

From: "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
To: "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: GM indictment
Date: Fri, 26 Jun 2020 15:51:00 +0000

Difference in horses was that they were evidence photos, not publicly available stuff. We did use one public shot of a horse mid-race, and I don't remember if that was in the indictment or not . . . it may not have been.

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, June 26, 2020 11:36 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: GM indictment

Will do.

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, June 26, 2020 11:19 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: GM indictment

Talk to [REDACTED] who was checking JM policy on this. In the horse case, we did put photos in the indictment in order to use them in the press conference.

On Jun 26, 2020, at 11:01 AM, [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I suppose we could, but is it really necessary? Didn't we use a photo of Epstein at his press conference without having it in the indictment? The photo of them is, as you note, widely publicly available, so I don't think we could be accused of publicizing something not already out there (or any more sensational than the indictment itself).

From: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, June 26, 2020 10:50 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: GM indictment

We are thinking that photos of GM/JE (the iconic one of them together) and the locations where the abuse happened would be a good visual. To that end, can we put the photos into the indictment so there is no issue with using them at the press conference?

[REDACTED]
Chief, Criminal Division
United States Attorney's Office, SDNY
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]