

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)


From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Wednesday, September 17, 2008 12:00 PM
To: Barry Krischer
Cc: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: Your inquiry regarding the Epstein case

Hi Barry – The Non-Prosecution Agreement contains a confidentiality provision that requires us to inform Mr. Epstein’s counsel before making any disclosure – even a compulsory disclosure. I am cc’ing you on a letter to Jay Lefkowitz, Roy Black, and Jack Goldberger informing them of the request and asking them, as parties to the criminal case, to contact you regarding a possible suit by the Shiny Sheet.

On another note, I also will be informing them that I believe that they still have not filed the complete agreement with the Court, as required by the Judge at the hearing.

Thank you very much for reaching out to us when you received this request, and if you need any help from us, please let us know.

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
[REDACTED]



[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: Senior, Robert (USAFLS)
Sent: Wednesday, September 17, 2008 11:25 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); Acosta, Alex (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS); Lee, Dexter (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: RE: State [REDACTED] Jeffrey Epstein

Given the non-disclosure agreement, aren't we left with telling the SAO that we have agreed to not disclose so it wouldn't be proper for us to now agree that unsealing is appropriate. Ultimately, it's the SAO's call because they will get the Florida Public Records Act request and they were not a signatory to the agreement. We should also notify defense counsel so that they can take whatever actions they believe are appropriate. Wait till others have had a chance to weigh in on this issue.

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Wednesday, September 17, 2008 10:50 AM
To: Acosta, Alex (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS); Senior, Robert (USAFLS); Lee, Dexter (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: FW: State [REDACTED] Jeffrey Epstein

Will it never end? Barry Krischer sent the e-mail below to Rolando this morning. How would you like to handle this issue?

A. Marie Villafaña
Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Wednesday, September 17, 2008 10:48 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: FW: State [REDACTED] Jeffrey Epstein

From: Barry Krischer [mailto:Bkrische@sa15.state.fl.us]
Sent: Wednesday, September 17, 2008 10:46 AM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: FW: State [REDACTED] Jeffrey Epstein

Please advise how you would like us to proceed. The agreement referred to is the Federal Non-Prosecution agreement sealed in the file by the judge at the time of the Epstein plea.

From: Lanna Belohlavek
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 4:21 PM
To: Barry Krischer
Subject: FW: State [REDACTED] Jeffrey Epstein

How to proceed? Lanna

From: Bryce Albu [mailto:bryce@reederandreeder.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2008 3:52 PM
To: Lanna Belohlavek
Cc: Martin Reeder
Subject: State █ Jeffrey Epstein

Ms. Belohlavek,

We represent The Palm Beach Daily News. The newspaper recently discovered that a non-prosecution agreement (and an addendum thereto) was filed under seal pursuant to an agreed order entered in the above-referenced case. Because the records are sealed, we cannot assess the propriety of the decision to seal them or even whether the newspaper is interested in the information contained therein. I was hoping you would discuss with me the nature of the agreement and the basis for sealing it so that we can advise our client on whether it should pursue an order unsealing the agreement. Please call me at your earliest convenience.

Very truly yours,

C. Bryce Albu
Reeder & Reeder P.A.
250 S. Central Blvd., Suite 200
Jupiter, FL 33458
Direct Dial: (561) 575-9721
Facsimile: (561) 575-9765
bryce@reederandreeder.com