

From: "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
To: "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED], "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Epstein Estate Touhy Requests
Date: Tue, 20 Aug 2019 17:31:41 +0000

Thanks. Would you open a new matter on this, it may end up being substantial.

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 1:26 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Epstein Estate Touhy Requests

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] has been assigned. Thanks.

[REDACTED]

On Aug 20, 2019, at 12:16 PM, [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]> wrote:

[REDACTED]: could you kindly assign an AUSA to be the point of contact on Epstein-related *Touhy* requests? We can then discuss what the appropriate response may be. Thanks, as always, for your help on these issues.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 11:53 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: FW: United States v. Jeffrey Epstein, 19-CRIM-00490 (S.D.N.Y.)

[REDACTED] – We continue to get document requests from Epstein’s defense team related to his death. How would you like us to handle? Should we refer them to someone at Civ Div? Ignore? There is, as best I can tell, no right to anything given that there is no “proceeding” pending related to the death but, in any event, our crim AUSAs are presumably not the appropriate point of contact.

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 11:47 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: FW: United States v. Jeffrey Epstein, 19-CRIM-00490 (S.D.N.Y.)

[REDACTED]

We received the attached document requests from Epstein's lawyers. Based on our previous conversations about this, it sounds like these should be routed to the civil division, but I wasn't sure who in particular to contact, or whether you would prefer to make the referral.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: Miller, Michael <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 11:42 AM

To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>

Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; Weingarten, Reid <[REDACTED]>; 'Martin G. Weinberg' <[REDACTED]> Scavelli, Michael

[REDACTED] Meade, Jason <[REDACTED]>

Subject: United States v. Jeffrey Epstein, 19-CRIM-00490 (S.D.N.Y.)

[REDACTED]

Please find attached correspondence for your attention. We intend to serve these requests separately on the MCC and the FBI, but are providing you with copies of all three letters as a courtesy. If you are willing to accept service for all three, we will not otherwise serve them.

Mike

Michael C. Miller

Partner

Step toe

Stentoe & Johnson LLP

www.step toe.com

This message and any attached documents contain information from the law firm Steptoe & Johnson LLP that may be confidential and/or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read, copy, distribute, or use this information. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and then delete this message.

<8.20.19 Epstein Letter to SDNY-c2.pdf>

<8.20.19 Epstein Letter to MCC-c2.pdf>

<8.20.19 Epstein Letter to FBI-c2.pdf>