

From: "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

To: "[REDACTED]. (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE: Your response

Date: Thu, 26 Jan 2012 16:01:49 +0000

Importance: Normal

Attachments: Response_to_Remedies_-_MFK_-_1-24-2012_639p.docx

I don't think I would do that. Here's what [REDACTED] wrote. [REDACTED] undertook to review and edit the section Tuesday night. He just gave me some (3 or 4) minor cosmetic edits. I have never really read it through fully, but I had planned to change the intro to say it is a brief, non-comprehensive treatment of issues the court need not reach. In any event, I have to get on a conference call. Let me know what you think.

From: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 10:47 AM

To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Subject: RE: Your response

I think I would have to see the rest of the other response first. I might then cut and paste one small portion of it into this response.

[REDACTED]

Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Fax [REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 10:46 AM

To: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)

Subject: RE: Your response

If you have any thoughts, suggestions, additions, etc., I am open to them.

From: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)

Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2012 10:38 AM

To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Subject: Your response

Hi [REDACTED] – Sorry, I just realized that I forgot to respond about your email from last night. I think it looks fine. I am not crazy about the fact that we don't cite any law, but they don't cite anything either.

Thank you so much for your help on that case!

[REDACTED]

Assistant U.S. Attorney

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Fax [REDACTED]