

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
To: "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: "[REDACTED] (USANYS) [Contractor]" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Andrew Statement
Date: Mon, 08 Jun 2020 17:08:17 +0000

Thanks. Let's see what [REDACTED] distributed and then discuss.

> On Jun 8, 2020, at 12:53 PM, [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]> wrote:

>

> Since time is of the essence and I'm sure [REDACTED] will have a lot to add, here is a quick draft. Would you like for me to loop them in as well?

>

> Earlier today, a statement was issued by the UK law firm of Blackfords LLP on behalf of their client, Prince Andrew, regarding the communications between this Office and Andrew's counsel to interview their client. Blackfords' statement contains several false assertions regarding those discussions. Firstly, their statement claims that they were "given an unequivocal assurance that the discussions would remain confidential," which is false. The statement also falsely claims that this Office somehow communicated that the Duke is not a 'target' of any criminal investigation into the conduct of Jeffrey Epstein.

>

> While this statement is not something we routinely issue as a matter of course, we felt it was important to state for the public in clear and unequivocal language: the career prosecutors of the Office have not violated any of the rules of confidentiality or guidelines referenced in this statement. Furthermore, any misunderstandings the Prince or his counsel may have could be resolved if he simply agreed to be interviewed.

>

>

>

>

>

> Sent from my iPad