

From: "[REDACTED]" >

To: "[REDACTED]" >

Subject: Re: grapes

Date: Wed, 24 Jul 2019 13:20:02 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: TEXT.htm

yep, totally

>>> [REDACTED] 7/24/2019 8:12 AM >>>

[REDACTED] is so ridiculous lol. that's her job. that's why [REDACTED] asks whoever saw the person for the SRA or whatever to do the disciplinary report. it seems like the reason he needs the report is because he received an incident report while on SW, or went to SW right after. we always do them in those cases, even for people who are not high care levels or forensics. the issue is a matter of the reported suicidality. all she needs to do is write what he said about the incident, and her opinion about it. if he shows no signs of memory loss or cause for concern, he's competent. she's not making a judgment on whether he's guilty of the infraction. she's just stating whether he's in his right mind to go through the process. isn't this part of her job as a psychologist lol?? or, if she really feels like she doesn't know, then that's what she should say in the report. i feel like we just enable her to skirt around her job and her clinical responsibilities, and i guess we're not going to change her at this point in her career, but it's really a shame that it's gotten this far, and yes, totally ridiculous for [REDACTED] to even involve you. poor leadership, again...

>>> [REDACTED] 7/24/2019 8:05 AM >>>

not even just the ineffectiveness of not sending the e-mail herself, but she's obvi avoiding delegating this to [REDACTED] b/c she's scared to tell her. [REDACTED] just declined to do it. saying she doesn't know if he was competent b/c he claims he doesn't recall the incident. she said she will get torn up on the stand and lose her license if called in to testify about her SRA from yesterday and a disciplinary report. so [REDACTED] then told me again to do it. anyway, looks like he would need it b/c he's not a CC3 or a study so [REDACTED] said she just replied to lt. [REDACTED] saying he's competent and that should be sufficient. smart move of [REDACTED]

>>> [REDACTED] 7/24/2019 8:00 AM >>>

yeah, she does stuff like that all the time. it's so annoying. i just ignore her. she doesn't seem to get how inefficient it is to send an email to someone to tell them to send an email to someone else lol. makes no sense. even [REDACTED] has noticed it, and told me how [REDACTED] always sends her all these unnecessary emails, and how she has started to try to email her first, letting her know she already received things or already knows things, so that [REDACTED] doesn't have to tell her again or forward her emails she already has lol

>>> [REDACTED] 7/24/2019 7:57 AM >>>

look what i just sent you about epstein - why does [REDACTED] tell me to send it to [REDACTED]? shouldn't this be her job as boss?