

[REDACTED]

Subject: RE: epstein

Date: Mon, 28 Jun 2010 20:06:36 +0000

Importance: Normal

Priority: normal

Inline-Images: image001.gif; image002.jpg; bclc.gif; bestlawyers.jpg

[REDACTED] thanks for responding. We are assembling the records. The judge gave us a short window to produce, so we have asked [REDACTED] for additional time which would allow you an opportunity to review before production required. Will let you know when we have the docs. Ok w you? bob

.....

This e-mail contains legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the individual or entity named within the message. Should the intended recipient forward this message to another person or party, that action could constitute a waiver of the attorney/client privilege. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If this communications was received in error, please notify us by reply e-mail and delete the original message.

Disclaimer added by **CodeTwo Exchange Rules**
www.codetwo.com

[REDACTED]

Subject: RE: epstein

Hi Robert – Thank you for your messages. Do you have the documents that you intend to produce assembled? If so, may I review them to advise my office regarding what will be produced?

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: epstein

Marie--I represent mr. Epstein in the civil cases as you know. I left voice messages at ft. l and wpb, as per your message . Epstein was sent a request to produce in fed'l and st ct cases where mr [REDACTED] asked for all docs given/sent, includes correspondence, by the fed'l govt to Epstein or his attys. We objected, the magistrate ruled ag us , took a rule 4 appeal and j marra upheld mag's decision. See de-462 and 572 in 80119. I wanted to make sure you had actual knowledge of this in that the materials must be turned over on wed in fed'l and Thursday in st. ct. in case you /usao desired to assert a position as an intervenor. I think I understand the policy reasons why defense attys and the usao would not want this type of material subject to discovery, but I am merely providing notice to you. Will request a confidentiality provision, but do not know whether mr [REDACTED] will agree. If any ?s , please call me, bob

[REDACTED]

This e-mail contains legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the individual or entity named within the message. Should the intended recipient forward this message to another person or party, that action could constitute a waiver of the attorney/client privilege. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is prohibited. If this communications was received in error, please notify us by reply e-mail and delete the original message.

Disclaimer added by **CodeTwo Exchange Rules**
www.codetwo.com