

From: [REDACTED]

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: OPR

Date: Mon, 01 Feb 2021 19:59:53 +0000

Got it, thanks. I'll just plan to note in the cover letter that the report is publicly available, but we are producing a copy to the defense as a courtesy.

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:58 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: OPR

Sorry for the multiple emails, but one final point on this – OPR is asking that we not affirmatively accuse Congress of leaking/releasing the report but simply say that it is publicly available or the press has gotten a copy or something like that. Happy to review the cover letter if that would be helpful.

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:08 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: OPR

Makes sense, thanks.

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:07 PM

To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: OPR

I think that's fine, although I would definitely note in producing it that you are doing so as a courtesy since it is widely available and has been since November 2020, so they can't argue we sandbagged them by not producing it until after they filed their motion.

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 2:05 PM

To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: OPR

Great, thanks very much, [REDACTED]. In that case, it seems to me we can go ahead and produce a copy to defense counsel and note in our cover letter that the report is also publicly available.

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, February 1, 2021 1:32 PM

To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: OPR

Team – Good news. I spoke with OPR which confirmed that is fully report, not just the executive summary, is publicly available. They even pointed me toward a few places where we could find it (links below). For drafting purposes, we should avoid saying OPR publicly released its full report, since OPR did not. Instead, OPR provided its full report to Congress which chose to release it, so a more accurate statement would read along the lines of “OPR’s full report, which is now publicly available” or something like that. Given that it’s already publicly available, I think we can just cite to it as it appears online:

<https://int.nyt.com/data/documenttools/justice-department-review-jeffrey-epstein/41c1a927e0acff76/full.pdf>

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/context/read-the-report-investigation-into-the-u-s-attorney-s-office-for-the-southern-district-of-florida-s-resolution-of-its-2006-2008-federal-criminal-investigation-of-jeffrey-epstein-and-its-interactions-with-victims-during-the-investigation/db9373e8-22f8-4712-b4a7-be844d162de0/>

<https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/7327894-DOJ-Epstein-Report.html?sidebar=false>

<https://www.scribd.com/document/484513037/Dept-of-Justice-Jeffrey-Epstein-Plea-Deal-Investigation>

██████████
██████████
U.S. Attorney’s Office for the
Southern District of New York

Tel. ██████████