
**To:** "[REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USANYS) [Contractor]" <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** FW: Private and Confidential  
**Date:** Wed, 02 Oct 2019 19:18:41 +0000

---

[REDACTED],

**This is the email that [REDACTED] forwarded to me for handling by us. Please let me know what you think is best approach that will give respect to the fact that Ms. Sarnoff has been previously emailing her information to AG Barr directly and that also respects her efforts to be helpful. Thanks**

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Date:** October 2, 2019 at 8:02:14 AM CDT  
**To:** William Barr  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Private and Confidential

Dear Mr. Barr,

In the Epstein case, one victim's testimony, [REDACTED], describes how she was "trafficked" for sex. Given my understanding of that law, and under TVPA, the victim's testimony does not seem to match the criteria for sex trafficking.

If I am correct, why are the courts allowing Ms. [REDACTED] case to be defined as a child sex trafficking case?

According to her testimony in the first complaint, Jane Doe 102 vs. Jeffrey Epstein, [filed May 1, 2009 in Southern District FL] and later in a telephone interview with attorneys Jack Scarola and Brad Edward, filed 2011, Ms. [REDACTED] claims she voluntarily agreed to several sexual activities without the use of force, fraud and coercion:

For example:

1. When Ms. [REDACTED] first met Epstein (at his home) and Maxwell (at Mar a Lago), she returned to the sight of the crime [Epstein's home] voluntarily while continuing to live with her family in West Palm Beach.
2. [REDACTED] agreed to quit her employment as a bathroom attendant at Mar a Lago to earn more money having sex with Epstein, Maxwell and others while under the age of consent in Florida. She began at age 15 (dob August.1983) up until age 17 or 18.
3. Once Epstein proposed to rent an apartment for [REDACTED], girl agreed to live in a separate apartment from Epstein and close to her family in West Palm Beach. The apartment paid for by Epstein according to deposition was her's independent of Epstein and Maxwell and close to her family house.
4. [REDACTED] traveled to London, knowing and without the use of force, fraud and coercion to have a sexual experience with [REDACTED].

5. Each time Ms. [REDACTED] was told by Epstein and or Maxwell to travel outside of WPB to have a sexual experience with [REDACTED] or other alleged Epstein friend, [REDACTED] did so voluntarily.

6. In the depositions and on air [NBC interview] [REDACTED] never described her environment to be one where she was bound, shackled, forced to become drug addicted and or beaten in order to perform sexual activities with others.

It appears from all her testimonies there was no Intimidation [outside a loss of income and lifestyle] physical force, abduction or even involuntary drug addiction put upon by Epstein and Maxwell to cause her into sexual activity with them or others, outside of a loss of income and lifestyle.

Perhaps there needs to be a clarification of the law and public conversation on the precise definition of child sex trafficking to help prosecutors and law enforcement and workers in the field, identify the crime and clarify the legal parameters.

Thank you.

Respectfully yours  
Conchita