From: "[redacted]]" <[redacted]>
To: "[redacted])" <[redacted]>, "[redacted])" <[redacted]>, [redacted] (USANYS)" <[redacted]>, "[redacted] (USANYS)" <[redacted]>
Cc: "[redacted])" <[redacted]>
Subject: FW: Epstein correctional officers
Date: Fri, 28 May 2021 19:39:40 +0000

Stephen Brown at the News has some questions about the [redacted] and [redacted] DPAs. Some may not be answerable; some are easy (their current ages). Thanks.

From: Brown, Stephen <sbrown@nydailynews.com>
Sent: Friday, May 28, 2021 3:35 PM
To: [redacted]] <[redacted]>
Subject: Epstein correctional officers

Hi Jim,

Here's a quick email summary of the story we just discussed.

I'm working on a story about sources' theories why SDNY agreed to the deferred prosecution agreement with Michael [redacted] and Tova [redacted]. Essentially, they say that falsely filling out paperwork is standard operating procedure throughout BOP. Additionally, the guards' scapegoat defense has only gotten stronger since they were arrested due to the continuing dysfunction at MCC.

Qs:

Can I please get the ages of [redacted] and [redacted]?
Any insight/background into the decision to offer the deferred prosecution agreement?

Also, can you tell me if my source is wrong about this: the DPA has been discussed for quite a while. At one point, there was a suggestion that as part of the deal [redacted] and [redacted] would agree to sit down with AG Barr himself. At another point, there was a suggestion as part of the deal [redacted] and [redacted] would agree to sit down with Epstein's family.

I was skeptical about both but would appreciate any insight you can provide.

This story is for Sunday or Monday's paper.

Thanks,

Stephen Rex Brown
NY DAILY NEWS
[redacted]