

[REDACTED]

Subject: RE: Re:

Date: Mon, 26 Sep 2011 20:02:17 +0000

Importance: Normal

Nobody knows. At the very first hearing -- 3 years ago -- the judge said the most he could do is order us outside to "confer."

[REDACTED]

----- Original Message -----

[REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, September 26, 2011 3:56 PM

[REDACTED]

Subject: Re:

What if the court disagrees and says we violated cvra - what's the remedy? A declaratory judgment? He can't set the np aside and he definitely can't get money.

----- Original Message -----

[REDACTED]

Sent: Mon Sep 26 15:54:03 2011

[REDACTED]

Subject: RE:

Setting aside the non-pros and prosecuting him as though the Non-pros had never been signed.

[REDACTED]

----- Original Message -----

[REDACTED]

Subject: Re:

Suggest what thing?

----- Original Message -----

[REDACTED]

Sent: Mon Sep 26 15:48:05 2011

[REDACTED]

Subject: RE:

No. He already served his sentence in state court and performed all his other obligations under the Non-Pros (including paying damages to 30-some girls). I argued it would be a Due Process violation to even suggest such a thing.

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

[REDACTED]

Subject: Re:

Have we conceded that a showing that we violated the CVRA would justify reopening/setting aside the nonpros?

[REDACTED]

Sent: Mon Sep 26 15:23:17 2011

Subject: RE:

Ideally to set aside the Non-Prosecution Agreement with Epstein and then to somehow convince us (probably through public pressure via the press) to prosecute him.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, September 26, 2011 3:22 PM

[REDACTED]

What is the relief jane does want in the cvra case?