

From: "[REDACTED] (CRM)" <[REDACTED]>
To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (CRM)" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (CRM)" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Press Queries re: Prince Andrew / MLAT
Date: Mon, 08 Jun 2020 12:17:11 +0000

As expected, the Prince's team is playing on the confidentiality thing. Which is complete rubbish. What are DOJ confidentiality rules?

From the Times website this morning:

'The duke's legal team is expected to release a statement today in reaction to the disclosure of the MLA request. A source close to his legal team said: "Legal discussions with the DOJ are subject to strict confidentiality rules, as set out in their own guidelines. We have chosen to abide by both the letter and the spirit of these rules, which is why we have made no comment about anything related to the DOJ during the course of this year. We believe in playing a straight bat.'"

'Straight bat' is nonsense. This is what is as known (in cricket at least) as a defensive bat – plainly meant to deflect the pitch. I think we should be immediately clarifying things, either on the record or off the record. If it is off the record, then I can work with our press officer here at Post on that.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:39 AM
To: [REDACTED] (CRM) <[REDACTED]>
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (CRM) <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] (CRM) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Press Queries re: Prince Andrew / MLAT

Thanks very much, [REDACTED]. Appreciate you bringing this to my attention. I will pass on to the rest of the SDNY team.

Best,
[REDACTED]

On Jun 7, 2020, at 7:56 PM, [REDACTED] (CRM) <[REDACTED]> wrote:

[REDACTED] - wanted SDNY to be aware of the below article, if you have not already seen. DOJ OPA is receiving numerous calls. Best, [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "[REDACTED] (CRM)" <[REDACTED]>
Date: June 7, 2020 at 6:40:05 PM EDT
To: "[REDACTED] (OPA)" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: "[REDACTED] (CRM)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (CRM)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (CRM)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (DOJ London Attache)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (CRM)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (CRM)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (CRM)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (CRM)" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Press Queries re: Prince Andrew / MLAT

Yes. We have made a MLAT request, which is of course highly sensitive, and confidential. Agree with statement. Thanks, [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 7, 2020, at 6:21 PM, [REDACTED] (OPA) <[REDACTED]> wrote:

OIA team,

British media is reporting DoJ made a MLAT request to speak to Prince Andrew. I am now receiving numerous press inquiries asking If true/what does that entail. For my own knowledge, please advise if this article is accurate: <https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/11805488/prince-andrew-quizzed-over-jeffrey-epstein-links/> and if concur with the following response: As a matter of policy, the U.S. Department of Justice does not publicly comment on communications with foreign governments on investigative matters, including confirming or denying the very existence of such communications.

Thank you,

[REDACTED]
U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Begin forwarded message:

From: Kadhim Shubber <[REDACTED]>
Date: June 7, 2020 at 5:02:20 PM EDT
To: "[REDACTED] (OPA)" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Query re: Prince Andrew / MLAT

Hi [REDACTED],

Hope you're doing well. Sorry to bother you at the weekend.

There's a report just out that DOJ issued a MLAT request to the UK last month regarding Prince Andrew to force him to answer questions in the Epstein

investigation: <https://www.thesun.co.uk/news/11805488/prince-andrew-quizzed-over-jeffrey-epstein-links/>

Is that something you could guide me on as to its accuracy? And do you have any comment/statement at all?

Any help would be much appreciated.

Kadhim

--

Kadhim Shubber | Financial Times | [REDACTED] (cell) | @kadhim

This email was sent by a company owned by Financial Times Group Limited ("[FT Group](#)"), registered office at Bracken House, One Friday Street, London, EC4M 9BT. Registered in England and Wales with company number 879531. This e-mail may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately, delete all copies and do not distribute it further. It could also contain personal views which are not necessarily those of the FT Group. We may monitor outgoing or incoming emails as permitted by law.