

Rocchio prep - 4/1/21

Hotel

Car provided LR: business class Amtrak to NY

Coke zero

(HAF bar

Pans

came in)

Chiff at sea point, 1:25 pm

Lit on incremental disclosure is established in clinical settings but it's more rudimentary

↳ in forensic literature

↳ this has a specific meaning in lit about children telling in bib/pieces

what people tell to whom evolves over time - ~~what~~

different issue

when asked in forensic settings

~~Described~~ Winters, Winkler 2021 addressed Bennett + O'Donohue incorporates prior articles

5 grooming strategies (see Winkler) Validation of Sexual Grooming Model (Witness prep)

Only a few parties experienced CSA outside of relationship

Durham - doesn't just look at one setting of coercive control

Assessing new valid tool, content analysis, interrater reliability

~~Winkler~~ Reliability from community, including across settings

More recent studies than Bennett + O'Donohue deal w/ interrater reliability (Winkler)

Validated model - experts agree on measurable steps in grooming process observable

No single universal definition but agreement on pattern

Winkler 2020 Tab 1 - my art has been consensus on last steps but there is other literature for

These articles are doing further refinement of a well studied concept

See Dietz article

~~Can't predict~~ in context of should have known civil cases

Good points on definition, difficulties for lay ppl to understand, problematic lit leads to victim blaming

LR agrees that the lit ~~isn't~~ isn't good at predicting groomed ppl ahead of time /  
hard to spot as it's happening

LR had posttest about by parent, processed as special attention at 1st  
works of variety of financial backgrounds  
some significant % had some kind of marginalization  
@ Yale, lots of children came from financially disadvantaged background

Prevalence data ~~show~~ show vulnerability, even if targeted from specific  
Perpetrator literature targets (e.g. economic disadvantage in Winters)

There is 3<sup>rd</sup> party accomplice / coercive control lit, but at "note comfortable" lit that LR has found  
3<sup>rd</sup> party "note comfortable" point can be any third party  
Also, an "accomplice" is not a psychological concept  
for a variety of crimes people work in groups

Winters has an article ~~about~~ <sup>applying</sup> ~~to~~ <sup>sex trafficking</sup> sex trafficking / grooming / CSA need to slow consider y

LR uses "child" to ~~mean~~ <sup>mean</sup> U13

Disclosure happens in a ~~relation~~ relational context (Alagria)

Incidental disclosure is hypothesis to explain kids giving different  
answers when interviewed. Lit is equivocal.

↳ Not always disclose whole story - depends on context.

it falls out types of factors that influence  
what, when, or to whom

LR does things on what people talk about

↳ may come out as beginning, middle, or end.

or push around pieces, or just select details

LR has also thought about delayed disclosure

~~Consequences~~ Consequences: ppl who suffer CSA are at high risk for ~~negative~~ effects

Not clear cause & effect, there are mediators

2

