

From: "[REDACTED]" >

Subject: Fw: [EXTERNAL] from: [REDACTED] / The New York Times; re: evidence seized from Epstein's home

Date: Wed, 22 Dec 2021 19:17:52 +0000

Importance: Normal

From: [REDACTED] >

Sent: Wednesday, December 22, 2021 2:02 PM

To: [REDACTED] >

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] from: [REDACTED] / The New York Times; re: evidence seized from Epstein's home

I think its best to just not comment. Id have to look up what our policy guide says on retention and id rather not get into it with him.

From: [REDACTED] >

Sent: Wednesday, December 22, 2021 1:42 PM

To: [REDACTED] >

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] from: [REDACTED] / The New York Times; re: evidence seized from Epstein's home

I think the USAO is trying to humor him. Is it something we'd be able to answer in general, or you'd like me to just avoid it altogether.

From: [REDACTED] >

Sent: Wednesday, December 22, 2021 1:39:41 PM

To: [REDACTED] >

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] from: [REDACTED] / The New York Times; re: evidence seized from Epstein's home

Why do we even need to provide him with that information? We had no comment on the fact check request yesterday, should this be any different? LMK if you agree or disagree? Happy to speak if necessary. Thanks

From: [REDACTED] >

Sent: Wednesday, December 22, 2021 1:28 PM

To: [REDACTED] >

Subject: Fwd: [EXTERNAL] from: [REDACTED] / The New York Times; re: evidence seized from Epstein's home

Seamus - see below?

From: Biase, [REDACTED] (USANYS) <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, December 22, 2021 1:22:10 PM

To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] >

Cc: [REDACTED] >

Subject: [EXTERNAL EMAIL] - Fwd: [EXTERNAL] from: [REDACTED] / The New York Times; re: evidence seized from Epstein's home

Hey guys, would you be okay with us referring Colin to you on some of the process questions below? I think if you'd be able to give him the default retention policies for seized evidence in a criminal case, that would suffice.

Just let me know. Thanks!

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Date: December 21, 2021 at 12:24:54 PM EST
To: "[REDACTED]" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] from: [REDACTED] / The New York Times; re: evidence seized from Epstein's home
Reply-To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>

[REDACTED],

I'm writing about the search of Jeffrey Epstein's home on East 71st street and the CDs that were found there and which contained photographs.

Some of the photos were introduced as evidence in Ghislaine Maxwell's trial. (I wrote a story about those [that ran](#) a few days ago.)

An FBI agent, [REDACTED], described the search of Epstein's Manhattan home in her testimony. And an analyst for the FBI, [REDACTED], testified that about 38,000 images were found on the CDs located in that home.

As you probably noticed, a defense lawyer, [REDACTED], brought up the unseen photos yesterday during her summation, speculating as to what they might depict.

Can you tell me what the current status of the images found on the CDs is and what may become of them?

I'd like to give the readers a sense of how the photos are seen by the government, whether as bits of evidence or a potential resource for potential future investigations or something else.

And I'd like to let the readers know if they are being preserved by the U.S. attorney's office of the FBI and what happens ultimately with such images.

I'm writing now and would appreciate your response.

I can chat if that would be helpful but I would have to call you from the press room inside 500 Pearl Street.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.