

From: "[REDACTED] (USANYS)" <[REDACTED]>
To: [REDACTED] (CRM)" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (CRM)" <[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE: Epstein matter
Date: Mon, 25 Mar 2019 21:45:14 +0000
Importance: Normal

[REDACTED]

Confirming receipt – thank you for conveying.

best,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (CRM) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, March 25, 2019 17:40
To: [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (CRM) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Epstein matter

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Thanks,
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] | Criminal Division | [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 25, 2019 2:23 PM
To: [REDACTED] (CRM) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Epstein matter

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you. Yes, the information I provided [REDACTED] via text stands. The only addition is a date: extended to include February 21, 2019.

In December 2018, there was an earlier sighting (and the first to my knowledge) which I reported to former PB Chief of Police, [REDACTED] Mr. [REDACTED] led the original Epstein investigation, in 2005.

Mr. [REDACTED] mentioned there are cameras on the PB bike path and that registered offenders are not allowed on the bike paths accompanied or unaccompanied, in the island of Palm Beach. A sighting of an offender according to Mr. [REDACTED] would make Mr. Epstein's incident a police matter and subject to investigation. He said the video tapes are usually reviewed every 3 months and then erased. Mr. [REDACTED] was uncertain if the PB police would still have the video of the November 2018 sightings.

My questions are:

1. Why would an offender and/or predator with a criminal record (understand there is a difference in the degree of culpability and risk) be allowed in any area (park, bike paths, school, etc.) where children congregate?
2. Would a sighting in an area where children congregate be sufficient cause for a police investigation or arrest given danger a serial offender/predator poses to children and adolescents? Specifically a serial offender/predator.
3. Federal and state laws differ however, could it be possible when investigating and prosecuting a predator/offender with a prior criminal record, that federal and state laws concur in the prosecution of the predator for the safety of the child/adolescent?

Thank you.

Respectfully yours,
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

On Mar 25, 2019, at 1:52 PM [REDACTED] (CRM) <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Dear Ms. [REDACTED]. If you are referring to the text message that you previously sent to [REDACTED] yes, I have that. But if there are additional materials, I would be happy to receive them.

Regards,
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] | Criminal Division | [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 25, 2019 11:48 AM
To: [REDACTED] (CRM) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (OPR) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Epstein matter

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your prompt response.

My question is:

Have the appropriate authorities been notified about Mr Epstein's sightings?

I informed [REDACTED] of an incident brought to my attention earlier this month.

Mr Epstein (65) is a level 3 registered sex offender and has been sighted several times riding a bicycle on the Palm Beach bike path accompanied by a very young girl. Age unknown, caucasian, brunette, wearing a ponytail. Source claims the girl does not look older than 18.

Mr. Epstein does not have children, grandchildren or young neices.

[REDACTED] I gave the details to Mr. [REDACTED]

When I contacted the Palm Beach police dept last week they had no knowledge of the incident.

This is the third sighting in a 6 month period.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Respectfully yours,
[REDACTED]

On Mon, Mar 25, 2019, 11:31 AM [REDACTED] (CRM) <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Thanks for your email.

I'm afraid I won't be able to schedule a call with you today, and in any event, at least at first, I would prefer to work by email, if that's okay with you.

Regards,
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] | Criminal Division | [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 25, 2019 10:48 AM
To: [REDACTED] (CRM) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] (OPR) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Epstein matter

Hello [REDACTED]

A pleasure. Thank you for reaching out. Yes, [REDACTED] mentioned you are the point person in this investigation.

Please could we speak today? Would like to ask a question.

Respectfully yours,
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

On Mar 25, 2019, at 10:31 AM, [REDACTED] (CRM) <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Ms. [REDACTED]:

[REDACTED] gave me your email address. I understand that you may have information regarding Jeffrey Epstein. Since [REDACTED] may not be in the right position to receive such information, he referred the matter to me.

Please let me know if you have any additional information that you would like to provide, and I will endeavor to get it to the person who can best evaluate or use it.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Deputy Assistant Attorney General
Criminal Division
United States Department of Justice
[REDACTED]