

From: "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

To: "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE: Epstein

Date: Mon, 13 Sep 2010 19:04:50 +0000

Importance: Normal

[REDACTED]

This is really interesting. They can't get any money out of the U.S. Government since they have not filed a claim under the Federal Tort Claims Act, assuming they could even make a claim that the U.S. Government engaged in tortuous conduct. Their lawsuit for money damages against Epstein has been resolved, so their CVRA action cannot be used as a vehicle to get information to support their other lawsuit.

Other than trying to heap scorn and abuse on our office, does Brad think he can get the district court to set aside the non-prosecution agreement? Even if that were to occur, the district court could not order our office to prosecute Epstein, since separation of powers precludes that action. What do you think Brad wants?

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Sent: Monday, September 13, 2010 2:36 PM
To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS)
Subject: Epstein

[REDACTED] – Don't know if you have been watching CM/ECF, but this just came in today on Jane Does [REDACTED] United States.

<< File: DE39_20100913_Notice in response to admin order closing case.pdf >>

Nothing really for us to respond to, yet . . .