

From: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>
To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Epstein investigation [FFW-DOCS.FID6003375]
Date: Tue, 07 Jul 2020 17:54:42 +0000

Yeah I don't really have a strong view in any direction – this seems fine

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 07, 2020 13:51
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: FW: Epstein investigation [FFW-DOCS.FID6003375]

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2020 12:21 PM
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Epstein investigation [FFW-DOCS.FID6003375]

Agree, we would need to do WebEx if it's going to be video. And if [REDACTED] is going to be on it, that's fantastic.

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2020 10:55 AM
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Fwd: Epstein investigation [FFW-DOCS.FID6003375]

I'm fine doing this by video if that's their preference, though I doubt we can use that program— I would propose Webex but wanted to check with you first. Also, I meant this as a check in with counsel— do you think there is any chance they've misunderstood and are including [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Louise Scott <[REDACTED]>
Date: July 7, 2020 at 10:50:39 AM EDT
To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Epstein investigation [FFW-DOCS.FID6003375]

Hi [REDACTED]

Many thanks for confirming.

Can this call please take place via BlueJeans? It is a video conferencing application that we are using and I will send through an invite which will enable you to connect to the meeting.

Could I just confirm the attendees please – [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and yourselfqa ?

Kyle Philips another partner of the firm will also be in attendance for the call.

I look forward to hearing.

Kind regards
Louise

Louise Scott
Executive Assistant
D: [REDACTED]

[Click here for information relating to Covid-19 business impacts](#)



[<image0bcff8.PNG>](#) [<image3f6570.PNG>](#)

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2020 3:01 PM
To: Louise Scott <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Jill Greenfield <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Epstein investigation [FFW-DOCS.FID6003375]

Louise,

Thanks very much, that time works for us. What is the best number to reach Jill at that time— or is there a conference line she would prefer to use?

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 7, 2020, at 7:57 AM, Louise Scott <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Dear [REDACTED]

Many thanks for your email.

Jill would be free to speak on Thursday at 2pm (UK time) – would this be suitable for you all?

Kind regards
Louise

Louise Scott
Executive Assistant
D: [REDACTED]

[Click here for information relating to Covid-19 business impacts](#)



[<image682813.PNG>](#) [<imageea0e95.PNG>](#)

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 6, 2020 11:50 PM
To: Jill Greenfield <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Louise Scott <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>;
[REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Epstein investigation [FFW-DOCS.FID6003375]

Hi Jill,

I hope you're doing well. We continue to be interested in speaking with your client in connection with our investigation, and we think it would be helpful to check in and discuss how best to proceed in light of the pandemic. Are you available for a call this week? We are generally available, so if you could please let us know what times would work for you for a call, that would be great.

Thanks very much,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Assistant United States Attorney
Southern District of New York

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 9:46 PM
To: Jill Greenfield <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Louise Scott <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Epstein investigation [FFW-DOCS.FID6003375]

Jill,

Following up on the below, given the development of the virus pandemic over the past week, any foreign travel for us is completely shut down. We certainly will plan to come to the U.K. whenever we are permitted, but in the interim this makes it even more valuable to get even a summary of what your client would expect to convey to us. Would it be possible to set up a call for later this week or sometime next week? And profound apologies for the inconvenience in changing plans, we certainly don't want to cause additional stress or anxiety to your client, the circumstances are just completely beyond our control.

thank you,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2020 13:13
To: Jill Greenfield <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Louise Scott <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Epstein investigation [FFW-DOCS.FID6003375]

Jill,

Just to clarify, would it be possible for you to provide us even a very general or high-level summary of what she has told you? We ordinarily don't go into interviews completely blind when witnesses have counsel – and the Weinstein investigation had a great number of problems that we have been able to avoid. And separately, we'll of course keep you updated on our approval process as well.

thanks again,

█

From: Jill Greenfield <█>

Sent: Thursday, March 05, 2020 04:20

To: █ <█>

Cc: Louise Scott <█>; █ <█>; █ <█>; █ <█>

Subject: RE: Epstein investigation [FFW-DOCS.FID6003375]

Thanks █

I am afraid that an attorney proffer will not be possible. I have been working with the DA in New York on the Weinstein case and have simply offered up my clients to questions which has worked well.

I am of course happy to speak over the phone but it seems to me that you are better off getting the information first hand. If you wish it may be sensible for you to initially have a call with my client?

My client has made a complaint to the Met police regarding a sexual assault by Jeffrey Epstein whilst in London and in the employment of G Maxwell. Apart from the complaint itself, no further information has been provided to the police.

I appreciate the issue re coronavirus of course.

Kind regards,

Jill

Jill Greenfield

Partner

█
█

[Personal Injury Team of the Year - Solicitors Journal Awards 2017](#)

[<image001.jpg>](#)

From: █ <█>

Sent: Wednesday, March 4, 2020 5:04 PM

To: Jill Greenfield <█>

Cc: Louise Scott <█>; █ <█>; █ <█>; █ <█>

Subject: RE: Epstein investigation [FFW-DOCS.FID6003375]

Jill,

That's great, thank you for letting us know, and we'll check on that right away and get back to you as soon as possible. The only wrinkle, which unfortunately is completely beyond our control, is that there is now some talk of government

international travel being prevented due to the coronavirus situation, but we'll talk to the relevant people here immediately.

One thing that would be extremely helpful for us in the near-term, including in terms of getting approvals here – and we talked about this on the phone as well – would be to get an attorney proffer about your understanding of generally what information you expect your client will convey on the subjects we've spoken about? We completely understand that it's best to have her tell her story directly to us, but in terms of our preparation it's often very useful for both us and the witness for us to have had a conversation with counsel in the first instance. Would it be possible to set up a call to have that discussion? We could do as soon as tomorrow or Friday, or also next week.

Thanks very much,

█

From: Jill Greenfield <█>

Sent: Wednesday, March 04, 2020 11:46

To: █ <█>

Cc: Louise Scott <█>; █ <█>; █ <█>

Subject: RE: Epstein investigation [FFW-DOCS.FID6003375]

Hi █

There is the possibility of a meeting on the 19th March if you are able to come to London. Could you let me know please?

Kind regards

Jill

Jill Greenfield

Partner

█
█

[Personal Injury Team of the Year - Solicitors Journal Awards 2017](#)

[<image001.jpg>](#)

From: █ <█>

Sent: Tuesday, March 3, 2020 11:17 PM

To: Jill Greenfield <█>

Cc: Kyle Phillips <Kyle.Phillips@fieldfisher.com>; Louise Scott <█>; █ <█>

Subject: RE: Epstein investigation

Jill,

We wanted to quickly follow up since I think you and I last spoke a little over a week ago—understand if you are still discussing with your client, just wanted to check in, and we're happy to provide any additional information that might be useful, as always.

thank you,

█

From: Jill Greenfield <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, February 22, 2020 17:09
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Kyle Phillips <[REDACTED]>; Louise Scott <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Epstein investigation

Thanks [REDACTED].

Understood

I will call tomorrow

Regards

Jill Greenfield
Partner

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[Personal Injury Team of the Year - Solicitors Journal Awards 2017](#)

[<image001.jpg>](#)

Sent from my iPhone

On 22 Feb 2020, at 21:51, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Jill,

No problem at all, we understand this takes time, and we appreciate you getting back to us, and we're very grateful that your client is open to speaking with us.

On your questions, we are certainly fine with the first two questions / requests – we're happy to meet with you and your client in an initial conversation, during which we can do the kind of introductions and explanations we've done with you (and that we do with all victim witnesses we meet), including answering any questions to the best of our ability, and then to take a break and have a more substantive discussion later on.

Regarding the friend, does that individual also represent her as counsel? We aren't normally able to include individuals in addition to the witness and counsel in an interview—what does sometimes work is for someone who is providing support (a parent, friend, etc.) to be close by for any discussions, assistance, etc. anytime that a witness wants to speak with that person, which would of course be totally fine in this instance. Or if he represents her in his capacity as an attorney then he of course could be in the interview in that role (with the only caveat that we would just want to confirm that he himself is not separately a witness of some sort in the case). We unfortunately are not able to pay for third parties (including counsel) to travel in connection with witness interviews, though – if it's preferable, we certainly could pay for your client's travel to the U.S. for the interview, including airfare and lodging, if that makes it easier for the friend to be present? And we're happy to discuss any of this further by email or phone if that's useful – I'll be at my desk for the next several hours and most of tomorrow, at [REDACTED], or we could also set a time to chat, whatever would be helpful.

thank you,

■.

From: Jill Greenfield <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, February 22, 2020 13:55
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Kyle Phillips <Kyle.Phillips@fieldfisher.com>; Louise Scott <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Epstein investigation

Hello ■

Apologies for the slight delay. My client is willing to help but asks the following:

- That there be a morning meeting at which introductions with a discussion as to the investigation and my clients involvement. I appreciate that you have explained this to me but I think further verbal clarification would assist.
- In the afternoon and after a break, my client would be willing to answer questions, in so far as she can.
- That a friend of hers be allowed to be present as well. However that friend is based in the US and would need to be flown over to London. He is a US lawyer.

Perhaps you could let me know if this is possible?

Kind regards,

Jill

Jill Greenfield

Partner

[Personal Injury Team of the Year - Solicitors Journal Awards 2017](#)

[<image001.jpg>](#)

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, February 15, 2020 7:08 PM
To: Jill Greenfield <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Kyle Phillips <Kyle.Phillips@fieldfisher.com>; Louise Scott <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: Epstein investigation

Understood – thanks very much.

■.

From: Jill Greenfield <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, February 15, 2020 07:22
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Kyle Phillips <Kyle.Phillips@fieldfisher.com>; Louise Scott <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re: Epstein investigation

Thanks [REDACTED]

This is helpful. I've gone back to my client with this. I will be back in touch shortly.

Regards

Jill

Jill Greenfield

Partner
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[Personal Injury Team of the Year - Solicitors Journal Awards 2017](#)

[<image003.jpg>](#)

Sent from my iPhone

On 14 Feb 2020, at 21:43, [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Jill,

Following up on our phone call, thank you for talking with us again, and we wanted to get back to you regarding some of the additional follow-up questions you asked, and to describe in more detail for your client how we would expect to approach any discussion with your client if she were comfortable meeting with us.

The absolute most important thing is that any discussion would be entirely voluntary, and by that we mean the decision to meet with us but also as to any topic or question—your client would be absolutely free to determine what topics she felt comfortable discussing. There is absolutely no pressure on victim witnesses to address anything that would make them uncomfortable at any time, and even if your client was willing to meet with us, we would tell her, as we do for any witness, that if any topic comes up that she wants to skip, or discuss with you privately, that is no problem at all.

In fact, if you and she would prefer, we would be happy to have a meeting where we simply introduced ourselves, explained the status of our investigation, talked about how a discussion would proceed if your client ended up being comfortable with that, and answered in person any questions she. We have done that previously, where we do the talking rather than asking questions in an initial meeting, and that would of course be without any requirement for anything additional if she preferred not to. As I mentioned on the phone, we have consistently found that we are able to reassure individuals when we have the opportunity to meet in person so they can see directly our interest in approaching these discussions with great sensitivity and care. As I also mentioned on the phone, even if your client were willing to speak with us, there would be absolutely no need or requirement for her to talk about any assault or victimization she experienced. We wrote in our first email that we would primarily be interested in talking about topics such as the general scope of her employment, directions she received from Epstein or Maxwell, etc., and as always we would be guided by her comfort level.

In terms of who would attend a meeting, we almost always work in pairs, so two prosecutors and two officers, and that's not to have extra people, but rather because it helps us to be as efficient as we can, and so witnesses can meet the people on the team. Our team is also majority female, and we always tell witnesses that if topics come up in a discussion that they would prefer to speak about just with women, that's fine and we can be flexible in the moment and are always guided by the comfort level of the victim. But I also want to stress that in terms of your client in particular, we don't expect to initially address issues of a very personal or sexual nature, and we certainly would tell you in advance if there came a time when we thought that might be important to address, and how we

could facilitate that. Who exactly from our team would be at any meeting would depend on schedules, but we can assure you that every one of us has now spoken with dozens of victims in this case, and every one of us has extensive experience more generally working with victims and working on cases involving sex offenses. We are proud of how we have been able to work with victims in this case especially, given all of the circumstances, and we absolutely would take the same sensitive approach with your client as we have with the many other victims in this case who have spoken with us.

Again, if it would be helpful even for us to just come to the U.K. and introduce ourselves and explain in person how our process works, and answer any questions your client has in person, we would absolutely be happy to do that with no obligation at all from her. We also could do that with the possibility that if she felt comfortable, we could just address the general subject of her employment, for example. But no obligation and no pressure. We continue to appreciate your and her willingness to even broach the possibility of a discussion, and we hope this is helpful.

thank you very much,

██████████

██████████
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Southern District of New York
██████████

From: ██████████)
Sent: Saturday, February 08, 2020 14:25
To: Jill Greenfield <██████████>
Cc: Kyle Phillips <Kyle.Phillips@fieldfisher.com>; Louise Scott <██████████>; ██████████
██████████) <██████████>; ██████████) <██████████>
Subject: RE: Epstein investigation

Jill,

Thanks for circling back, and we'll do our best to answer these questions. In terms of who would be in the room, the most likely answer is that it would be two of the prosecutors and the two law enforcement officers on the case. The only reason I saw "most likely" rather than having a definitive answer is that when we're interviewing in a foreign country, it sometimes is the case the local law enforcement also insists on having representatives present. I don't believe that's required in the U.K., but we can check on it and give you a definitive answer in the coming days if that's useful. (Also, if she preferred to be interviewed in the U.S., we certainly could guarantee that the room would be just the case team, and we could arrange for travel and lodging for her. Let us know if that's the case, but otherwise we're of course happy to travel to her.)

Regarding access to notes, it would principally be the investigative team—so again, the prosecutors and the FBI team working on the case. Our respective supervisors also theoretically have access to our files, but the reports are not generally widely disseminated. The basic logistics are that an agent takes notes during an interview, and then types up those notes into a formal report (called an FBI Form 302). We don't ordinarily share notes with other entities, but I can also check on that to see if I can make a more specific representation with respect to your client.

With respect to anonymity, I confess that I'm not familiar with the distinction you're referring to. In terms of our investigation, we don't publicly disclose the names of individuals we meet with, and we don't disclose to any other witnesses, for example, the identities of victims or witnesses we are meeting with. (And our policies on that don't change even for individuals who are in the position of your client, who have been widely publicly reported to have been connected to Epstein and/or his employees or associates). But if that doesn't answer your question, we're of course happy to discuss further. Let me know if it might be useful to have a call? Or if not, also happy to address any follow-up via email.

thanks,

██████████

From: Jill Greenfield <██████████>

Sent: Saturday, February 08, 2020 13:17

To: ██████████ <██████████>

Cc: Kyle Phillips <Kyle.Phillips@fieldfisher.com>; Louise Scott <██████████>; ██████████ <██████████>; ██████████ <██████████>

Subject: Re: Epstein investigation

Hi ██████████

Thanks for this. I've been asked who would be in the room and thereafter who would have access to any notes made. Would her permission be sought before disclosing to any other parties or officials?

As you know there is a real difficulty in relation to her anonymity in the US versus the UK. Are you able to provide any comfort on this?

Many thanks

Jill

Jill Greenfield

Partner

██████████
██████████

[Personal Injury Team of the Year - Solicitors Journal Awards 2017](#)

[<image001.jpg>](#)

Sent from my iPhone

On 6 Feb 2020, at 21:53, ██████████ <██████████> wrote:

Ms. Greenfield,

Thank you again for speaking with us earlier today regarding our interest in talking with your client in connection with our investigation into the conduct of Jeffrey Epstein.

As requested, we wanted to briefly memorialize our current views and understandings of your client, and also to reiterate our interest in approaching any discussion with great care and sensitivity, particularly to avoid adding to her trauma or causing re-victimization.

As we mentioned on the phone, your client is not a target of our investigation—it sounds like the analogue in the U.K. may be the "suspect" category—and we currently do not have reason to believe that she has committed any U.S. federal crime. As we discussed, our knowledge of your client specifically is somewhat limited, having never spoken to her—and therefore knowing about her only through other witnesses and records—but it certainly has been our experience that the overwhelming majority of young women and girls who were in the orbit of Jeffrey Epstein during the relevant periods were subject to his abuse. It does not surprise us, nor do we have any trouble believing, that your client was similarly a victim. I hope that is helpful in understanding the capacity in which we hope to speak with her.

EFTA00102501

Separately, and as we also discussed, any discussion with our team would be entirely voluntary—and that extends not just to whether she would be willing to meet with us at all, but also including her ability to decline to discuss particular topics or even particular questions, and to talk with her counsel at any time, to take any breaks that would be beneficial while we're meeting, etc.

In terms of topic areas, we would be hoping to learn about the general narrative of her interactions with Epstein and his other associates and employees, including Ghislaine Maxwell, whom we understand she may have worked directly for. That would broadly include how she came to be in contact with them, the nature and scope of her employment, any instructions she was given relating to other women or girls, and just generally her experiences and observations in interacting with them. We ordinarily would also be interested in understanding the general nature of the abuse she was subjected to, but I want to emphasize that we would not need to discuss with her the specifics if she weren't comfortable with that, and in particular we often don't even broach that subject in a first meeting.

Please let us know if you have any additional questions, and in particular whether any other information from us would be useful, and we look forward to speaking with you again soon.

Regards,

█

█
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Southern District of New York
█

Fieldfisher, Riverbank House, 2 Swan Lane, London EC4R 3TT.

www.fieldfisher.com

We do not intend to change our bank details. If you receive any communication that any of our bank details have changed, telephone us and speak to your contact at our office before transferring any funds. We do not accept responsibility for monies paid into a wrong bank account in any circumstances.

This email and any attachments are confidential and may also be privileged. If you receive this message in error, please contact the sender immediately, destroy the email and any attachments and do not use, copy, store or disclose this email and any attachments for any purpose. Fieldfisher does not accept service of documents by electronic means without express prior agreement.

For details about what personal information we collect and why, please see our Privacy Notice on our website at

www.fieldfisher.com.

Fieldfisher is the trading name of Fieldfisher LLP, a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales (registered number OC318472) and is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors' Regulation Authority. A list of its members and their professional qualifications is available at its registered office, Riverbank House, 2 Swan Lane, London EC4R 3TT. We use the term partner to refer to a member of Fieldfisher LLP or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing or qualifications.