

From: "[REDACTED]" >
To: "[REDACTED]" >
Cc: "[REDACTED]" >, "[REDACTED]" >
Subject: RE: 302s / interview notes
Date: Fri, 22 May 2020 22:35:28 +0000

[REDACTED], your assistance on this continues to be extraordinarily helpful – thanks a ton.

From: [REDACTED] >
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2020 08:23
To: [REDACTED] >
Cc: [REDACTED] >; [REDACTED] >
Subject: RE: 302s / interview notes

The spreadsheet is updated with everything in [REDACTED] email. In terms of notes, the only three that are missing/not been covered are the following:

- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

For ease of reference, I've attached the corresponding 302s for each of these interviews.

Apart from that, we appear to be all covered in terms of 302s/notes.

From: [REDACTED] >
Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2020 8:25 PM
To: [REDACTED] >; [REDACTED] >
Cc: [REDACTED] >; [REDACTED] >; [REDACTED] >
Subject: RE: 302s / interview notes

Ok sorry for the delay.

For [REDACTED] there is only the attachment; no notes were taken for the 302 authored by [REDACTED].

Yes, the 302 regarding [REDACTED] is just the short notes along with the invoice.

There were no notes for [REDACTED]. I apologize for the confusion in labeling that attached.

Ok I figured out the [REDACTED] confusion. The [REDACTED] interview is attached. Keven [REDACTED] is listed at the top because he was present for the interview with [REDACTED]; it's reflected in the 302.

From: [REDACTED] >
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2020 3:07 PM
To: [REDACTED] >; [REDACTED] >
Cc: [REDACTED] >; [REDACTED] >; [REDACTED] >
Subject: RE: 302s / interview notes

This is really helpful, and I think we're down to the last few. A couple follow-up questions / clarifications –

For [REDACTED], I don't think we received notes from 7/6/19 – the attachments included a copy of [REDACTED] ID but not any writing. It's possible there weren't other written notes – the 302, attached, is very short – but just let us know either way?

Similarly, just want to confirm that the notes for [REDACTED] are just the short contact info notes, with [REDACTED] attorney info and a property invoice – both the notes and the 302 are attached for reference. I think that's right but just want to confirm.

I also didn't see notes for [REDACTED], though let us know if it's in a longer write-up in one of the files or if we otherwise missed it, that's also totally possible.

And just a clarification, it looks like the other attached document is listed as "[REDACTED]" which I assume is [REDACTED] [REDACTED]? It also has the name "[REDACTED]" (?) at the top, which may be where that confusion comes from – I know you were looking into that. I think both those names just show up on that one doc.

Also totally happy to chat via phone if that's easiest to run through these. Thanks again!

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] >
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2020 13:47
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] >
Cc: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] >
[REDACTED] >
Subject: RE: 302s / interview notes

Hi all,

I've attached the notes you've requested. I've also attached [REDACTED] 302 and notes; that interview was not in the batch we gave you. You'll see below where I've typed "attached" to reflect what notes we have. Interviews where this is not written simply meant there were no notes to attach likely because the interview/contact was brief (I double checked all to be sure). Let me know if there is anything else you come across that we've missed sending.

Also, could you send over the notes from the last two phone interviews with [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]? Just want to make sure we have them on our end.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2020 6:52 PM
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] >
Cc: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] >
[REDACTED] >
Subject: RE: 302s / interview notes

[REDACTED], [REDACTED]

Thanks very much again for getting us so many 302s recently, we really appreciate it. Collectively, there have been more than 120 interviews in this case, which is incredible. We've now completed a full review and cross-reference of all our

