

[REDACTED]

To: "Paul Cassell (cassellp@law.utah.edu)" <cassellp@law.utah.edu>, "Brad Edwards (brad@pathtojustice.com)" <brad@pathtojustice.com>

Cc: [REDACTED] "Maria Kelljchian" <maria@pathtojustice.com>, "Jackie Perczek (JPerczek@royblack.com)" <JPerczek@royblack.com>

Subject: FW: complete email list

Date: Thu, 11 Jul 2013 14:02:47 +0000

Importance: Normal

Hi Paul and Brad:

External emails have to come to email addresses ending in "usadoj.gov," so the correct addresses for Ed and myself are:

[REDACTED]

Thanks.

[REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2013 6:57 PM
To: Paul Cassell; Jackie Perczek; Brad Edwards (brad@pathtojustice.com)
Cc: Maria Kelljchian (maria@pathtojustice.com); [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: complete email list

Paul,

[REDACTED]

Thank you.

[REDACTED]

From: Paul Cassell [<mailto:cassellp@law.utah.edu>]
Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2013 6:15 PM
To: Jackie Perczek; Brad Edwards (brad@pathtojustice.com)
[REDACTED] Maria Kelljchian (maria@pathtojustice.com)
Subject: RE: complete email list

Thanks Jackie.

[REDACTED] who do you want on the list? Paul

Paul G. Cassell
Ronald N. Boyce Presidential Professor of Criminal Law

From: Jackie Perczek [<mailto:JPerczek@royblack.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2013 4:02 PM
To: Paul Cassell; Brad Edwards (brad@pathtojustice.com)
[REDACTED]; Maria Kelljchian (maria@pathtojustice.com)
Subject: RE: complete email list

Jackie
jperczek@royblack.com

Roy
rblack@royblack.com

Marti
owlmgw@att.net

From: Paul Cassell [<mailto:cassellp@law.utah.edu>]
Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2013 5:55 PM
To: Jackie Perczek; Brad Edwards (brad@pathtojustice.com)
[REDACTED] Maria Kelljchian (maria@pathtojustice.com)
Subject: RE: complete email list

Hi Jackie and [REDACTED]

Perhaps tomorrow you can help us by making sure we have all the right email contacts for the Epstein side of the case and the Government side of the case. That way, we have a convenient way to correspond with everyone on issue of interest.
Paul

Paul G. Cassell



From: Jackie Perczek [<mailto:JPerczek@royblack.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2013 3:45 PM
To: Paul Cassell; Brad Edwards (brad@pathtojustice.com)
Subject: RE: Epstein's Request for Prospective Intervention -- no conference among the parties

Also, you have a typo on your email for Marti, it's .net (you have .ent).

From: Paul Cassell [<mailto:cassellp@law.utah.edu>]
Sent: Wednesday, July 10, 2013 5:40 PM
To: Jackie Perczek; Lee, Dexter (USAFLS);  owimgw@att.ent; Maria Kelljchian (maria@pathtojustice.com)
Cc: Brad Edwards (brad@pathtojustice.com); Marvin Simeon
Subject: Epstein's Request for Prospective Intervention -- no conference among the parties

Dear Jackie,

We read with interest Epstein's recent motion for "Prospective Limited Intervention at the Remedy Stage of These Proceedings," DE 207. However, in reviewing the motion, we did not see the statement of Pre-Filing Conference, required by the Court's Local Rules. See Local Rule 7.1(A)(3). This requirement cannot be ignored, and we would like the opportunity to confer with you and the Government before responding.

Accordingly, one option for us would be to file a response to deny your motion for your failure to hold the appropriate conference with the parties. But another option would be for you schedule a conference with all three parties – i.e., the Epstein group, the Government, and victims' counsel – to discuss the issue. This would also provide an opportunity for us to determine what the Government's position is on this motion.

Please advise as to whether you agree that your motion is defective under the local rules and, if so, how you propose to remedy this defect.

In light of these additional complications, please also advise as to your position on whether you would oppose a ten-day extension of time (to and including August 6, 2013), for the victims to respond to the motion. (And Dexter – we would like your position on an extension as well.)

Thanks for your attention to these issues.

Brad Edwards and Paul Cassell for Jane Doe #1 and Jane Doe #2

Paul G. Cassell

