

From: "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

To: "[REDACTED]. (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>, "[REDACTED] (USAFLS)" <[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE: Responses to RFAs

Date: Mon, 08 Jul 2013 18:11:17 +0000

Importance: Normal

Sorry I had not gotten around to this before now. Do we want to discuss this, perhaps tomorrow afternoon, or have the two of you already finished it up?

From: [REDACTED]. (USAFLS)

Sent: Monday, June 24, 2013 9:56 PM

To: [REDACTED] (USAFLS); [REDACTED] (USAFLS)

Subject: Responses to RFAs

Hi [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] – Here is my stab at a response. There are a number that I cannot answer.

Isn't the only real factual issue whether or not we conferred with the victims PRIOR TO SIGNING the NPA?

The closest that they come to asking that is 13(b) and even that isn't quite right. Should we suggest that they send a revised RFA asking simply:

“An attorney for the government did not confer with Jane Doe #1 or Jane Doe #2 prior to entering into the NPA.”

<< File: Response to Requests for Admissions.docx >>

[REDACTED]
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Southern District of Florida

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]