

**From:** "[REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>  
**To:** "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>  
**Cc:** "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (USANYS) [Contractor]" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Re: FBI remarks  
**Date:** Sun, 07 Jul 2019 19:59:02 +0000  
**Importance:** Normal

---

Got it, [REDACTED]. I'll let them know.

On Jul 7, 2019, at 3:56 PM, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Circling back on this from earlier this week, I don't know if this is still the operative draft, but two quick things we may want to convey on the remarks. First, page one, 2002-2005 is a four year period (not three); second, page two, there's no allegation (and it's not factually correct) that the victims "were made to give" massages – it would be accurate, though, to say ". . . who gave Epstein massages . . ."

Also this may just be me, but I think of a "child" as being pre-puberty – I think [REDACTED] is going to say "underage girls" if they want to be consistent? – but of course defer to the FBI on their nomenclature.

---

**From:** [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 03, 2019 19:27  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>  
**Cc:** [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USANYS) [Contractor] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** FBI remarks

Hi all,  
Please see the attached draft remarks from the FBI. I looked them over quickly and they seem to track ours pretty closely. Let me know if you see any issues. Thanks.  
[REDACTED]