

**From:** "[REDACTED]" >  
**To:** "[REDACTED]" >, "[REDACTED]" >  
**Cc:** "[REDACTED]" >, "[REDACTED]" >  
**Subject:** RE: 302s / interview notes  
**Date:** Tue, 26 May 2020 18:43:24 +0000

---

Makes sense on both, and thanks.

---

**From:** [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 26, 2020 14:00  
**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] >  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] >  
**Subject:** Re: 302s / interview notes

Hope you all had a nice weekend!

I won't be in the office until later this week so I'll double check on these for you. I don't believe there are notes for [REDACTED] but will double check. As for [REDACTED], that one is puzzling. The pdf is coming up that it is associated to the Miami case file but giving a 2018 date. I can say that neither [REDACTED] or I wrote it. Could be a glitch but I will look into that a little more. I'll get back to you on this.

---

**From:** [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Friday, May 22, 2020 6:35 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] >  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] >  
**Subject:** RE: 302s / interview notes

[REDACTED] thanks very much for helping us to track all of this stuff down! We've reviewed our records and I think are down to questions on just two, where we have 302s (attached) but not underlying notes:

- [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

The [REDACTED] one is a little puzzling – we have a 302 and notes from when we met with [REDACTED] in October 2019, and before that the prior FBI interviews with [REDACTED] were in 2006 and 2007 – but neither of those 302s include the info in the attached report, which has the date of 12/04/18 . . . but that could just be the date generated in the report when it got called up in your system, there's no date in the actual text. I don't recall us interviewing [REDACTED] in 2018, but I could be wrong! Anyway let us know what you think.

And for [REDACTED], it was a quick interview at his office so there may not be retained notes, but just double-checking.

Thanks again and have a good weekend!

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED] >

**Sent:** Thursday, May 21, 2020 20:25

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] >

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] >

**Subject:** RE: 302s / interview notes

Ok sorry for the delay.

For [REDACTED], there is only the attachment; no notes were taken for the 302 authored by [REDACTED].

Yes, the 302 regarding [REDACTED] is just the short notes along with the invoice.

There were no notes for [REDACTED]. I apologize for the confusion in labeling that attached.

Ok I figured out the [REDACTED] confusion. The [REDACTED] interview is attached. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] is listed at the top because he was present for the interview with [REDACTED]; it's reflected in the 302.

---

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** Friday, May 15, 2020 3:07 PM

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] >

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] >

**Subject:** RE: 302s / interview notes

This is really helpful, and I think we're down to the last few. A couple follow-up questions / clarifications –

For [REDACTED], I don't think we received notes from 7/6/19 – the attachments included a copy of [REDACTED] ID but not any writing. It's possible there weren't other written notes – the 302, attached, is very short – but just let us know either way?

Similarly, just want to confirm that the notes for [REDACTED] are just the short contact info notes, with [REDACTED] attorney info and a property invoice – both the notes and the 302 are attached for reference. I think that's right but just want to confirm.

I also didn't see notes for [REDACTED], though let us know if it's in a longer write-up in one of the files or if we otherwise missed it, that's also totally possible.

And just a clarification, it looks like the other attached document is listed as "[REDACTED] interview," which I assume is [REDACTED] [REDACTED]? It also has the name "[REDACTED]" (?) at the top, which may be where that confusion comes from – I know you were looking into that. I think both those names just show up on that one doc.

Also totally happy to chat via phone if that's easiest to run through these. Thanks again!

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED] >

**Sent:** Thursday, May 14, 2020 13:47

**To:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] >

**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] >

**Subject:** RE: 302s / interview notes



- [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Thanks again!

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
Southern District of New York  
[REDACTED]