

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

Case No. 08-80736-Civ-Marra/Johnson

JANE DOE #1 and JANE DOE #2,

Plaintiffs

v.

UNITED STATES,

Defendants

**JANE DOE #1 AND JANE DOE #2'S FIRST REQUEST FOR ADMISSIONS
TO THE GOVERNMENT REGARDING QUESTIONS RELEVANT TO THEIR
PENDING ACTION CONCERNING THE CRIME VICTIMS RIGHTS ACT**

COME NOW Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2 ("the victims"), by and through undersigned counsel, and request the defendant United States (hereinafter "the Government") to admit or deny the following facts:

BACKGROUND

As the Government will recall, the victims have asked the Government to stipulate to undisputed facts in this case. The Government has declined. Accordingly, the victims filed their Motion for Finding of Violations of the Crime Victims' Rights Act and Request for a Hearing on Appropriate Remedies (DE 48) (the victims' "summary judgment motion") along with a Motion to Have Their Facts Accepted Because of the Government's Failure to Contest Any of the Facts (DE 49).

On September 26, 2011, the Court denied the victims' motion to have their facts accepted (DE 99 at 11). At the same time, however, the Court has ordered discovery to develop the factual record concerning the summary judgment motion (DE 99 at 11). The Court reserved

ruling on the victims' motion for an order directing the Government not to suppress relevant evidence (DE 99 at 11). The Court allowed the victims to propound requests for admission to the Government.

DISCOVERY REQUESTED

The numbered requests for admissions below should all be construed in light of the definitions of terms provided at the end of the requests. Where the request for admission has separate, lettered sub-parts, please admit or deny each separate sub-part:

1. The FBI and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida's investigation into Jeffrey Epstein developed a case for a federal prosecution against Epstein for many federal sex offenses.
2. Regarding notifications provided to victims of Jeffrey Epstein's sexual abuse:
 - (a) The U.S. Attorney's Office negotiated with Jeffrey Epstein's defense attorneys concerning the notifications to be provided to victims of Epstein's abuse;
 - (b) It is not standard practice for the U.S. Attorney's Office to negotiate with defense attorneys about the extent of notifications provided to crime victims;
 - (c) As a result of those negotiations or requests received from Epstein, the U.S. Attorney's Office stopped making notifications to some crime victims;
 - (d) The language used in the notifications to Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2 were affected by the negotiations with Epstein's defense lawyers;
 - (e) At least in part as a result of the negotiations, Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2 were not told that the U.S. Attorney's Office had entered into a non-prosecution agreement with Epstein until after the agreement was executed.
3. Because of a confidentiality provision in the non-prosecution agreement signed by the U.S. Attorney's Office, it would have been a breach of the agreement for the U.S. Attorney's Office to inform Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2 of the existence of the terms of that non-prosecution agreement barring prosecution of certain sex offenses.
4. During its negotiations with Jeffrey Epstein's defense attorneys, the U.S. Attorney's Office was aware that publicly disclosing the non-prosecution agreement with Jeffrey Epstein would likely have led to public criticism of the agreement.

5. During negotiations with Jeffrey Epstein regarding the non-prosecution agreement, it was the position of at least one experienced attorney within the U.S. Attorney's Office that the Crime Victims' Rights Act required notifications to the victims in this case.
6. The Justice Department possesses documents, correspondence or other information reflecting contacts with the Department between May 2007 and September 2008 on behalf of Jeffrey Epstein by:
 - (a) President Bill Clinton;
 - (b) Andrew Albert Christian Edward (a/k/a Prince Andrew, Duke of York);
 - (c) Harvard Law Professor Alan Dershowitz;
 - (d) Ken Starr;
 - (e) Lillian Sanchez;
 - (f) Jay Lefkowitz; and
 - (g) Roy Black.
7. On about January 10, 2008, when Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2 were sent letters advising them that "this case is currently under investigation," the U.S. Attorney's Office had already entered into a non-prosecution agreement with Jeffrey Epstein.
8. In September 2007 when the U.S. Attorney's entered into the non-prosecution agreement with Epstein, it did not inform FBI agents of the details of the disposition of the case in the way that it ordinarily informed them of dispositions of other cases.
9. With regard to the non-prosecution agreement between Epstein and the Government:
 - (a) Epstein insisted on, and the U.S. Attorney's Office agreed to, a provision in the non-prosecution agreement that made the agreement secret;
 - (b) In particular, the agreement stated: "The parties anticipate that this agreement will not be made part of any public record;"
 - (c) By entering into such a confidentiality agreement, the U.S. Attorney's Office put itself in a position that conferring with the crime victims (including Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2) about the non-prosecution agreement would violate certain terms of the agreement;
 - (d) Even notifying the victims about the agreement would have violated the confidentiality provision; and
 - (e) From September 24, 2007 through June 2008, the U.S. Attorney's Office did not notify Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2 of the existence of the non-prosecution agreement.

10. With regard to contact between the Government and the victims:

- (a) On about October 26, 2007, FBI agents met with Jane Doe #1;
- (b) The agents explained that Epstein would plead guilty to state charges involving another victim, he would be required to register as a sex offender, and he had made certain concessions related to the payment of damages to the victims, including Jane Doe #1;
- (c) During this meeting, the agents did not explain that an agreement had already been signed that precluded any prosecution of Epstein for federal crimes committed against Jane Doe #1;
- (d) The agents could not have revealed this part of the non-prosecution agreement without violating the terms of the non-prosecution agreement;
- (e) The agents themselves had not been informed of the existence of the provision in the non-prosecution agreement barring Epstein's prosecution for various federal crimes or sex offenses at that time;
- (f) Because the non-prosecution agreement had already been reached with Epstein, the agents made no attempt to secure Jane Doe #1's view on the proposed resolution of the case; and
- (g) The agents never explained that the non-prosecution agreement would ultimately bring to an end the federal investigation in the case.

11. On about November 29, 2007, the U.S. Attorney's Office sent a draft of a crime victim notification letter to Jay Lefkowitz, defense counsel for Jeffrey Epstein. The notification letter would have explained: "I am writing to inform you that the federal investigation of Jeffrey Epstein has been completed, and Mr. Epstein and the U.S. Attorney's Office have reached an agreement containing the following terms" Because of concerns from Epstein's attorneys, the U.S. Attorney's Office never sent the proposed victim notification letter to the victims.

12. On July 3, 2008, when Bradley J. Edwards was working on a letter to the U.S. Attorney's Office concerning the need to federally prosecute Epstein for sex offenses committed against Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2, the U.S. Attorney's Office had already entered into a binding non-prosecution agreement with Jeffrey Epstein.

13. When Jeffrey Epstein pled guilty to state charges on June 30, 2008,

- (a) Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2 had not been informed by the U.S. Attorney's Office of the existence of the non-prosecution agreement.

- (b) The U.S. Attorney's Office had not conferred with either Jane Doe #1 or Jane Doe #2 about the non-prosecution agreement;
 - (c) Epstein's defense attorneys were aware that the U.S. Attorney's Office had not conferred with Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2 about the agreement; and
 - (d) Epstein's defense attorneys had negotiated for a confidentiality provision in the non-prosecution agreement that barred conferring with victims about the agreement.
14. When Epstein was pleading guilty to the state charges discussed in the non-prosecution agreement, both the U.S. Attorney's Office and Epstein's defense attorneys were working to keep the existence of the non-prosecution agreement confidential.
15. Defense attorney Bruce E. Reinhart:
- (a) learned confidential, non-public information about the Epstein matter;
 - (b) discussed the Epstein matter with an attorney working on the case for the U.S. Attorney's Office; and
 - (c) was involved in decision-making with regard to the Epstein matter.
16. The Government possesses information (including telephone logs and emails) reflecting contacts between Bruce E. Reinhart and persons/entities affiliated with Jeffrey Epstein (including Jeffrey Epstein, the Florida Science Foundation, Jack Goldberger, Harvard Law Professor Alan Dershowitz, Roy Black, Ken Starr, Lily Ann Sanchez) before Reinhart left the employment of the U.S. Attorney's Office.
17. The Government possesses information (including telephone logs or emails) reflecting contacts between Bruce E. Reinhart and persons working at or for the Department of Justice or United States Attorney's Office that related to Jeffrey Epstein or the investigation into Jeffrey Epstein and other potential co-conspirators of Jeffrey Epstein.
18. The government possesses, or has knowledge or information (including telephone logs or photographs or emails) reflecting improper communication or influence made or attempted with the Government, on Jeffrey Epstein's behalf by:
- (a) Guy Lewis
 - (b) LilyAnn Sanchez
19. The government possesses, or has knowledge or information (including telephone logs, photographs, emails or statement(s) of other credible sources) about a personal or business relationship between Jeffrey Epstein and U.S. Attorneys and/or Assistant US Attorneys.

20. The government possesses, or has knowledge or information (including telephone logs, photographs, emails or statement(s) of other credible sources) about a personal or business relationship between Jeffrey Epstein and [REDACTED]
21. The government possesses, or has knowledge or information (including telephone logs, photographs, emails or statement(s) of other credible sources) about a personal or business relationship between Jeffrey Epstein and **Alex Acosta**.
22. The Justice Department's Office of Professional Responsibility and/or other Government entities have collected information about:
 - (a) Bruce Reinhart's possible involvement in the Epstein matter;
 - (b) Other government attorney's possible improper behavior in the Epstein matter; and
 - (c) A conflict of interest regarding the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida handling issues relating to the Epstein matter.
23. The non-prosecution agreement signed by the U.S. Attorney's Office and Jeffrey Epstein currently blocks the U.S. Attorney's Office from prosecuting sex offenses committed by Epstein against Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2 in the Southern District of Florida.
24. The Justice Department possesses information that Epstein, himself or through his attorney's or acquaintances, has provided or offered to provide to the federal government (or an individual within the Government, in his official or private capacity) valuable consideration.
25. The Justice Department's Office of Professional Responsibility's investigation/inquiry into alleged misconduct relating to the negotiation and consummation of the Epstein non-prosecution agreement has relevance to issues pending in this case.
26. The Government possesses evidence, not covered by grand jury secrecy rules, that reveals that districts outside the Southern District of Florida share jurisdiction and venue with the Southern District of Florida over potential federal criminal charges based on the alleged sexual acts committed by Epstein against Jane Doe #1 and/or Jane Doe #2.

DEFINITIONS

For the purpose of construing the foregoing discovery requests, the following terms are defined:

The term "documents" means and includes, without limitation, all writings of any kind, including the originals and all non-identical copies or drafts, whether different from the original by reason of any notation made on such copy or draft or otherwise including, without limitation, correspondence, memoranda, notes, diaries, statistics, letters, e-mails, electronic computer files,

telegrams, minutes, contracts, reports, studies, checks, statements, receipts, returns, summaries, pamphlets, books, prospectuses, interoffice communications, offers, notations of any sort of conversation, telephone calls, meetings or other communications, bulletins, printed matter, computer print-outs, teletypes, facsimiles, invoices, work sheets and all drafts, alterations, modifications, changes, and amendments of any of the foregoing, graphic or aural writs, records or representations of any kind including, without limitation, photographs, charts, graphs, microfiche, microfilm, videotape, recordings, motion pictures; and electronic, mechanical or electric records or representations of any kind including, without limitation, tapes, cassettes and disc recordings, and writings and printed material of every kind.

The term "correspondence" means any tangible object that conveys information or memorializes information that was conveyed in tangible or oral form including, but not limited to, writings, letters, memoranda, reports, notes, e-mails, telephone logs, telephone billing information, telephone recordings, and interoffice communications.

The term "Epstein's victims" means any person that the Government identified as a possible victim of a sex offense committed by Jeffrey Epstein, including Jane Doe #1, Jane Doe #2, all victims identified in attachment to the non-prosecution agreement entered into by Epstein, and another person that the Government investigated as a possible victim of Epstein's sex offenses.

The term "Government" means the federal government, including all employees of and components of the United States Department of Justice (such as, the Office of the Attorney General, the Office of the Deputy Attorney General, the Criminal Divisions, the Office of Professional Responsibility, the Child Exploitation and Obscenity Section, the U.S. Attorney's Offices for the Southern District and Middle District of Florida, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation) and other federal government agencies with law enforcement responsibilities related to the Epstein case (such as the Internal Revenue Service). This request for production seeks all documents, correspondence, and other information held by all of these entities, including all employees of and components of the Justice Department that worked on or were in any way involved the Epstein investigation and/or that possess information relevant to the victims' claims.

The term "including" means containing within the request, but not limiting the request.

The term "U.S. Attorney's Office" means the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Southern District of Florida and includes all branch offices within the Southern District of Florida.

PRIVILEGE LOG

If you believe that any request for admission is subject to a privilege and if you intend to assert that privilege, please provide a "privilege log" consistent with Local Rule 26.1(g), including a description a document that is consistent with Local Rule 26.1(g)(3)(B). Your privilege log should include a specific identification of the privilege being asserted and the basis for the privilege.

DATED: December 1, 2011

Respectfully Submitted,

s/ Bradley J. Edwards
Bradley J. Edwards
FARMER, JAFFE, WEISSING,
EDWARDS, FISTOS & LEHRMAN, P.L.

[REDACTED]

and

Paul G. Cassell
Pro Hac Vice
S.J. Quinney College of Law at the
University of Utah

[REDACTED]

Attorneys for Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The foregoing document was served on December 1, 2011, on the following persons via US Mail
and electronic mail to:

[REDACTED]

Attorneys for the Government