

From: "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
To: "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Senate letter
Date: Sun, 11 Aug 2019 14:35:45 +0000

Okay, thanks. I'll circulate more broadly.

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, August 11, 2019 10:32 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Senate letter

I think that's right. There's not much to say - and your proposed response essentially parrots what is in the public record (with the addition of we will handle evidence accordingly, which seems harmless).

Sent from my iPad

On Aug 11, 2019, at 10:23 AM, [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]> wrote:

You guys have thoughts on this? As [REDACTED] knows, OLA's general guidance is either not to respond at all, or to respond by parroting back a combination of the question and stuff already in the public record. Here's my initial effort at mapping that guidance onto this question:

As the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York stated yesterday, the investigation into the conduct charged in the Indictment previously filed against Epstein – which included a conspiracy count – remains ongoing, and any evidence or potential testimony relating to that investigation will be handled by the Department accordingly.

From: Berman, Geoffrey (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, August 11, 2019 10:02 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Senate letter

I think they would like a draft response sometime today. I don't think it's necessary to loop in OLA, but I would draft our response conservatively in accord with their past guidance. Geoff

On Aug 11, 2019, at 9:57 AM, [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]> wrote:

We can put something together - do you have a sense of timing/deadline?

Also, for requests made directly to us, we have been looping in OLA which typically wants to be involved and has pretty strong guidance on what, if anything, we should say. I don't know if that's appropriate here given that the request was made directly to OAG, but just wanted to flag.

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 11, 2019, at 9:45 AM, Berman, Geoffrey (USANYS) <[REDACTED]> wrote:

See below and attached letter. Can we draft a response for AG to Q 4? Geoff

Begin forwarded message:

From: [REDACTED] >
Date: August 11, 2019 at 9:26:42 AM EDT
To: "Berman, Geoffrey (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Senate letter

Geoff: Please see question 4 in the attached letter. I'm not sure exactly what he's asking but can you please provide a preliminary response to Question 4.

Thanks.



<08-10-2019---sasse-letter-to-ag-barr-re-epstein-suicide.pdf>