

From: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>
To: [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)" <[REDACTED]> [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)"
[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) [Contractor]" [REDACTED]
<[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>
[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]> [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI)"
<[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE: Epstein search warrant documents

Date: Sun, 23 Feb 2020 05:21:22 +0000

Attachments: 19MAG6439_4th_SW.pdf; VI_SW_item_list.xlsx

Team,

Following up on the below from last weekend, I'm still not sure how we're addressing this so I thought it would make sense for us to all schedule a (hopefully relatively brief) meeting to all get on the same page? We didn't hear back on which files had previously been provided, but our tech folks did their best to differentiate, and we got access to the materials yesterday and its well over a million documents, and we don't have any idea what we're looking at – i.e., which devices the materials came from, whether it's full or partial results, how many more devices we have coming, etc.

Based on the attached search warrant returns, it looks like from the New York mansion (the PDF) there are approximately 40 devices that would have storage (computers, hard drives, thumb drives, etc.) and that's not even counting at least 60+ CDs. And then from the Virgin Islands (the Excel spreadsheet), at least more than 25 devices, including multiple servers / server racks.

So we gotta know what we've already received, what remains, anticipated schedule, etc, and I know it's a lot of moving pieces on all sides so wanted to loop in everybody at once. The case team will be in California this coming week from Tuesday through Friday, but then I think generally around the first week of March, which will hopefully be plenty of time to schedule a productive meeting.

thanks all,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, February 15, 2020 16:30
To: [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI) <[REDACTED]> [REDACTED] (NY) (FBI) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) [Contractor] [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Epstein search warrant documents

[REDACTED]

I'm not sure who's the exact right person to ask this, so wanted to get everybody on one email chain about it – I have the hard drive that [REDACTED] dropped off that has new Epstein search warrant materials, but it looks like there are also old materials (that I think we had previously received and uploaded??) on the hard drive, and so I'm not sure what's new.

Just generally, and [REDACTED] and I talked about this last week too, but it's basically impossible for us to keep track of what we're getting, and what has been completed, without some kind of identification or labeling system, along with a list of which devices have been extracted and downloaded.

So for example on the hard drive currently, there are 38 folders labeled "loadFiles" through "37loadFiles" with a modified date of 11/14/19, which I think we may have already previously received – but I'm not sure, because we haven't gotten any info on which folders match up to which devices, etc. And then there's another folder titled "NYC024362" that has a modified date of 1/27/20, so I think that may be the materials we hadn't previously received? That folder by itself has more than 600,000 items.

I don't want to give [REDACTED] anything that we've already previously received and uploaded, and I can't tell from the folder or file names whether everything on the drive is new, or whether just additional materials were saved onto it in addition to what we already have. [REDACTED] are you able to give us some guidance on this? Ultimately what we really need is a spreadsheet of every device, whether it's been dumped (or partially dumped), and then identifying that same info – which device, and what materials from it – are being given to us with each data transfer. Otherwise I think organizationally and for review purposes it will be a total disaster for us.

We're happy to have a meeting on this if that's helpful – and thanks everybody for the assistance.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Assistant U.S. Attorney
Southern District of New York

[REDACTED]