

From: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Tartaglione Redactions Question

Date: Mon, 03 May 2021 01:28:57 +0000

Hi [REDACTED],

Got it. Thank you!

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] >

Sent: Sunday, May 2, 2021 8:57 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Tartaglione Redactions Question

Hi [REDACTED],

My view is that we redact references to Epstein's memory of the incident and injuries from the incident be redacted because they tend to reveal details of the incident. I would also redact references to Epstein's safety concerns in the SHU after the incident because they tend to suggest that Epstein was implying he was attacked during the incident.

I've redboxed my proposed redactions in the email you sent.

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] >

Sent: Sunday, May 2, 2021 8:50 PM

To: [REDACTED] >; [REDACTED] >

Subject: Tartaglione Redactions Question

Hi [REDACTED] and [REDACTED],

I think we have inconsistent Tartaglione redactions on the text in the attached. The text also appears in some medical records. Specifically, [REDACTED] had the following note on page 2624: RIP - redact "but he said he thinks his memory for the incident (injury to his neck) may be impaired because of his sleep apnea" and "He then asked if he could remain down here where it is 'safe' for the entire week"

Should I redact that in these emails too? If so, could you please tell me specifically what on this page should be redacted? Or, if these sentences are innocuous and do not need to be redacted, can I lift the similar lines in the medical records?

Thanks,

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

Assistant United States Attorney

300 Quarropas Street

White Plains, NY 10601

[REDACTED]