

From: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>
To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Subject: Fwd: RE: ACTION REQUESTED: Due-outs from DD meeting

Date: Tue, 20 Aug 2019 16:38:55 +0000

Importance: Normal

All,

I spoke to [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and conveyed below. She was going to speak to [REDACTED] Tovar.

Info conveyed to [REDACTED]:

Based on information provided by Tovar and communication with NY, it appears the referenced hard drives by Sweeney were from Epstein's safe during the first search warrant (related to 305 case) and they did not have authority to seize at the time. When they went back a week later they were missing. The individual who took them brought them to NY. The drives were not encrypted to NY's knowledge and are currently being processed. NY is also going through the taint process. OTD is not involved.

The OTD evidence list provided to the EAD yesterday in the Daily report is strictly the MCC DVR harddrives being processed by OTD (related to 90A case). So the hard drives referenced by Sweeney would not be on that list. Need to confirmed with Tovar that is the list he was referring to.

[REDACTED]
-
-
On Aug 20, 2019 12:31 PM, "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Confirmed. This is just dvr's. All mcc evidence(dvrs) is at qt and being worked on. All other evidence is still in NY being worked by NYCART

[REDACTED]
Supervisory Special Agent/Forensic Examiner
Unit Chief
Digital Forensics Analysis Unit
Operational Technology Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

On Aug 20, 2019 11:39 AM, "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]> wrote:

From what I can tell list provided was just related to the DVRs.

-

On Aug 20, 2019 11:34 AM, "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Thank you

[REDACTED]

Supervisory Special Agent/Forensic Examiner
Unit Chief
Digital Forensics Analysis Unit
Operational Technology Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

On Aug 20, 2019 11:33 AM, "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I will forward to you on red side the evidence tracker sheet provided yesterday by DFAS for the daily report sent to the EAD.

[REDACTED]

-

On Aug 20, 2019 11:24 AM, "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]> wrote:

All,

Fyi, per the NY SSA in charge of the 305 investigation, and confirmed by NYCART FE. Evidence is 2 external hard drives. Drives are not encrypted and already processed by NYCART. Case squad is working through taint review process.

This is assuming we are all talking about the same evidence. I don't have the 1B numbers the EAD was shown to confirm with NY beyond the emails below. If we can get those, we can go further.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Supervisory Special Agent/Forensic Examiner
Unit Chief
Digital Forensics Analysis Unit
Operational Technology Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>
Date: Aug 20, 2019 11:18 AM
Subject: RE: ACTION REQUESTED: Due-outs from DD meeting
To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>
Cc:

I tried calling you back, those drives were in his safe during the first search warrant, we did not have the authority to seize them at the time. When we came back a week later they were missing. The individual that took them was contacted and brought them over right away. The drives are not encrypted to my knowledge, they are with Flatley being processed. We are going through the taint process due to the potential for privileged information.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, August 20, 2019 10:53 AM
To: [REDACTED] >
Subject: Fwd: ACTION REQUESTED: Due-outs from DD meeting

This is the question I'm trying to answer. Do you know what hard drives are being discussed and where they are?

[REDACTED]
Supervisory Special Agent/Forensic Examiner
Unit Chief
Digital Forensics Analysis Unit
Operational Technology Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: [REDACTED]
Date: Aug 20, 2019 9:33 AM
Subject: Fwd: ACTION REQUESTED: Due-outs from DD meeting
To: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Cc:

-

----- Forwarded message -----

From: "[REDACTED]" >
Date: Aug 20, 2019 9:24 AM
Subject: ACTION REQUESTED: Due-outs from DD meeting
To: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

All,

This morning the DD was interested in a set of specific evidence related to the Epstein investigation. [REDACTED] mentioned to the DD about evidence that was received from a 3rd parties residence (mid to late July). The residence owner turned it over quickly and stated that he didn't want to have anything to do with it after it was placed there by an associate. Sweeney indicated that the drives recovered appeared to be encrypted. The evidence sheets provided to the EAD do indicate media that was received on 8/16, but no mention of encryption, or whether they were associated with this residence. Can we get some clarification? The DD, as well as ADIC and CID, are inquiring about the prioritization of the exploitation of that media, specifically since it may lead to co-conspirators which has the attention of the AG.

EAD is requesting that OTD be on standby for additional questions as this appears to be a focus today.

V/r,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Senior Technical Advisor- Digital Systems

Science and Technology Branch
Federal Bureau of Investigation

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

This communication is UNCLASSIFIED but may contain information that is Law Enforcement Sensitive and/or For Official Use Only. The contents of this communication are considered to be draft, deliberative, and pre-decisional in nature and are intended solely for the personal review of the captioned recipients. Please forgive typographical errors attributed to unintended technical or human causation.