

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2008 12:34 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (FBI); [REDACTED] (FBI)

When you have a chance, can you give me the flight info for 8/6/04? Where did it leave from and who was on board. Thanks.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2008 11:02 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (FBI); [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Subject: Travel Dates

Hi guys – I am going to re-add the following travel counts:

8/6/04 [REDACTED]  
8/19/04 [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]  
10/29/04 [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]  
2/21/05 [REDACTED]  
3/31/05 [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

Does that sound alright?

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 27, 2008 10:14 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Still haven't heard anything

How did things go with [REDACTED]?

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

797

**Recipient**

S [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

A [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/27/2008 10:28 AM

Read: 6/27/2008 10:37 AM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 12:26 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Jeffrey Epstein

I think that is something we should take up with the State Attorney's Office once this is a done deal. Another way we may be able to do this is through the local police detective who investigated the case. He may be given an opportunity to speak if he asks for it. I will find out about that.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 11:48 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Re: Jeffrey Epstein

Gr8. BTW what about a factual proffer?

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
To: Roy BLACK <RBLACK@royblack.com>; Jack Goldberger <jgoldberger@agwpa.com>  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Thu Jun 26 11:16:04 2008  
Subject: Jeffrey Epstein

Dear Roy and Jack:

I have been reviewing the deferred prosecution agreement and wanted to remind you that the agreement states: "Epstein shall provide to the U.S. Attorney's Office copies of all proposed agreements with the State Attorney's Office prior to entering into those agreements." Please provide me with any proposed agreements at your earliest opportunity, and also please provide me with the date and time of the change of plea.

Thank you.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]



Tracking:

806

Recipient  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Read  
Read: 6/26/2008 1:09 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 26, 2008 11:02 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Here it is



U2.wpd

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 10:49 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Emailing: [REDACTED] Victim Notification with edit.wpd  
Attachments: [REDACTED] Victim Notification with edit.wpd

Hi [REDACTED] -- I made one small change in the acknowledgment paragraph, so that it says the letter accurately sets forth the agreement with the Office regarding the identification and notification of victims. I don't want him to think that all of the other terms and conditions went "poof."

Also, during my obsession last night I thought, if Ken S. really wants to "bury the hatchet" he can start by sending a letter to OPR making clear that no member of the U.S. Attorney's Office committed any misconduct in connection with the investigation and prosecution of JE. If he wants to add, "and I admit that I am a liar, liar, pants on fire," that would be gravy.

The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

[REDACTED] Victim Notification with edit.wpd

Note: To protect against computer viruses, [REDACTED]-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your [REDACTED]-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 10:37 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Subject: RE: JE

Hi [REDACTED] -- They were on my chair when I got back. Thank you!

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 10:34 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Re: JE

Did you get the fax of [REDACTED] info? I sent the phone reports in a second fax because they did not scan cleanly with the first fax.

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>  
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
Sent: Wed Jun 25 16:08:23 2008  
Subject: JE

Hi guys - Can you send me the stuff on [REDACTED] (including lists of phone calls) so I can add her to the indictment?

Thank you.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2008 8:31 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Revised Victim Notification

[REDACTED] -- I am running off to grand jury. I will call as soon as I get back, probably around 9:45. Thanks.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 6:05 PM  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Fw: Revised Victim Notification

This simplifies it. What do u think?

----- Original Message -----

From: Acosta, [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Wed Jun 25 18:00:14 2008  
Subject: RE: Revised Victim Notification

What do you think

<<U.wpd>>

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 5:43 PM  
To: Acosta, [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: FW: Revised Victim Notification

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 5:25 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Revised Victim Notification

Hi [REDACTED] - I have tried to phrase it in a way that suggests that, since Epstein has performed certain provisions, it is now our turn to perform.

<< File: Revised Victim Notification.wpd >>

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

822

EFTA00180170

Recipient  
S [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Read  
Read: 6/26/2008 9:42 AM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 6:05 PM  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Fw: Revised Victim Notification  
Attachments: U.wpd

This simplifies it. What do u think?

----- Original Message -----

From: Acosta, [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Wed Jun 25 18:00:14 2008  
Subject: RE: Revised Victim Notification

What do you think

<<U.wpd>>

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 5:43 PM  
To: Acosta, Alex (USAFLS)  
Subject: FW: Revised Victim Notification

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 5:25 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Revised Victim Notification

Hi [REDACTED] - I have tried to phrase it in a way that suggests that, since Epstein has performed certain provisions, it is now our turn to perform.

<< File: Revised Victim Notification.wpd >>

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 25, 2008 5:25 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Revised Victim Notification

Hi [REDACTED] – I have tried to phrase it in a way that suggests that, since Epstein has performed certain provisions, it is now our turn to perform.



Revised Victim  
Notification.wp...

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

825

EFTA00180173

**Recipient**

██████████ (USAFLS)  
██████████ (USAFLS)  
██████████ (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/25/2008 5:32 PM  
Read: 6/25/2008 6:26 PM  
Read: 6/25/2008 5:29 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (CRM)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 25, 2008 4:27 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** RE: Immunity Letter  
**Attachments:** signed WI\_JUN220[1].pdf

Ms. [REDACTED],

I apologize, here they are.

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS) [mailto:[REDACTED]]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 25, 2008 4:23 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: Immunity Letter

Hi [REDACTED] – Thank you for your [REDACTED]-mail, but the attachment did not come through. Can you resend?

A. [REDACTED] *Villafañã*  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

**From:** [REDACTED] (CRM)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 25, 2008 4:18 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (CRM); [REDACTED] (CRM)  
**Subject:** Immunity Letter

Ms. [REDACTED],

Your immunity authorization letter is attached to this email; if further assistance is needed on this matter call [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Legal Assistant  
Policy and Statutory Enforcement Unit - OEO

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 25, 2008 4:08 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (FBI); [REDACTED] (FBI)  
**Subject:** JE

Hi guys – Can you send me the stuff on [REDACTED] (including lists of phone calls) so I can add her to the indictment?

Thank you.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

V [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: V [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 4:00 PM  
To: S [REDACTED] (USAFLS); S [REDACTED] (USAFLS); A [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Proposed Victim Notification

Can you take a look and provide feedback? I know that Alex added "No more, no less" in his letter, but it isn't really "legalese," so I would prefer to omit unless the defense insists.

Also, please let me know if you want me to send over to Roy and Jack now or wait until the deal is done.



Victim  
Notification.wpd

A. [REDACTED] *Villafaña*  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

**Recipient**

██████████ (USAFLS)  
██████████ (USAFLS)  
██████████ (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/25/2008 4:02 PM  
Read: 6/25/2008 4:04 PM  
Read: 6/25/2008 4:07 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 25, 2008 3:08 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** RE: Questions

Hi [REDACTED] – Sorry. I have an indictment going tomorrow so I haven't had a chance to work on the notice yet, but, yes, I planned to use the 12/19 language. I am going to start working on that right now.

As to filing the indictment under seal with the state court, I really think this is walking too close to a 6(e) violation, and since the state judges up here don't like us too much, Judge McSorley would probably order it unsealed which would cause huge problems, both in terms of Rule 6(e) and because the public reaction will be – if the US had the evidence to prosecute all of these counts, which is he skating with 18 months?

I haven't heard anything from Roy or anyone else. Let me think about ways other than contacting the State Attorney's Office to accomplish our goals.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:53 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Questions

1. Can we file the proposed indictment under seal with the State Court judge? The agreement states that the parties anticipate that this agreement will not be made part of any public record.
2. Was your suggestion to prepare a notice to victims incorporating the language of the 12/19 letter?

Tracking:

831

EFTA00180179

**Recipient**

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/25/2008 3:10 PM

Read: 6/25/2008 3:09 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 25, 2008 2:53 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Questions

1. Can we file the proposed indictment under seal with the State Court judge? The agreement states that the parties anticipate that this agreement will not be made part of any public record.
2. Was your suggestion to prepare a notice to victims incorporating the language of the 12/19 letter?

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 25, 2008 10:44 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Change of Plea Procedure

Hi all – I just spoke with [REDACTED]. She said that it varies from judge to judge, and Judge McSorley can vary from day to day, but since Epstein is pleading guilty (as opposed to no contest), there should be a written plea agreement and a full plea colloquy that goes through all of the terms of the plea agreement and a factual proffer that the defendant has to agree to. She said that, after the rest of the colloquy is finished, the judge asks the prosecutor to state the factual basis, and the prosecutor then reads the factual proffer into the record. After it is read, the judge asks the defendant if he did the things recited in the proffer and/or if he agrees that the State could prove those facts.

She said that the length and detail of the factual proffer varies from prosecutor to prosecutor and thought that Lanna was less detailed than most.

I will try to send you a proposed factual proffer later this afternoon.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

Tracking:

**Recipient**

§ [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
§ [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
A [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/25/2008 11:23 AM  
Read: 6/25/2008 12:13 PM  
Read: 6/25/2008 10:57 AM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2008 8:40 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Jeffrey Epstein Agreement

Hi [REDACTED] -- I think I have designed a solution to the 2255 issue and I will call you to discuss the plea. I am still finishing up these search warrants. As soon as they are done, I will give you a call.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2008 9:14 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Re: Jeffrey Epstein Agreement

Let's talk about going to the COP

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
To: Roy BLACK <RBLACK@royblack.com>; Jack Goldberger <jgoldberger@agwpa.com>  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tue Jun 24 16:04:55 2008  
Subject: Jeffrey Epstein Agreement

Dear Roy and Jack:

I am just writing to re-state that it is the Government's position that we have a signed, binding agreement and that there is no need for further modification.

Please keep us informed of the date and time of the change of plea and sentencing.

Thank you.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

837

EFTA00180185

Recipient  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Read  
Read: 6/25/2008 8:46 AM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2008 9:14 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Re: Jeffrey Epstein Agreement

Let's talk about going to the COP

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
To: Roy BLACK <RBLACK@royblack.com>; Jack Goldberger <jgoldberger@agwpa.com>  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tue Jun 24 16:04:55 2008  
Subject: Jeffrey Epstein Agreement

Dear Roy and Jack:

I am just writing to re-state that it is the Government's position that we have a signed, binding agreement and that there is no need for further modification.

Please keep us informed of the date and time of the change of plea and sentencing.

Thank you.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**Recipient**

'Roy BLACK'

'Jack Goldberger'

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/24/2008 4:38 PM

Read: 6/24/2008 4:05 PM

Read: 6/24/2008 4:16 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 24, 2008 3:54 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (FBI); [REDACTED] (FBI)  
**Subject:** Keep an eye on Epstein's planes!!! Will call in a few minutes

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2008 3:51 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Please call asap [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

**Recipient**

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/24/2008 3:53 PM

Read: 6/24/2008 3:52 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2008 5:30 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: FW: Epstein

I agree. [REDACTED] can you make this communication today please. Thank you.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2008 6:45 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Epstein

[REDACTED],  
If we get the go-ahead, I think [REDACTED] should immediately notify Lefkowitz that JE has until COB Monday June 30 to comply with the 9/24 Agreement as modified by the USA's 12/17 letter otherwise the Office will deem him in breach. What say you?  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2008 5:28 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** FW: Epstein



scan0001.pdf

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2008 2:44 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS); Acosta, Alex (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Any word from DC?

Hi all – Sorry to bother. [REDACTED] and I are wondering if you have heard from the DAG.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

Tracking:

.861

EFTA00180194

**Recipient**

\$ [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

\$ [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Acosta, Alex (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/23/2008 2:57 PM

Read: 6/23/2008 3:18 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2008 10:06 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (FBI); [REDACTED] (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** RE: Trip to New York, etc.

Ok. [REDACTED] hoping to hear from DAG's office today giving the green light. Let's talk when that decision is made.

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2008 9:15 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (FBI); [REDACTED] (FBI)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Trip to New York, etc.

We will not be interviewing [REDACTED] in New York. Her attorney gave a copy of the grand jury subpoena to Epstein's lawyers. They, in turn, promptly sent it on to Washington complaining, yet again, about me. So, I do not want to do an interview with him present, and we will have to put her in the grand jury.

Given that, let's take the New York section out of the indictment so we can present the indictment Tuesday morning. Then we can do [REDACTED] interview in the afternoon with plans to supersede. It probably makes sense to wait on the rest of the interviews until we hear what [REDACTED] has to say, so let's plan to do the New York trip in a few weeks.

[REDACTED] - I will revise everything accordingly and send it down to you. We have another girl from Florida, so I will replace our New York Jane Doe with her.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2008 9:15 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (FBI); [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Trip to New York, etc.

We will not be interviewing [REDACTED] in New York. Her attorney gave a copy of the grand jury subpoena to Epstein's lawyers. They, in turn, promptly sent it on to Washington complaining, yet again, about me. So, I do not want to do an interview with him present, and we will have to put her in the grand jury.

Given that, let's take the New York section out of the indictment so we can present the indictment Tuesday morning. Then we can do [REDACTED] interview in the afternoon with plans to supersede. It probably makes sense to wait on the rest of the interviews until we hear what [REDACTED] has to say, so let's plan to do the New York trip in a few weeks.

[REDACTED] - I will revise everything accordingly and send it down to you. We have another girl from Florida, so I will replace our New York Jane Doe with her.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

868

EFTA00180197

**Recipient**

[REDACTED] (FBI)

[REDACTED] (FBI)

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/23/2008 9:32 AM

Read: 6/23/2008 9:40 AM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 6:04 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Re: Travel to New York

AUSA on NY drug case is [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>  
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
Sent: Thu Jun 19 15:37:58 2008  
Subject: Travel to New York

Hi all - I just got an e-mail from [REDACTED] lawyer saying that, if we get the immunity, we can meet with her on Friday, June 27th, in New York.

The application is up in DC now. It should be a sure thing, but you never know. Let's talk tomorrow about planning the trip.

[REDACTED]

Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 3:45 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: JE

I am just reading through all of the new submissions. I don't know which makes me angrier, all of the blatant lies, or [REDACTED] telling them (Lilly, no doubt), that I am "unsupervisable." [REDACTED] I'm sorry I got you into this.

On another, ironic, note. Today, Epstein's lawyers are filing motions to stay the four federal lawsuits against Epstein, under 18 USC 3509(k), which states: "If, at any time that a cause of action for recovery of compensation for damage or injury to the person of a child exists, a criminal action is pending which arises out of the same occurrence and in which the child is the victim, the civil action shall be stayed until the end of all phases of the criminal action and any mention of the civil action during the criminal proceeding is prohibited."

I guess it saves me the trouble of filing the motion after we indict.

And, for the record, I still haven't met Bert O., and he is neither [REDACTED] "law partner" nor his "law school roommate."

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

871

EFTA00180200

**Recipient**

\$ [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
\$ [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
/ [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
W [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/20/2008 3:47 PM  
Read: 6/20/2008 3:46 PM  
Read: 6/23/2008 10:58 AM  
Read: 6/20/2008 3:46 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 20, 2008 2:37 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** FW: Epstein - On behalf of Jay Lefkowitz and Ken Star  
**Attachments:** Letter to J. Roth from S. Thacker.pdf; Response to [REDACTED] Letter.pdf; Summary Of Misconduct.pdf; Principal Submission.pdf

fyi

**From:** Roth, John (ODAG) (SMO)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 20, 2008 1:56 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** FW: Epstein - On behalf of Jay Lefkowitz and Ken Star

This was just dropped on me. Given the volume of material, I think it will be best to wait until Monday to call Lefkowitz. Let me know if that upsets your plans too much.

---

**From:** Ami Sheth [mailto:ASheth@kirkland.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, June 20, 2008 12:26 PM  
**To:** Roth, John (ODAG)  
**Cc:** Jay Lefkowitz; Kenneth Starr; Ken Starr  
**Subject:** Epstein - On behalf of Jay Lefkowitz and Ken Star

Dear Mr. Roth,

As promised in yesterday's letter to you, we have attached the following documents to this email: (1) Principal Submission, (2) Summary of Misconduct, (3) Response to [REDACTED] Letter and (4) Response to CEOS's Letter by Stephanie Thacker.

We respectfully request and would greatly appreciate the opportunity to speak with you in person about this matter.

Kind Regards,

Kenneth W. Starr and Jay P. Lefkowitz  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP

\*\*\*\*\*  
The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Kirkland & Ellis LLP or Kirkland & Ellis International LLP. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by mail to [postmaster@kirkland.com](mailto:postmaster@kirkland.com), and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof,  
including all attachments.  
\*\*\*\*\*

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 9:12 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: FW: Epstein

I am in shock. Could this really happen?

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 9:11 AM  
To: Roth, John (ODAG) (SMO); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: Soffer, Gil [REDACTED] (ODAG) (SMO)  
Subject: Re: Epstein

Thanks John.

----- Original Message -----

From: Roth, John (ODAG) (SMO)  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: Soffer, Gil [REDACTED] (ODAG) (SMO)  
Sent: Fri Jun 20 09:01:18 2008  
Subject: FW: Epstein

FYI. Gil and I have finished our review. Even if we were to substitute our judgment for yours, we believe that this case is one that is appropriately in federal court. We also see nothing in the conduct of the USAO that gives us any reason to doubt that the case is being handled professionally. We briefed the DAG on it yesterday and he agrees.

The attached late submission throws a slight wrinkle into this, but I think we can still satisfy your timetable. I have asked Starr and Lefkowitz for an electronic version of whatever he is going to send, to be sent this morning. I am going to schedule a telephone conference for this afternoon or this evening to let them know, but I thought you should know so you can start planning. Please don't reach out to defense counsel until we let them know.

---

From: Kenneth Starr [mailto:[REDACTED]]  
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 9:11 PM

878

EFTA00180204

To: Roth, John (ODAG)  
Cc: Jay Lefkowitz; [REDACTED]  
Subject: Re: Epstein

Dear Mr. Roth,

As you have requested, we are sending our supplemental submissions and binder of materials to the Department for delivery tomorrow. Attached here is our introductory letter.

I will be traveling abroad next week and kindly ask that you contact my colleague in this matter, Jay Lefkowitz, by email or by telephone at [REDACTED].

Kind regards.

Kenneth W. Starr  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

"Roth, John (ODAG)" <[John.Roth3@usdoj.gov](mailto:John.Roth3@usdoj.gov)>

06/11/2008 07:08 AM

To <[REDACTED]>, <[Ken.Starr@pepperdine.edu](mailto:Ken.Starr@pepperdine.edu)>  
cc  
Subject  
Epstein

Sorry for the phone tag. We have all of your letters to both SDFL and the Criminal Division, but feel free to supplement that if you wish. It is best simply to send it by [REDACTED]-mail to me, with a copy to your contact in the USAO. If

you do decide to send us something, it would be most useful to get it by the middle of next week. Thanks.

John Roth  
Associate Deputy Attorney General  
[REDACTED]

\*\*\*\*\*  
The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Kirkland & Ellis LLP or Kirkland & Ellis International LLP. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by mail to [postmaster@kirkland.com](mailto:postmaster@kirkland.com), and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.  
\*\*\*\*\*

**Recipient**

██████████ (USAFLS)

██████████ (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/23/2008 10:59 AM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2008 9:11 AM  
To: Roth, John (ODAG) (SMO); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: Soffer, Gil [REDACTED] (ODAG) (SMO)  
Subject: Re: Epstein

Thanks John.

----- Original Message -----

From: Roth, John (ODAG) (SMO)  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: Soffer, Gil [REDACTED] (ODAG) (SMO)  
Sent: Fri Jun 20 09:01:18 2008  
Subject: FW: Epstein

FYI. Gil and I have finished our review. Even if we were to substitute our judgment for yours, we believe that this case is one that is appropriately in federal court. We also see nothing in the conduct of the USAO that gives us any reason to doubt that the case is being handled professionally. We briefed the DAG on it yesterday and he agrees.

The attached late submission throws a slight wrinkle into this, but I think we can still satisfy your timetable. I have asked Starr and Lefkowitz for an electronic version of whatever he is going to send, to be sent this morning. I am going to schedule a telephone conference for this afternoon or this evening to let them know, but I thought you should know so you can start planning. Please don't reach out to defense counsel until we let them know.

---

From: Kenneth Starr [mailto:[REDACTED]]  
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 9:11 PM  
To: Roth, John (ODAG)  
Cc: Jay Lefkowitz; [REDACTED]  
Subject: Re: Epstein

Dear Mr. Roth,

As you have requested, we are sending our supplemental submissions and binder of materials to the Department for delivery tomorrow. Attached here is our introductory letter.

I will be traveling abroad next week and kindly ask that you contact my colleague in this matter, Jay Lefkowitz, by email or by telephone at [REDACTED].

Kind regards.

Kenneth W. Starr  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP

[REDACTED]

"Roth, John (ODAG)" <[John.Roth3@usdoj.gov](mailto:John.Roth3@usdoj.gov)>

06/11/2008 07:08 AM

To [REDACTED] m>, <[REDACTED]>

cc

Subject  
Epstein

Sorry for the phone tag. We have all of your letters to both SDFL and the Criminal Division, but feel free to supplement that if you wish. It is best simply to send it by e-mail to me, with a copy to your contact in the USAO. If you do decide to send us something, it would be most useful to get it by the middle of next week. Thanks.

John Roth  
Associate Deputy Attorney General

[REDACTED]

\*\*\*\*\*  
The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of Kirkland & Ellis LLP or Kirkland & Ellis International LLP. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this

communication in error, please notify us immediately by  
return e-mail or by e-mail to postmaster@kirkland.com, and  
destroy this communication and all copies thereof,  
including all attachments.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Recipient**

K [REDACTED] (LEO)  
A [REDACTED] USAFLS)  
S [REDACTED] USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/19/2008 4:48 PM  
Read: 6/19/2008 4:47 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 3:38 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (FBI); [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Subject: Travel to New York

Hi all – I just got an e-mail from [REDACTED] lawyer saying that, if we get the immunity, we can meet with her on Friday, June 27<sup>th</sup>, in New York.

The application is up in DC now. It should be a sure thing, but you never know. Let's talk tomorrow about planning the trip.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 19, 2008 2:51 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAWAW)  
**Subject:** RE: Vanity Fair

Was that not the harshest article ever?

Ironically, in their latest appeal – to the DAG, yes, that is right, the DAG! – they claim that I am persecuting him because of his close ties to the Clintons.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAWAW)  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 19, 2008 2:38 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Vanity Fair

Saw your man/case mentioned in the Bill Clinton article.

Tracking:

896

EFTA00180213

Recipient  
[REDACTED] (USA WAW)

Read  
Read: 6/19/2008 3:26 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 2:02 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: [REDACTED]-mail to Barry

Hi [REDACTED] – I talked with [REDACTED] about communicating the substance of our conversation with Roy Black to Barry to avoid the miscommunication that the defense loves to do. What do you think of this?

Dear Barry:

I just wanted to let you know that [REDACTED] and I spoke with Roy Black yesterday regarding the Epstein case. Roy asked whether there was a way to resolve the federal and state litigation simultaneously and mentioned your desire to wrap up the case before you retired. We informed him that the Office's position is that if Epstein promptly abides by the terms of the signed non-prosecution agreement, we will end our investigation. If Mr. Epstein chooses to go forward with a different plea in the State, that is his prerogative, but we will consider it a breach of the non-prosecution agreement and will proceed accordingly.

The terms of the agreement call for Mr. Epstein to plead guilty to the state indictment and also to a state charge that requires sex offender registration, specifically the charge of procuring minors to engage in prostitution, at least 18 months imprisonment, and an agreement that the victims can pursue damages claims as though Mr. Epstein had been convicted of the federal offenses. Our agreement does not address probationary periods following the term of incarceration. Those are statutorily set on the federal side, so we have left that issue to the defense to negotiate with you.

If you have any questions, please let me know.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

898

EFTA00180215

Recipient

A [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Read

Read: 6/19/2008 2:45 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 1:42 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Subject: RE: Epstein -- Call with Roy Black

Hi [REDACTED] -- I sent an e-mail to [REDACTED] about the Clark case asking for the info regarding the cp so I can prepare the indictment.

Our office has approved the immunity request so it is now up with Washington. Don't know how long they will take to review it. I also am still waiting to hear from [REDACTED] lawyer about whether he will agree to meet or if we have to wait for the grand jury.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 1:37 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Re: Epstein -- Call with Roy Black

Sounds fishy to us. Not sure what the benefit could be. Anything from your office on the immunity letter and NY trip. On another note, how do you want to handle [REDACTED] other case (Jonathan Clark). Is grand jury next Thursday? She is meeting with [REDACTED] tomorrow 43 images of CP transmitted.

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); Acosta, [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Wed Jun 18 13:53:07 2008  
Subject: Epstein -- Call with Roy Black

[REDACTED] and I spoke with Roy. Roy said that he had called because he had heard that [REDACTED] had discussed the matter with Barry Krischer and Roy wanted to see if there was any way to wrap this up before July 7th. Roy also said that he had seen a letter from [REDACTED] that said that the matter of incarceration would be left to the State. (I am not certain what letter he is referring to, but I think [REDACTED] wrote a letter about not taking a position on where Epstein would be incarcerated, not the length of time.) So, Roy wondered whether we would go away if Epstein took Barry's 60-day deal.



[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Thursday, June 19, 2008 1:27 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: JE

Hi [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] - Two things:

Do you think [REDACTED] and I should call Barry Krischer and tell him about our conversation with Roy and the Office's position?

One of our best witnesses has hired an attorney. He is a former state prosecutor and seems like a good guy. He asked me for a status and I told him that all I could say is that it remains my hope that we will charge JE. He would like to advocate on behalf of his client that we move forward. Would the DAG consider hearing from the victims?

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

**Recipient**

\$ [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
\$ [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/19/2008 1:31 PM  
Read: 6/19/2008 1:38 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 18, 2008 1:53 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS); Acosta [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Epstein -- Call with Roy Black

[REDACTED] and I spoke with Roy. Roy said that he had called because he had heard that [REDACTED] had discussed the matter with Barry Krischer and Roy wanted to see if there was any way to wrap this up before July 7<sup>th</sup>. Roy also said that he had seen a letter from [REDACTED] [REDACTED] that said that the matter of incarceration would be left to the State. (I am not certain what letter he is referring to, but I think [REDACTED] wrote a letter about not taking a position on where Epstein would be incarcerated, not the length of time.) So, Roy wondered whether we would go away if Epstein took Barry's 60-day deal.

[REDACTED] explained that [REDACTED] had not had substantive discussions with Barry about the case and that all communication regarding the case is being handled by [REDACTED] and me. In response to the question of whether there was anything that could "make this go away," we said that our position is that if Epstein stops the process in Washington and pleads in accordance with the terms of the signed agreement, then we will perform pursuant to the agreement. [REDACTED] explained that if Epstein pleads to something else or gets sentenced to a lower amount, then we will consider that a breach of our agreement and we will proceed accordingly.

On that note, has there been any word from Washington?

Thank you.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

930

EFTA00180221

**Recipient**

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Acosta, Alex (USAFLS)  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
[REDACTED] (FBI)  
[REDACTED] (FBI)  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/18/2008 2:19 PM  
  
Read: 6/18/2008 2:06 PM  
Read: 6/18/2008 5:48 PM  
Read: 6/18/2008 1:59 PM  
  
Read: 6/18/2008 3:17 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 18, 2008 1:10 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Roy Black Called

Will you be back soon or do you want to do a conference call?

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 18, 2008 12:07 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Epstein

Hi guys – [REDACTED] and I put in a call to Roy Black this morning. He was “unavailable,” so we left a message.

[REDACTED] has approved an immunity request for one of the New York victims/recruiters. [REDACTED] is out so we are going to send it down to you, but I wondered who it should go to first, one of you or Ken?

Thanks.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

933

EFTA00180224

**Recipient**

██████████ (USAFLS)

██████████ (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/18/2008 1:07 PM

Read: 6/18/2008 12:08 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 17, 2008 4:02 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Roy and JE

Hi [REDACTED] – Well, Roy took your message to mean that he should call [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] is out of the office, so there hasn't been any communication. [REDACTED] and I may call Roy later today or [REDACTED] is going to call him back and tell him to call me.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

Recipient  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Read  
Read: 6/17/2008 4:15 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 3:00 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Re: [REDACTED]

Unfortunately, the only info we have for [REDACTED] is her address. Source info indicates she's from Brazil. There are numerous name matches in ice records. We need to review the a files of the ones that match closest to determine her dob and soc. Any way we could get those particulars through her attorney?(Needed for travel arrangements?)

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>  
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
Sent: Tue Jun 17 14:45:31 2008  
Subject: [REDACTED]

Hi guys - sorry to bother you, but I need this info about [REDACTED] asap so I can get the paperwork down to Miami. Thanks.

Birth Date of Witness:

FBI I.D. No.:

Birthplace:

Social Security No.:

Alias:

Address of Witness:

Also, do you have the name and number of the AUSA in New York? I want to run this by him/her first.

Thanks.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Phone [REDACTED]

Fax



[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 2:46 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (FBI); [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Subject: [REDACTED]

Hi guys – sorry to bother you, but I need this info about [REDACTED] asap so I can get the paperwork down to Miami.  
Thanks.

- Birth Date of Witness:
- FBI I.D. No.:
- Birthplace:
- Social Security No.:
- Alias:
- Address of Witness:

Also, do you have the name and number of the AUSA in New York? I want to run this by him/her first.

Thanks.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] . (USAFLS)

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 17, 2008 11:03 AM  
**To:** Acosta, [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Epstein

Hi all – [REDACTED] and I just spoke with Barry Krischer. He began with his usual complaint about us not communicating with him. I explained that it was the defense who were blocking the channels of communication.

He then told us that the current deal he has worked out with Jack Goldberger is 60 days in the County Jail, 2 years community confinement, and 3 years probation. He says that Epstein has agreed to plead to “attempted lewd conduct,” which, Barry says, will require registration. We asked Barry to send us the proposed Information so I can look to see whether, in fact, registration will be required. It also sounds like it will not involve a child sex offense.

I told Barry that the defense had told us that Barry objected to Epstein’s plea to the procurement charge and to his having to register as a sex offender. Barry said that the testimony in the grand jury would support the procurement charge and that they have never discussed sex offender registration.

Apparently Jack Goldberger told Barry that the delay was caused by DOJ’s internal investigation into my conduct referring business to my boyfriend’s “law partner.” We explained that the delay is caused by the defense appealing our decisions throughout the Justice Department.

He also said that Jack Goldberger had shown him a letter from [REDACTED] Acosta about having to plead in two weeks.

He asked [REDACTED] and I to get back to him after we review the Information to tell him if it is okay to go ahead with the plea deal.

Strangely enough, I haven’t heard from Roy Black.

I will let you know when we receive the Information.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

947

EFTA00180231

**Recipient**

Acosta, Alex (USAFLS)  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
[REDACTED] (FBI)  
[REDACTED] (FBI)  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/17/2008 11:54 AM  
Read: 6/17/2008 11:09 AM  
Read: 6/17/2008 11:29 AM  
Read: 6/17/2008 1:50 PM  
  
Read: 6/17/2008 11:11 AM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Sent: Tuesday, June 17, 2008 10:45 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Re: Call -- Urgent!

Hi [REDACTED],

I have [REDACTED] support for the New York trip (funding may be an issue though). I have the request prepared but need to add dates of travel when we get them. Talk to you later.

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Fri Jun 13 15:06:07 2008  
Subject: FW: Call -- Urgent!

[REDACTED] -- Someone really needs to talk to Barry. I am happy to do so, if you want, and I will be very nice about it.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 3:03 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

He got a strange voice mail from Barry K which the deal was 60 days--he was calling him back to say that is not the deal and the defense knows the deal as does his ASA. So they should do what they want and if it is not in accordance with our agreement we will do whatever we have to do.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:52 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

Can you give [REDACTED] the heads up so he doesn't do something unexpected?

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:23 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

Are you coming back home?

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)

Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 11:56 AM

To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Subject: Call

Hi [REDACTED]. We had a good call with the dag's chief of staff. They seem ready to greenlight us. Strangely, just an hour later roy black called [REDACTED] to propose a "final solution" (his words not mine). [REDACTED] told him to call me. (Imagine that). So are you free later for a conf call if he actually calls?

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 13, 2008 6:15 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** FW: Epstein

Sorry, forgot to cc you.

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 13, 2008 6:09 PM  
**To:** Roth, John (ODAG) (SMO)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Epstein

John,

Epstein is facing trial on a felony charge of solicitation of prostitution. This does not resemble the charges that Epstein agreed to plead guilty to in the September 24<sup>th</sup> Agreement nor what he would face federally. That case is set for trial on Monday July 7.

If we are given the go ahead from the DAG's office, we would give Epstein one final chance to comply with the September 24<sup>th</sup> Agreement. In that regard, it would be most preferable to have a decision by next week. That would give us the opportunity to seek an indictment on Tuesday July 1<sup>st</sup> if Epstein fails to comply with the September 24<sup>th</sup> Agreement by Monday June 30<sup>th</sup>.

The reason this timetable is important is to address our concern that Epstein may continue to keep us in a holding pattern if he pleads to the pending state solicitation of prostitution charge before a federal indictment is returned. In that scenario, I anticipate Epstein's counsel raising petit policy issues, thus throwing another possible monkey wrench in the process. Although I don't believe that the petit policy would be affected, I can imagine someone calling a timeout until the issue is vetted. That's why I would prefer being able to seek an indictment before Epstein pleads to the pending charge and after he has repudiated the September 24<sup>th</sup> Agreement. Thanks,

[REDACTED]

██████████ (USAFLS)

---

From: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 3:06 PM  
To: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Cc: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Subject: FW: Call -- Urgent!

Importance: High

██████ -- Someone really needs to talk to Barry. I am happy to do so, if you want, and I will be very nice about it.

-----Original Message-----

From: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 3:03 PM  
To: ██████████. (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

He got a strange voice mail from Barry K which the deal was 60 days--he was calling him back to say that is not the deal and the defense knows the deal as does his ASA. So they should do what they want and if it is not in accordance with our agreement we will do whatever we have to do.

-----Original Message-----

From: ██████████. (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:52 PM  
To: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

Can you give ████████ the heads up so he doesn't do something unexpected?

-----Original Message-----

From: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:23 PM  
To: ██████████. (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

Are you coming back home?

-----Original Message-----

From: ██████████. (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 11:56 AM  
To: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Subject: Call

Hi ████████. We had a good call with the dag's chief of staff. They seem ready to greenlight us. Strangely, just an hour later roy black called ████████ to propose a "final solution" (his words not mine). ████████ told him to call me. (Imagine that). So are you free later for a conf call if he actually calls?

[REDACTED]. (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 3:03 PM  
To: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

He got a strange voice mail from Barry K which the deal was 60 days--he was calling him back to say that is not the deal and the defense knows the deal as does his ASA. So they should do what they want and if it is not in accordance with our agreement we will do whatever we have to do.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:52 PM  
To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

Can you give [REDACTED] the heads up so he doesn't do something unexpected?

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:23 PM  
To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

Are you coming back home?

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 11:56 AM  
To: [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Call

Hi [REDACTED]. We had a good call with the dag's chief of staff. They seem ready to greenlight us. Strangely, just an hour later roy black called [REDACTED] to propose a "final solution" (his words not mine). [REDACTED] told him to call me. (Imagine that). So are you free later for a conf call if he actually calls?

V [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: V [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:52 PM  
To: A [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

Can you give R [REDACTED] the heads up so he doesn't do something unexpected?

-----Original Message-----

From: A [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:23 PM  
To: V [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

Are you coming back home?

-----Original Message-----

From: V [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 11:56 AM  
To: A [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Call

Hi [REDACTED]. We had a good call with the dag's chief of staff. They seem ready to greenlight us. Strangely, just an hour later roy black called [REDACTED] to propose a "final solution" (his words not mine). [REDACTED] told him to call me. (Imagine that). So are you free later for a conf call if he actually calls?

██████████. (USAFLS)

---

From: ██████████. (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:52 PM  
To: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

One week. We told the DAG that we need his decision by end of next week so we can indict on July 1st before the July 7th state trial.

-----Original Message-----

From: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:51 PM  
To: ██████████. (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

How long after the final decision--if there is such a thing--does he have before we indict?

-----Original Message-----

From: ██████████. (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:45 PM  
To: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

Hi ██████████ -- Not today. I am going to meet with ██████████ about the indictment.

-----Original Message-----

From: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:23 PM  
To: ██████████. (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

Are you coming back home?

-----Original Message-----

From: ██████████. (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 11:56 AM  
To: ██████████ (USAFLS)  
Subject: Call

Hi ██████████. We had a good call with the dag's chief of staff. They seem ready to greenlight us. Strangely, just an hour later roy black called ██████████ to propose a "final solution" (his words not mine). ██████████ told him to call me. (Imagine that). So are you free later for a conf call if he actually calls?

[REDACTED]. (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:45 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

Hi [REDACTED] -- Not today. I am going to meet with [REDACTED] about the indictment.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:23 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

Are you coming back home?

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 11:56 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Call

Hi [REDACTED]. We had a good call with the dag's chief of staff. They seem ready to greenlight us. Strangely, just an hour later roy black called [REDACTED] to propose a "final solution" (his words not mine). [REDACTED] told him to call me. (Imagine that). So are you free later for a conf call if he actually calls?

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 2:23 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

Are you coming back home?

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 11:56 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Call

Hi [REDACTED]. We had a good call with the dag's chief of staff. They seem ready to greenlight us. Strangely, just an hour later roy black called [REDACTED] to propose a "final solution" (his words not mine). [REDACTED] told him to call me. (Imagine that). So are you free later for a conf call if he actually calls?

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 1:15 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Subject: Re: Epstein

Sorry. I am at birthday lunch now. I will call as soon as I can. Overall it went well. There is a new development. I will call soon

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Fri Jun 13 13:05:14 2008  
Subject: Epstein

How did the conf call go! Sorry couldn't wait longer!

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 12:04 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Call

Not free but good!! Yes but want to talk to you first. We don't want to change our terms!!!

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 11:56 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Call

Hi [REDACTED]. We had a good call with the dag's chief of staff. They seem ready to greenlight us. Strangely, just an hour later roy black called [REDACTED] to propose a "final solution" (his words not mine). [REDACTED] told him to call me. (Imagine that). So are you free later for a conf call if he actually calls?

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 13, 2008 11:56 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Call

Hi [REDACTED]. We had a good call with the dag's chief of staff. They seem ready to greenlight us. Strangely, just an hour later roy black called [REDACTED] to propose a "final solution" (his words not mine). [REDACTED] told him to call me. (Imagine that). So are you free later for a conf call if he actually calls?

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 11, 2008 4:27 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (FBI)  
**Subject:** Emailing: [REDACTED] Yahoo SW Aff.wpd  
**Attachments:** [REDACTED] Yahoo SW Aff.wpd

The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

[REDACTED] Yahoo SW Aff.wpd

Note: To protect against computer viruses, [REDACTED]-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your [REDACTED]-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Wednesday, June 11, 2008 2:04 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (FBI); [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Subject: FYI

A few things.

[REDACTED], [REDACTED] and I are having a conference call on Friday with the DAG. Time not yet confirmed.

[REDACTED] attorneys (at least the father) are no small shakes. <http://www.wtop.com/?nid=104&sid=1412018>

I am going to try to move [REDACTED] to the 24<sup>th</sup> or, preferably, the 1<sup>st</sup> of July.

Let me know if anything new is going on on your end.

Thanks.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 11, 2008 11:49 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Accepted: Epstein/call in from John Roth

Hi [REDACTED] and Cyndee – I have an 8:30 sentencing with Judge Hurley and then I am headed down to Miami. If Mr. Roth is available later in the morning I could be there live. Otherwise, please call me on my cell, [REDACTED].

[REDACTED] . (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Friday, June 06, 2008 3:04 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Subject: RE: Call from [REDACTED] attorney

Does she have any criminal history? And can you subpoena her driver's license and autotrack?

Thanks.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Sent: Friday, June 06, 2008 2:50 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Re: Call from [REDACTED] attorney

I can do a more extensive phone interview with [REDACTED] and cont to try and locate [REDACTED]. Idont know of anyone else that would have info on [REDACTED], other [REDACTED]. I'll check on A file on Monday.  
Previous phone numbers and/or bills from 04-05. Plus standard lang about gifts, cards and such pertaining to Epstein, [REDACTED], [REDACTED] and [REDACTED].

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>  
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
Sent: Fri Jun 06 14:40:06 2008  
Subject: Call from [REDACTED] attorney

[REDACTED] attorney called and she would really like full immunity. I said no, so she will have to come and testify in front of the grand jury and assert the fifth as to each question. I will start putting together a list of questions for her and send it to you. I also am wondering whether we should subpoena any records from her to bring with her to the grand jury.

I told him that we will need to see her on a Tuesday in June, he is going to call me back with a date. I also told him that we would pay for her plane ticket but not his.

Can you try to find out if she has an A-file and, if so, get a copy before then?  
And do you want to try to interview some other New York people before she comes  
to testify?

Thank you.

[REDACTED]

Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Friday, June 06, 2008 2:40 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (FBI); [REDACTED] (FBI)  
**Subject:** Call from [REDACTED] attorney

[REDACTED] attorney called and she would really like full immunity. I said no, so she will have to come and testify in front of the grand jury and assert the fifth as to each question. I will start putting together a list of questions for her and send it to you. I also am wondering whether we should subpoena any records from her to bring with her to the grand jury.

I told him that we will need to see her on a Tuesday in June, he is going to call me back with a date. I also told him that we would pay for her plane ticket but not his.

Can you try to find out if she has an A-file and, if so, get a copy before then? And do you want to try to interview some other New York people before she comes to testify?

Thank you.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 03, 2008 2:54 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** FW: SDFL - Epstein

**From:** Roth, John (ODAG) (SMO)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 03, 2008 1:30 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** RE: SDFL - Epstein

thanks. We will be in touch.

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS) [mailto:Cyndee.Campos@usdoj.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 03, 2008 12:53 PM  
**To:** Roth, John (ODAG)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** SDFL - Epstein

<<Epstein ltr Ofc of DAG 06 03 08.pdf>> Sent on behalf of Fi [REDACTED] [REDACTED] SDFL.  
Original signed letter with attachments to follow via Fed Ex.

[REDACTED]  
Staff Assistant

U.S. Attorney's Office

S.D. of Florida  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 03, 2008 2:54 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** FW: SDFL - Epstein

I was also going to send you a hard copy, with fancy tabs. Do you still want?

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 03, 2008 12:53 PM  
**To:** Roth, John (ODAG) (SMO)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** SDFL - Epstein



Epstein ltr Ofc of  
DAG 06 03 0...

Sent on behalf of [REDACTED], SDFL. Original signed letter with  
attachments to follow via Fed Ex.

[REDACTED]  
Staff Assistant  
U.S. Attorney's Office  
S.D. of Florida  
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] Ann M [REDACTED] C. (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 03, 2008 2:55 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** RE: SDFL - Epstein

Yes, please. Thank you!

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 03, 2008 2:54 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** FW: SDFL - Epstein

I was also going to send you a hard copy, with fancy tabs. Do you still want?

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 03, 2008 12:53 PM  
**To:** Roth, John (ODAG) (SMO)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** SDFL - Epstein

<< File: Epstein ltr Ofc of DAG 06 03 08.pdf >> Sent on behalf of [REDACTED] SDFL.  
Original signed letter with attachments to follow via Fed Ex.

[REDACTED]  
Staff Assistant  
U.S. Attorney's Office  
S.D. of Florida  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

973

EFTA00180253

Recipient

██████████ (USAFLS)

Read

Read: 6/3/2008 2:55 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 2:19 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Letter to DAG

Hi [REDACTED] – Can you send a copy of the letter to me for the file?

Thanks.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

975

Recipient

C [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Read

Read: 6/3/2008 2:53 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 1:48 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Fw: SDFL - Epstein

Fyi

----- Original Message -----  
From: Roth, John (ODAG) (SMO)  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tue Jun 03 13:29:39 2008  
Subject: RE: SDFL - Epstein

thanks. We will be in touch.

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) [mailto:Cyndee.Campos@usdoj.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 12:53 PM  
To: Roth, John (ODAG)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: SDFL - Epstein

<<Epstein ltr Ofc of DAG 06 03 08.pdf>> Sent on behalf of [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED], SDFL. Original signed letter with attachments to  
follow via Fed Ex.

[REDACTED]  
Staff Assistant  
U.S. Attorney's Office  
S.D. of Florida

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 12:14 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Epstein question

Hi [REDACTED] – I know [REDACTED] is running out to the NAC, so I didn't want to bother him about this now.

Here is my question. We think that one of the victims in New York has had contact with Epstein's counsel, so the cat is probably out of the bag about our interest in New York. When Epstein signed the Non-Prosecution Agreement, we withdrew several subpoenas, including a subpoena of one of Epstein's New York assistants, [REDACTED], a subpoena for the computer equipment that Roy Black's investigator removed from Epstein's house, and subpoenas to Bear Stearns. Can I renew those subpoenas? Bear Stearns is about to disappear into JP Morgan, [REDACTED] we now believe may be a target, rather than a subject (obviously I would need to let her lawyer know that), and Epstein's motion to quash the computer subpoena was never ruled upon by Judge Marra, who is on the duty wheel again this month.

Defense counsel will jump up and down and scream, but do we care anymore?

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

Tracking:

Recipient

██████████ (USAFLS)

Read

Read: 6/3/2008 12:27 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 03, 2008 11:33 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** RE: please review

**Importance:** High

Excellent! Only one typo. At the top of page 13, the sentence that starts "As soon as I became aware of these allegations," you left out the word "I" and you refer to it as the Office of Professional Regulation rather than Responsibility.

Also, since you included the self-reports to OPR by you and [REDACTED], you may want to include my self-disclosure in the paragraph at the middle of page 14 where I discuss the appointment issue.

You also may want to put in a footer reading "Confidential and Privileged – Attorney Work Product" to avoid possible disclosure to the defense.

Thanks.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 03, 2008 10:40 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** please review

<< File: 2DAG060208.wpd >>

Tracking:

980

EFTA00180260

Recipient  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Read  
Read: 6/3/2008 11:48 AM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2008 10:43 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Draft Indictment

Hi [REDACTED] – [REDACTED] called and said that [REDACTED] needed a copy of this. The first copy has a “draft” watermark on it, and the second is exactly the same except that the watermark is removed.



080429 revised  
ndictment with...

Thanks!



080429 revised  
ndictment with...

[REDACTED]

Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

Tracking:

982

EFTA00180262

**Recipient**

██████████ (USAFLS)

██████████ (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/3/2008 10:44 AM

Read: 6/3/2008 11:08 AM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 03, 2008 10:40 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** please review



2DAG060208.wp  
d

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 03, 2008 9:12 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Additional Info

Hi [REDACTED] – You might want to add that Epstein’s publicist, Howard Rubenstein, is also the publicist for The New York Post where the article quoting Lefcourt’s letter appeared.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

Recipient  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Read  
Read: 6/3/2008 10:19 AM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 03, 2008 9:10 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Page 6 Article re Lefcourt Ltr to Acosta.pdf

Here it is.



Page 6 Article re  
Lefcourt Ltr...

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 7:02 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: P.S.

Hi [REDACTED] – Apparently some fairly reputable news sources are reporting that Epstein has moved significant assets off shore and intends to flee. We are going to try to find out if they have any legitimacy.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

988

EFTA00180268

**Recipient**

\$ [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
\$ [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
/ [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/2/2008 7:02 PM  
Read: 6/3/2008 10:18 AM  
Read: 6/3/2008 8:38 AM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 6:57 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: DAG letter

Hi [REDACTED] - I like it. Any chance we can participate in the meeting? I would like to see these guys in action.

Here are my suggested changes. Feel free to keep or reject any and all.

Thanks.



Addition to be  
inserted on pag...

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
[REDACTED]

Tracking:

990

EFTA00180270

Recipient  
[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Read  
Read: 6/2/2008 7:00 PM

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

**From:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Monday, June 02, 2008 4:25 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** draft letter to DAG



DAG060208.wpd

██████████ (USAFLS)

---

**From:** ██████████ (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Monday, June 02, 2008 12:47 PM  
**To:** ██████████ (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** Emailing: Conf Plea Negotiations final.wpd  
**Attachments:** Conf Plea Negotiations final.wpd

Hi ██████ -- Here it is. It was presented at the July 31st meeting, I believe.

The message is ready to be sent with the following file or link attachments:

Conf Plea Negotiations final.wpd

Note: To protect against computer viruses, █-mail programs may prevent sending or receiving certain types of file attachments. Check your █-mail security settings to determine how attachments are handled.

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2008 11:09 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Epstein

Hi [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] – I am back. I will be meeting with [REDACTED] this afternoon to go over the latest developments.

I was wondering whether you had received a copy of all of Epstein's submissions to the AAG? I don't want to bother [REDACTED] if we already have everything.

Can you let me know? And have you heard anything about timing?

By the way, I am on the CM/ECF list for the civil suits against Epstein and Mr. Herman's firm has moved for entry of default judgment in one of the civil cases. Could be interesting.

Thanks.

[REDACTED]  
Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

Tracking:

**Recipient**

██████████ (USAFLS)

██████████ (USAFLS)

**Read**

Read: 6/2/2008 4:26 PM

[REDACTED]. (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2008 2:53 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Epstein

[REDACTED],

I just heard from [REDACTED] attorney, Brendan White. He informed me that he is representing [REDACTED] and that she would not be able to make the June 3rd date and that she will invoke her 5th amendment right unless she is granted immunity. I informed him that [REDACTED] was considered a victim/witness but he said that she would still invoke w/o immunity. SOUNDS like he has been well informed. Anyway Mr. White advised he had left you a message so I told him I would let the two of you work out the details. We can meet Monday afternoon and I will fill you in on the rest. I'll let [REDACTED] Odell and [REDACTED] Atkinson know we are off for Tuesday. Have a great weekend.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) [REDACTED]  
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 3:24 PM  
To: [REDACTED].  
Subject: Re: Epstein

Hi [REDACTED]. Very intereting. Is she coming down on her own dime? If she wants us to pay we have to set up the travel. Can you figure that out and if she is showing up this tuesday call [REDACTED] atkinson to reserve grand jury time. Ask to put us last in case she is willing to do an interview and them we will not bring her to gj. We may want to video her. Since we aren't indicting on tuesday let's meet monday afternoon to go over everything. Thanks.

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Thu May 29 12:18:50 2008  
Subject: RE: Epstein

[REDACTED],

The NY agents made contact with [REDACTED] today. She met them at the door with her attorney's card. (she is connected to a target in another FBI investigation) I'll explain later. Anyway, she contacted the agent a few minutes ago and sounded surprised when she was informed it was about Epstein. She switched the conversation immediately about coming to Grand Jury on Tues. The agent left the door open to contact her if she decides to talk to her. I think we should let her travel down here and let [REDACTED] and I attempt to interview her prior to putting her in the GJ. What do you think? I told the NY agent if [REDACTED] should

reach out to her again to provide her with my name and information as her contact once she gets down here. I do not know if she plans on getting her attorney involved or not. His info is as follows: Diarmuid White of White and White, [REDACTED], telephone number [REDACTED]. I have a feeling she will reach out to Epstein, so it could get interesting. We have to schedule time for her in front of GJ. Let me know.

[REDACTED]

---

From: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS) [REDACTED]  
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 9:03 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED].  
Subject: Epstein

Hi [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. I received [REDACTED] -mail stating that the DAG agreed to meet with epstein's people. Does this mean that Tuesday is off? I need to let the gj coordinator know.

Also, I am sure that you remember [REDACTED]. She was the person whom we initially classified as a victim until epstein's attorneys complained. Well, [REDACTED] has just interviewed a girl who was 14 or 15 when she first went to epstein's house who reports that epstein told her that he had sex with [REDACTED]. ([REDACTED] probably would have been 15 or 16 when this conversation occurred.) The girl also reports that she told epstein her true age and epstein told her that he doesn't care about age.

I know that epstein's people will, no doubt, continue to tell the dag and others that epstein didn't know about the girls' ages so I thought you should know.

Please let me know about tuesday so I don't needlessly spoil [REDACTED] sunday. And, any chance the case was discussed with the ag when he was in town?

Thanks.

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 3:24 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Subject: Re: Epstein

Hi [REDACTED]. Very intereting. Is she coming down on her own dime? If she wants us to pay we have to set up the travel. Can you figure that out and if she is showing up this tuesday call [REDACTED] atkinson to reserve grand jury time. Ask to put us last in case she is willing to do an interview and them we will not bring her to gj. We may want to video her. Since we aren't indicting on tuesday let's meet monday afternoon to go over everything. Thanks.

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Thu May 29 12:18:50 2008  
Subject: RE: Epstein

[REDACTED],

The NY agents made contact with [REDACTED] today. She met them at the door with her attorney's card. (she is connected to a target in another FBI investigation) I'll explain later. Anyway, she contacted the agent a few minutes ago and sounded surprised when she was informed it was about Epstein. She switched the conversation immediately about coming to Grand Jury on Tues. The agent left the door open to contact her if she decides to talk to her. I think we should let her travel down here and let [REDACTED] and I attempt to interview her prior to putting her in the GJ. What do you think? I told the NY agent if [REDACTED] should reach out to her again to provide her with my name and information as her contact once she gets down here. I do not know if she plans on getting her attorney involved or not. His info is as follows: Diarmuid White of White and White, [REDACTED] [REDACTED], telephone number [REDACTED]. I have a feeling she will reach out to Epstein, so it could get interesting. We have to schedule time for her in front of GJ. Let me know.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) [REDACTED]  
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 9:03 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED].  
Subject: Epstein

Hi [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. I received [REDACTED] -mail stating that the DAG agreed to meet with epstein's people. Does this mean that Tuesday is off? I need to let the gj coordinator know.

Also, I am sure that you remember [REDACTED]. She was the person whom we initially classified as a victim until epstein's attorneys complained. Well, [REDACTED] has just interviewed a girl who was 14 or 15 when she first went to epstein's house who reports that epstein told her that he had sex with [REDACTED]. ([REDACTED] probably would have been 15 or 16 when this conversation occurred.) The girl also reports that she told epstein her true age and epstein told her that he doesn't care about age.

I know that epstein's people will, no doubt, continue to tell the dag and others that epstein didn't know about the girls' ages so I thought you should know.

Please let me know about tuesday so I don't needlessly spoil [REDACTED] sunday. And, any chance the case was discussed with the ag when he was in town?

Thanks.

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 12:19 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Epstein

[REDACTED]

The NY agents made contact with [REDACTED] today. She met them at the door with her attorney's card. (she is connected to a target in another FBI investigation) I'll explain later. Anyway, she contacted the agent a few minutes ago and sounded surprised when she was informed it was about Epstein. She switched the conversation immediately about coming to Grand Jury on Tues. The agent left the door open to contact her if she decides to talk to her. I think we should let her travel down here and let [REDACTED] and I attempt to interview her prior to putting her in the GJ. What do you think? I told the NY agent if [REDACTED] should reach out to her again to provide her with my name and information as her contact once she gets down here. I do not know if she plans on getting her attorney involved or not. His info is as follows: Diarmuid White of White and White, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] telephone number [REDACTED]. I have a feeling she will reach out to Epstein, so it could get interesting. We have to schedule time for her in front of GJ. Let me know.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) [REDACTED]  
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 9:03 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED]; [REDACTED]  
Subject: Epstein

Hi [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. I received [REDACTED] -mail stating that the DAG agreed to meet with epstein's people. Does this mean that Tuesday is off? I need to let the gj coordinator know.

Also, I am sure that you remember [REDACTED]. She was the person whom we initially classified as a victim until epstein's attorneys complained. Well, [REDACTED] has just interviewed a girl who was 14 or 15 when she first went to epstein's house who reports that epstein told her that he had sex with [REDACTED]. ([REDACTED] probably would have been 15 or 16 when this conversation occurred.) The girl also reports that she told epstein her true age and epstein told her that he doesn't care about age.

I know that epstein's people will, no doubt, continue to tell the dag and others that epstein didn't know about the girls' ages so I thought you should know.

Please let me know about tuesday so I don't needlessly spoil [REDACTED] sunday. And, any chance the case was discussed with the ag when he was in town?

1000

EFTA00180280

Thanks.

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2008 9:03 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (FBI); [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Subject: Epstein

Hi [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. I received [REDACTED] -mail stating that the DAG agreed to meet with epstein's people. Does this mean that Tuesday is off? I need to let the gj coordinator know.

Also, I am sure that you remember [REDACTED]. She was the person whom we initially classified as a victim until epstein's attorneys complained. Well, [REDACTED] has just interviewed a girl who was 14 or 15 when she first went to epstein's house who reports that epstein told her that he had sex with [REDACTED]. ([REDACTED] probably would have been 15 or 16 when this conversation occurred.) The girl also reports that she told epstein her true age and epstein told her that he doesn't care about age.

I know that epstein's people will, no doubt, continue to tell the dag and others that epstein didn't know about the girls' ages so I thought you should know.

Please let me know about tuesday so I don't needlessly spoil [REDACTED] sunday. And, any chance the case was discussed with the ag when he was in town?

Thanks.

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 6:33 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Re: Epstein

Hey we emailed [REDACTED] (MOST:) of your comments re any further delays and added a few of our own. The US Atty Gen was here today in MM. I would love to know if Epstein came up. [REDACTED] and Acosta were going to present to the DAG reasons not to delay any further. Hopefully they were successful and we [REDACTED] still on for Tues. We interviewed [REDACTED] today. She told Epstein her true age, she belvd was 14 or 15. Phone records show contact @ age 16. She said Epstein told her he did not care about age. She also said that E told her he had f\*\*\*d [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] brought [REDACTED]. Anyways, I'll fill u in later. NY getting close to locating [REDACTED]. We have cell and good address. Keep your fingers crossed. Talk to u soon.

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) <[REDACTED]>  
To: [REDACTED]; [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED]  
Sent: Tue May 27 23:45:06 2008  
Subject: Re: Epstein

Aaaargh.

The statute of limitations issue is the state statute of limitations. I think joe says it is two years. The issue is implicated in two ways. First, because of the state's leniency for the first set of girls, the second set have been presented only to us for prosecution. If we cannot go forward, then there will be no prosecution of those crimes. (In response to the argument that joe should just present them now, we believe that some of the victims are unknown to the defense and disclosing them further weakens our case by allowing them to depose and harass those victims.) Second, our "state resolution" of the case requires epstein to plead to something that hasn't been charged yet so further delay will allow him to escape one of the terms of the deal he signed several months ago. (There also is a sol on the private cause of action under 2255 pursuant to which he must pay damages to the girls. The delay will allow him to escape responsibility for that term, too.)

Other reasons:

The victims are getting older. Clearly one of epstein's arguments will be that he did not know they were minors. The older they are when they testify the more plausible epstein's argument becomes.

The grand jury we are using will expire soon. We have already presented more than a dozen hours of testimony and the grand jury is invested and wants to indict.

We promised the girls swift justice so they could move on with their lives. [REDACTED] is a perfect example of why this is needed. The delay so far has led many to reach out to private lawyers which, in turn, let's e argue that they are only in it for money.

Why give him more time? He has had more than a year's delay already for no reason other than the names of the attorneys he can afford to hire. This is not a white collar or other non-violent crime. This is a child exploitation crime with more than 20 known child victims. We are mandated by statute and DOJ policy to prosecute those cases vigorously and in a timely fashion - whether the children were prostitutes or unwilling victims. Why is this case being treated so differently?

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED]. (FBI)  
To: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED]. (FBI)  
Sent: Tue May 27 18:45:37 2008  
Subject: RE: Epstein

Hey [REDACTED],

Sorry to bother you on your vacation. Apparently the DAG is inclined to allow Epstein's counsel to present further arguments. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] has requested [REDACTED] and I to prepare, in email format, arguments to present to the DAG supporting why the case should not be stalled any further. [REDACTED] mentioned statute of limitation issues. I don't know what the specific statute of limitations are (if there are any) for the various counts. Seems like the statute of limitations was for the life of the victim but [REDACTED] not certain. Other points that can be made include the private investigators continuing to contact the victims and the relocation of our victims to various parts of the country. Do you have any suggestions as to what would be our best course of persuasion? Thanks and happy travels.

[REDACTED]

---

From: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS) [REDACTED]  
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 2:54 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Epstein

Hi [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] sent me an email about Epstein wanting to do less time. I hope that his request will be denied. The original deal was supposed to be 2 years so he has already gotten a big break. Plus we have identified more victims since we agreed to the 18 months. Please keep me posted. Thanks.

██████████ (USAFLS)

---

**From:** ██████████ (USAFLS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 28, 2008 11:17 AM  
**To:** ██████████ (USAFLS)  
**Subject:** epstein

the info about 90 days was something the ██████████ thought Barry said to him at a meeting. He was trying to confirm it. Talked to ██████████ and she said the state statute is three years and will run on the victims they have in Oct or so of this year. They are working on the memo for ██████████. Please don't let this spoil your trip—I know I am spitting into the wind with this advice but try anyway!!!! Thought for the day for ██████████—You know you are a redneck if you have ever bought your underwear at a yard sale!!!!!!

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 9:37 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Epstein

[REDACTED]  
Please fill me in.  
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2008 9:21 AM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Epstein

This was info that [REDACTED] got from Barry, the state attorney, but he was waiting for an email to confirm. I am much to lowly to have communications with the "star" team.

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 3:09 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: RE: Epstein

[REDACTED]/[REDACTED],

No one has contacted anyone in Miami. Please copy me and [REDACTED] on all communications. Thanks,

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 2:54 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Epstein

Hi [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] sent me an email about epstein wanting to do less time. I hope that his request will be denied. The original deal was supposed to be 2 years so he has already gotten a big break. Plus we have identified more victims since we agreed to the 18 months. Please keep me posted. Thanks.

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 11:45 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (FBI); [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Subject: Re: Epstein

Aaaargh.

The statute of limitations issue is the state statute of limitations. I think joe says it is two years. The issue is implicated in two ways. First, because of the state's leniency for the first set of girls, the second set have been presented only to us for prosecution. If we cannot go forward, then there will be no prosecution of those crimes. (In response to the argument that joe should just present them now, we believe that some of the victims are unknown to the defense and disclosing them further weakens our case by allowing them to depose and harass those victims.) Second, our "state resolution" of the case requires epstein to plead to something that hasn't been charged yet so further delay will allow him to escape one of the terms of the deal he signed several months ago. (There also is a sol on the private cause of action under 2255 pursuant to which he must pay damages to the girls. The delay will allow him to escape responsibility for that term, too.)

Other reasons:

The victims are getting older. Clearly one of epstein's arguments will be that he did not know they were minors. The older they are when they testify the more plausible epstein's argument becomes.

The grand jury we are using will expire soon. We have already presented more than a dozen hours of testimony and the grand jury is invested and wants to indict.

We promised the girls swift justice so they could move on with their lives. [REDACTED] is a perfect example of why this is needed. The delay so far has led many to reach out to private lawyers which, in turn, let's e argue that they are only in it for money.

Why give him more time? He has had more than a year's delay already for no reason other than the names of the attorneys he can afford to hire. This is not a white collar or other non-violent crime. This is a child exploitation crime with more than 20 known child victims. We are mandated by statute and doj policy to prosecute those cases vigorously and in a timely fashion - whether the children were prostitutes or unwilling victims. Why is this case being treated so differently?

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Sent: Tue May 27 18:45:37 2008

1008

EFTA00180287

Subject: RE: Epstein

Hey M [REDACTED]

Sorry to bother you on your vacation. Apparently the DAG is inclined to allow Epstein's counsel to present further arguments. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] has requested [REDACTED] and I to prepare, in email format, arguments to present to the DAG supporting why the case should not be stalled any further. [REDACTED] mentioned statute of limitation issues. I don't know what the specific statute of limitations are (if there are any) for the various counts. Seems like the statute of limitations was for the life of the victim but [REDACTED] not certain. Other points that can be made include the private investigators continuing to contact the victims and the relocation of our victims to various parts of the country. Do you have any suggestions as to what would be our best course of persuasion? Thanks and happy travels.

[REDACTED]

---

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) [REDACTED]  
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 2:54 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Epstein

Hi [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] sent me an email about epstein wanting to do less time. I hope that his request will be denied. The original deal was supposed to be 2 years so he has already gotten a big break. Plus we have identified more victims since we agreed to the 18 months. Please keep me posted. Thanks.

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

---

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 6:46 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Subject: RE: Epstein

Hey [REDACTED],

Sorry to bother you on your vacation. Apparently the DAG is inclined to allow Epstein's counsel to present further arguments. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] has requested [REDACTED] and I to prepare, in email format, arguments to present to the DAG supporting why the case should not be stalled any further. [REDACTED] mentioned statute of limitation issues. I don't know what the specific statute of limitations are (if there are any) for the various counts. Seems like the statute of limitations was for the life of the victim but I'm not certain. Other points that can be made include the private investigators continuing to contact the victims and the relocation of our victims to various parts of the country. Do you have any suggestions as to what would be our best course of persuasion? Thanks and happy travels.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) [REDACTED]  
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 2:54 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Cc: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Subject: Epstein

Hi [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] sent me an email about epstein wanting to do less time. I hope that his request will be denied. The original deal was supposed to be 2 years so he has already gotten a big break. Plus we have identified more victims since we agreed to the 18 months. Please keep me posted. Thanks.

[REDACTED] (USAFLS)

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2008 4:03 PM  
To: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
Subject: Re: Mtg

Hi [REDACTED] Just heard back from [REDACTED]. They haven't heard anything from Epstein's people and they aren't interested in renegotiating so [REDACTED] msg is a non-starter. Let's plan to meet Sunday at 1:30 at my house if that is okay. Then I will spend Monday with [REDACTED] in Miami. Thanks.

----- Original Message -----

From: [REDACTED] (FBI)  
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)  
Sent: Tue May 27 12:24:22 2008  
Subject: RE: Mtg

[REDACTED]  
Hope you are having a Great time and resting up. [REDACTED] afraid our days will be busy when u get back:) [REDACTED] is out on Sunday, but I think u and I should be able to handle it. Let me know what time, I will keep the day open.

New York is not going well. The Agents are still trying to locate [REDACTED] (we now know here last name) [REDACTED] but no luck thus far. When we ran the name in TECS, a [REDACTED] [REDACTED] dob [REDACTED] departed Miami on Jan 28, 2008 for Brazil and has not returned. We can not connect this [REDACTED] with New York so although the age matches this may not be our gal. There is no record of a [REDACTED] [REDACTED] in Choicepoint or Accurant matching her description. We'll keep trying.

We have spoken to the parents and a family attorney for [REDACTED] but she does not want to speak with us. The Agents will keep at it this week in hopes that they will be able to locate and interview her. I'll email you as soon as I have more to report.

It appears [REDACTED] is residing in North Carolina now. Her house here is up for sale. We spoke with her mother and asked her to have [REDACTED] contact us.

The subpoenas u left have all been served. We had to redo Verizon's at their request but they said they could get us the info this week. Negative results at the storage unit.

Unfortunately not much to report but we will stay at it. Email a time for Sunday and I let u know if we have any further developments.

[REDACTED]  
From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS) [REDACTED]  
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2008 9:37 AM

1011

EFTA00180290

To: [REDACTED].; [REDACTED].  
Subject: Mtg

Hi guys. Hope all is well. I understand that [REDACTED] has explained the status. Can we meet on sunday june 1st to finalize everything so I can meet with [REDACTED] on monday and we can present on tuesday? And what is the status of new york? Thanks