

From: "/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=72708F8BC5354F44958B87907FF0BCDB

To: [REDACTED] "PAUL"

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: RE: 302s / interview notes

Date: Fri, 22 May 2020 00:22:11 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: Interview_of [REDACTED].pdf

Ok sorry for the delay.

For [REDACTED] there is only the attachment; no notes were taken for the 302 authored by [REDACTED]

Yes, the 302 regarding [REDACTED] is just the short notes along with the invoice.

There were no notes for [REDACTED] I apologize for the confusion in labeling that attached.

Ok I figured out the [REDACTED] confusion. The [REDACTED] interview is attached. [REDACTED] is listed at the top because he was present for the interview with [REDACTED] it's reflected in the 302. [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, May 15, 2020 3:07 PM

Subject: RE: 302s / interview notes

This is really helpful, and I think we're down to the last few. A couple follow-up questions / clarifications –

For [REDACTED], I don't think we received notes from 7/6/19 – the attachments included a copy of her ID but not any writing. It's possible there weren't other written notes – the 302, attached, is very short – but just let us know either way?

Similarly, just want to confirm that the notes for [REDACTED] are just the short contact info notes, with his attorney info and a property invoice – both the notes and the 302 are attached for reference. I think that's right but just want to confirm.

I also didn't see notes for [REDACTED] though let us know if it's in a longer write-up in one of the files or if we otherwise missed it, that's also totally possible.

And just a clarification, it looks like the other attached document is listed as "[REDACTED]" which I assume is [REDACTED]? It also has the name [REDACTED] (?) at the top, which may be where that confusion comes from – I know you were looking into that. I think both those names just show up on that one doc.

Also totally happy to chat via phone if that's easiest to run through these. Thanks again!

[REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2020 13:47

[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: 302s / interview notes

Hi all,

I've attached the notes you've requested. I've also attached [REDACTED] 302 and notes; that interview was not in the batch we gave you. You'll see below where I've typed "attached" to reflect what notes we have. Interviews where this is not written simply meant there were no notes to attach likely because the interview/contact was brief (I double checked all to be sure). Let me know if there is anything else you come across that we've missed sending.

Also, could you send over the notes from the last two phone interviews with [REDACTED]? Just want to make sure we have them on our end.

Thanks,
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2020 6:52 PM


[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: 302s / interview notes

[REDACTED]

Thanks very much again for getting us so many 302s recently, we really appreciate it. Collectively, there have been more than 120 interviews in this case, which is incredible. We've now completed a full review and cross-reference of all our materials, so wanted to address a handful of loose ends. In terms of reports, I think currently we're down to only missing three, all of which we have interview notes for but no 302s:

[REDACTED]

And then there are a larger number of interviews where we have a 302 but not hand-written notes. My guess is that for some of these, and possibly most, there aren't notes because the "interviews" were so short that they just got typed up, but we wanted to check. Also most of them were interviews conducted by other agents (where it was agents other than one or both of you, I've indicated below). But if you could please double check to see if there are interview notes for the following, and in particular the few that are in bold? And let us know if any questions or issues. They are:



Thanks again!

