





Instagram Business Record

Page 1

**Service** Instagram  
**Target** 1322128817  
**Account Identifier** ghislainemaxwell  
**Account Type** InstagramUser  
**Generated** 2020-01-04 21:03:00 UTC  
**Date Range** 2019-08-08 00:00:00 UTC to 2019-12-11 23:59:59 UTC

**Ncmec Reports Definition** NCMEC Cybertips: NCMEC cybertip reports associated to the account of the sender.  
 CyberTip ID: Unique identifier associated with the cybertip.  
 Time: Date and time the NCMEC cybertip was sent.  
 Responsible Id: Identification number of the sender's Facebook account associated with the NCMEC cybertip report.

**NCMEC CyberTip Numbers** No responsive records located

**Name Definition** Name: Name provided by the account holder.  
 First: First name provided by the account holder.  
 Middle: Middle name provided by the account holder.  
 Last: Last name provided by the account holder.

**Name First** Ghislaine Maxwell

**Emails Definition** Registered Email Addresses: Displays a list of registered email addresses. To "register" an address, it requires confirmation by the account holder.

**Registered Email Addresses**

**Vanity Definition** Vanity: Username associated with the account.

**Vanity Name** ghislainemaxwell

**Registration Date Definition** Registration Date: Date and time of account creation.

**Registration Date** 2014-05-10 17:10:23 UTC

**Registration Ip Definition** IP address associated with account creation.

**Registration Ip** 69.203.141.229

Instagram Business Record

Page 2

Account End



Date

**Registration Ip** IP address associated with account creation.  
**Definition**

**Registration Ip** 69.203.141.229

Instagram Business Record

Page 2

**Account End**

**Date Definition** Account End Date: Displays the status of the account at the time the records were generated.

**Account** **Account Still** true  
**Closure Date** **Active**

**Phone** Phone numbers: Phone number(s) provided by the account holder. "Verified" indicates the account  
**Numbers** holder responded to a text sent to the listed phone number.  
**Definition**

**Phone** No responsive records located  
**Numbers**

**Logins** Logins: Information collected at the time the account was logged into.  
**Definition** IP Address: IP address associated to the login.  
Time: Date and time of the login.  
Location: Location of the login.

**Logins** **IP Address** 104.219.99.170  
**Time** 2019-08-15 00:41:29 UTC

**IP Address** 104.219.99.170  
**Time** 2019-08-14 21:31:18 UTC

On Jan 4, 2020, at 12:30, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> wrote:

About [REDACTED] I agree we'll want to meet with him again. [REDACTED] is also in Florida, so when we have records and are ready to meet with him, one idea would be to try to do both meetings on the same trip.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 4, 2020, at 12:15 PM, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> wrote:

In our experience meeting her she seemed very eager to talk about her experiences. I think it's a combination of no one has ever really talked to her about it and she just wants to say what she has to say and put it behind her. In that regard it may be beneficial on both ends for us to have a face to face interview with her during one of our existing California trips. It will be a good sense of closure for her being she appears to have been very involved, and hopefully can give us some valuable info for the investigation moving forward.

I'd also be fine if you guys wanted to shoot her lawyer some follow up questions but I think a sit down with her at some point could be productive.

Also unrelated to her, a follow up interview to consider would be [REDACTED] Not sure if we spoke about this at all but our initial interview with him as immediately after Epstein's arrest and we really didn't get much into his dealings with [REDACTED] or Maxwell. What's your thoughts on that? That could obviously be a Skype if we don't have any upcoming plans for travel to Florida.

On Jan 3, 2020, at 23:30, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> wrote:

[REDACTED] [REDACTED] – following up on the discussions with [REDACTED] attorney a couple weeks ago, we got this attorney proffer this evening. In addition to being remarkably thorough, it's pretty interesting; she was in the thick of things in the period that we primarily investigated and much of her account provides additional confirmation of what we already know, but there are also a few relevant additions.

A couple things I thought looked especially relevant:

- I think we'd want to hear from her about Epstein telling her "that Maxwell was the 'original girl finder'" (and on the legal side we'd want to figure out if we could get into evidence in any prosecution against Maxwell).
- It might be useful in connection with [REDACTED] to see what made [REDACTED] believe [REDACTED] was likely a victim.
- Unfortunately I think we would want to see if she remembers the names of other girls Epstein induced her to have sexual contact with, particularly to the extent that corroborates the accounts of others (and even if it's not directly related to Maxwell).
- It's very interesting that she says Epstein asked her to be with multiple friends of his – I believe (?) this is the first person other than [REDACTED] who has had that account. She wasn't close to being underage, but I think we'd still very much want to know who those friends were, in case they were also being visited by underage victims, and/or whether that caused Epstein to have any influence over them, etc.

Anybody else have initial thoughts? I think ideally we could interview her during an existing trip to California, and/or follow up with her attorney on some of these initial questions in the first instance.

---

**From:** Jeffrey Pop <[REDACTED]>

**Sent:** Friday, January 03, 2020 18:35

**To:** [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>

Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>  
Subject: RE: SDNY investigation, [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]:

Attached, in letter format, please find a version of an Attorney Proffer regarding [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and her involvement with Epstein. At the end, I have also included an outline of [REDACTED] current perception as to how he operated.

The foregoing is a culmination of a series of interviews with my client and I believe that it represents her potential testimony. Obviously, I am not certain whether any of the information presented is new to you or helpful to your investigation.

My take away is that there is no doubt that [REDACTED] is a victim; she suffers to this day. At the same time there were other people looking to introduce girls to Epstein. This pattern apparently was ongoing for decades.

[REDACTED] introduction involvement was only in New York and not with minors. [REDACTED] was shocked when she found out that Epstein was arrested in Florida.

Should you feel that an interview is necessary, please propose a couple of dates before January 20, 2020 (I have an upcoming trial in late January). I will make my conference room available for the interview.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Jeff

Jeffrey S. Pop, Esq.  
JEFFREY S. POP & ASSOCIATES  
[REDACTED]  
Beverly Hills, California 90212-3429  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] fax  
[www.poplawyer.com](http://www.poplawyer.com)  
[www.vaccineinjuryteam.com](http://www.vaccineinjuryteam.com)

<image001.jpg>

#### CONFIDENTIALITY

This e-mail is for the personal and exclusive use of the recipient(s) named above. It contains confidential information from Jeffrey S. Pop & Associates. Its contents are not only to remain absolutely confidential, but may also be subject to the attorney-client privilege or coverage under the work-product doctrine. If you have received this transmission and are not the intended recipient(s) or his/her agent, please be advised that any disclosure, use, review, copying, selling, dissemination, publication, or distribution of this transmission is strictly unauthorized and prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender at the number above, return the original e-mail by mail, and delete the e-mail file from your computer. Postage is guaranteed. Your cooperation is appreciated. Thank you.

---

**From:** [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 23, 2019 9:34 PM  
**To:** Jeffrey Pop  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: SDNY investigation, [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Jeff,

Following up on our phone conversation today, I wanted to memorialize that, as discussed, we do not currently have reason to believe Ms. [REDACTED] has criminal exposure based on what we are aware of in connection with her interactions with Jeffrey Epstein, and your brief attorney proffer regarding the circumstances of those interactions are consistent with that view. In your further discussions with Ms. [REDACTED] in advance of a further attorney proffer to address the general nature of her relationship with Mr. Epstein, as well as his employees or relevant close associates, if you have any concerns about additional possible exposure you should please feel free to be in touch with us again. As we also discussed, I believe any non-federal offenses would be well outside the relevant statute(s) of limitation, but of course if you wish to further research that issue, or consult with criminal counsel, we defer to you entirely.

Please let us know if any other information would be useful at this stage, and hopefully we can be in touch in the coming weeks about productive next steps.

thank you,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
Southern District of New York

[REDACTED]

<[REDACTED]-Attorney Proffer 1-3-20.pdf>