

From: Paul Cassell <[REDACTED]>

To: "[REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>, Brad Edwards <[REDACTED]>

Cc: "[REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE: Avoiding an Unnecessary Fight

Date: Tue, 15 Feb 2011 15:26:17 +0000

Importance: Normal

Dear [REDACTED],

Brad and I look forward to hearing from you today (as you indicated that you would) about our proposal that the U.S. Attorney's Office will simply stand on the sidelines and not oppose our efforts to set aside the plea. I would hope that you would reiterate to the U.S. Attorney and the First Assistant, once again, how much we would like to avoid fighting with your Office so that we can focus our energies on Epstein the sex offender. We don't understand why the U.S. Attorney's Office feels that it needs to join this fight with the victims -- we hope that you will work to find a way to make this happen and avoid an entirely unnecessary clash between prosecutors and crime victims.

We are happy to discuss with you ways to minimize any clash and any logistics that would be involved -- if we have agreement in principle on the concept. We are also available for a conference call today after 5:00 Florida time, if you would like further discussions.

Sincerely, Paul Cassell, co-counsel for Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2

Paul G. Cassell

Ronald N. Boyce Presidential Professor of Criminal Law

S.J. Quinney College of Law at the University of Utah

332 South 1400 East, Room 101

Salt Lake City, UT 84112-0730

Voice: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

<http://www.law.utah.edu/profiles/default.asp?PersonID=57&name=Cassell,Paul>

CONFIDENTIAL: This electronic message - along with any/all attachments - is confidential. This message is intended only for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, the person responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you may not use, disseminate, distribute or copy this communication. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply electronic mail and delete the original message. Thank you.

From: [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USAFLS) [mailto:[REDACTED]]

Sent: Thursday, December 16, 2010 9:03 AM

To: Paul Cassell; Brad Edwards

Cc: [REDACTED], [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Subject: Request for Investigation Of Jeffrey Epstein Prosecution

Brad and Paul,

We enjoyed meeting in person with you and CW last Friday. I wanted to update you on the matters we discussed that day.

First, Paul's request for an investigation of the Jeffrey Epstein prosecution has been referred to the Department of Justice's Office of Professional Responsibility. OPR is the component within the DOJ which investigates allegations of misconduct relating to the authority of DOJ attorneys to investigate, litigate, and give legal

EFTA00207869

advice. The December 10, 2010 letter asks this office "to investigate through appropriate and independent channels the handling of the Epstein (non)prosecution." OPR is the appropriate and independent body within the DOJ to investigate and determine whether misconduct has occurred.

Second, during the meeting on December 10, we advised you of the ethical standards applicable regarding a potential prosecution of Epstein by our office, and that a recusal would likely ensue. Given your request for an investigation of this Office's conduct in the Jeffrey Epstein case, and the referral of that request to OPR, we are seeking guidance from DOJ on whether this office can continue to defend the Crime Victim Rights Act case.

Third, we discussed the sequence in the litigation. You asked us that, in the event the court decides that the CVRA applied, in the absence of a formal charge, that the government concede (1) the U.S. Attorney's Office failed to comply with the CVRA; and (2) the district court should set aside the Non-Prosecution Agreement. In light of what has occurred, we cannot give you an answer on those two points.

You had told us earlier that you would be filing a dispositive motion by December 17, 2010. I expect to find out whether our office needs to recuse itself within the next week. I will be on leave from December 17-28, but will be back at the office on December 29. I am asking if you would defer filing any motion until after I return on December 29. Thank you.

I can be reached by e-mail and cell phone, [REDACTED], during my annual leave.

[REDACTED]